Injuries are an inevitable consequence of athletic performance with most athletes sustaining one or more during their athletic careers. As many as one in 12 athletes incur an injury during international competitions, many of which result in time lost from training and competition. Injuries to skeletal muscle account for over 40% of all injuries, with the lower leg being the predominant site of injury. Other common injuries include fractures, especially stress fractures in athletes with low energy availability, and injuries to tendons and ligaments, especially those involved in high-impact sports, such as jumping. Given the high prevalence of injury, it is not surprising that there has been a great deal of interest in factors that may reduce the risk of injury, or decrease the recovery time if an injury should occur: One of the main variables explored is nutrition. This review investigates the evidence around various nutrition strategies, including macro- and micronutrients, as well as total energy intake, to reduce the risk of injury and improve recovery time, focusing upon injuries to skeletal muscle, bone, tendons, and ligaments.
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looked at nutrition to prevent injuries and increase repair, as well as considering the change in energy requirements during the injury period.

**Nutrition to Prevent and Treat Muscle Injuries**

There is limited direct research on nutrition to prevent/treat muscle injuries, with most research originating from laboratory-induced muscle damage to study delayed onset muscle soreness (Owens et al., 2019). Although such studies provide insights into potential nutritional strategies, it must be stressed that there are substantial differences between delayed onset muscle soreness and a major muscle tear, both in terms of the structural damage, as well as the level of immobilization and unloading that may occur. However, given that there are no published placebo-controlled, randomized control trials on nutrition to prevent or treat muscle injuries following a “true” muscle injury in elite athletes, the laboratory-induced muscle damage literature currently provides the best evidence base to help guide practice, while, of course, taking into consideration the limitations of this approach. From a nutrition perspective, it is important to consider the potential of nutrition to assist in injury prevention and prevent the loss of lean mass during immobilization, and to consider the change in energy requirements during the injury period along with any strategies that may promote muscle repair.

Given the crucial role of dietary protein in muscle protein turnover, it is not surprising that much attention has been given to dietary protein in the prevention of muscle injuries. It is accepted that the provision of dietary proteins enhances the adaptive processes to both resistance- and endurance-based exercise (Phillips & Van Loon, 2011), and it is, therefore, attractive to hypothesize that increasing dietary protein may alleviate markers of muscle damage. However, the evidence to support this hypothesis is, at best, equivocal, with some studies reporting a benefit (Buckley et al., 2010; Cockburn et al., 2010; Nosaka et al., 2006), whereas others show no benefit (Blacker et al., 2010; Wojcik et al., 2001), albeit in laboratory-induced muscle damage studies. In a recent systemic review, the balance of the evidence suggested that protein supplements taken acutely, despite increases in protein synthesis and anabolic intracellular signaling, provide no measurable reductions in exercise-induced muscle damage and enhanced recovery of muscle function (Pasiakos et al., 2014). This lack of an effect may be explained by the differing time courses between an acute muscle injury and muscle protein turnover, with adaptations to muscle protein turnover being a relatively slow process (Tipton et al., 2003) compared with the rapid changes that occur following an injury. It can, therefore, be concluded that, given sufficient dietary protein is provided in the general diet of an athlete, additional protein intake will not prevent muscle injury or reduce postexercise muscle soreness. However, to date, this hypothesis has not been fully explored in elite athletes following a true injury and, therefore, case study data may help to provide further insights.

Although additional protein may not prevent a muscle injury, increased dietary protein may be beneficial after an injury both in terms of attenuating muscle atrophy and promoting repair. Limb immobilization reduces resting muscle protein synthesis as well as induces an anabolic resistance to dietary protein (Wall et al., 2013), although, again, it must be stressed that such studies are laboratory based and not following a true injury. This anabolic resistance can be attenuated (although not prevented) through increased dietary amino acid ingestion (Glover et al., 2008). It is beyond the scope of this manuscript to fully discuss what is appropriate protein intake for athletes and, for this, the reader is directed to several excellent reviews (e.g., Morton et al., 2018; Phillips, 2012; Stokes et al., 2018; Tipton & Phillips, 2013). Contrary to popular belief, athletes engaged in whole-body resistance training are likely to benefit from more than the often cited 20 g of protein per meal, with recent research suggesting 40 g of protein may be a more optimum feeding strategy (Macnaughton et al., 2016). Protein intake should be equally distributed throughout the day, something that many elite athletes fail to achieve (Gillen et al., 2017), with many athletes consuming the majority of their protein in their evening meal, with less consumed at breakfast and lunch. In terms of an absolute amount of protein per day, increasing protein to 2.3 g/kg body mass reduces the loss of lean body mass (LBM) during reduced calorie intake (Mettler et al., 2010), a strategy that could also prove useful for the injured athlete. Taken together, despite the limitations of the current literature base, injured athletes may benefit from increasing their protein intake to overcome the immobilization-induced anabolic resistance as well as helping to attenuate the associated losses of lean muscle mass documented in injured athletes (Milsom et al., 2014).

After a muscle injury, it is likely that athletic activities are reduced, if not stopped completely, to allow the muscle to recover, although some training in the noninjured limbs will likely continue. This reduction in activity results in reduced energy expenditure, which consequently requires a reduction in energy intake to prevent unwanted gains in body fat. Given that many athletes periodize their carbohydrate intake, that is, increase their carbohydrate intake during hard training days while limiting them during light training or rest days, it seems appropriate that during inactivity, carbohydrate intake may need to be reduced (Impey et al., 2018). It should be stressed, however, that the magnitude of the reduction in energy intake may not be as drastic as expected given that the healing process has been shown to result in substantial increases in energy expenditure (Frankenfield, 2006), whereas the energetic cost of using crutches is much greater than that of walking (Waters et al., 1987). Moreover, it is common practice for athletes to perform some form of exercise in the noninjured limb(s) while injured to maintain strength and fitness. It is, therefore, crucial that athletes do not reduce nutrition, that is, under fuel at the recovery stage through being too focused upon not gaining body fat; thus, careful planning is needed to manage the magnitude of energy restriction during this crucial recovery period. One thing that is generally accepted is that, when reducing energy intake, macronutrients should not be cut evenly as maintaining a high-protein intake will be essential to attenuate loss of lean muscle mass.

Poor attention has been paid to dietary lipids in the prevention of musculoskeletal injuries. In this context, mainly omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids (n-3 PUFA) have been studied because of their anti-inflammatory properties. Many studies have investigated the effects of n-3 PUFA supplementation on the loss of muscle function and inflammation following exercise-induced muscle damage, with the balance of the literature suggesting some degree of benefit (e.g., DiLorenzo et al., 2014; Marques et al., 2015). These supplements should be taken for a minimum of 2 weeks with 5 g/day of fish oil capsules (providing 3,500-mg eicosapentaenoic acid and 900-mg docosahexaenoic acid) to permit detectable increases in muscle n-3 PUFA lipid composition (McGlory et al., 2014). This level of n-3 PUFA supplementation is far in excess of what would be consumed in a typical diet and much greater than most suggested supplement regimes. Given that it is
not possible to predict when an injury may occur, it could be suggested that athletes should take n-3 PUFA supplements on a regular basis; however, the long-term daily dose requires further investigation. Again, however, relying on findings from the exercise-induced muscle damage model to rule on a benefit of n-3 PUFA in macroscopic muscle injury prevention or recovery is speculative at this stage.

There are a number of other nutrients that have some rationale for supplementation to reduce the magnitude of muscle tissue injury and/or promote healing. Many of these nutrition strategies are claimed to work through either acting as an antioxidant or through a reduction in inflammation. In reality, unless there is a dietary deficiency, the vast majority of nutritional interventions have limited research to support such claims. Some of the most frequently studied and supplemented micronutrients to help with skeletal muscle injury are summarized in Table 1.

Finally, consideration must be given to the balance between muscle recovery and muscle adaptation. There is growing evidence that nutritional strategies that may assist with muscle recovery, such as anti-inflammatory and antioxidant strategies, may attenuate skeletal muscle adaptations (Owens et al., 2019). It would, therefore, be prudent to differentiate between an injury that requires time lost from the sport and typical exercise-induced muscle soreness when it comes to implementing a nutritional recovery strategy. Where adaptation comes before recovery, for example, in a preseason training phase, the best nutritional advice may simply to follow a regular diet and allow adaptations to occur naturally.

### Nutrition to Prevent and Treat Bone Injuries

Stress fractures are common bone injuries suffered by athletes that have a different etiology than contact fractures, which also have a frequent occurrence, particularly in contact sports. Stress fractures are overuse injuries of the bone that are caused by the rhythmic and repeated application of mechanical loading in a subthreshold manner (McBryde, 1985). Given this, athletes involved in high-volume, high-intensity training, where the individual is body weight loaded, are particularly susceptible to developing a stress fracture (Fredericson et al., 2007), and training time lost can be significant (Ranson et al., 2010). The pathophysiology of stress fracture injuries is complex and not completely understood (Bennell et al., 1999), but some studies have suggested that nutritional inadequacies could be considered a risk factor (Moran et al., 2012). That said, there is little direct information relating to the role of diet and nutrition in either the prevention or recovery from bone injuries, such as stress fractures. As such, the completion of this article requires some extrapolation from the information relating to the effects of diet and nutrition on bone health in general.

Palacios (2006) provides a brief summary of some of the key nutrients for bone health, which include an adequate supply of calcium, protein, magnesium, phosphorus, vitamin D, potassium, and fluoride to directly support bone formation. Other nutrients important to support bone tissue include manganese, copper, boron, iron, zinc, vitamin A, vitamin K, vitamin C, and the B vitamins. Silicon might also be added to this list of key nutrients for bone health. Given this, the consumption of dairy, fruits, and vegetables (particularly of the green leafy kind) are likely to be useful sources of the main nutrients that support bone health.

Of the more specific issues for the athlete, undoubtedly the biggest factor is the avoidance of low energy availability, which is essential to avoid negative consequences for bone (Papageorgiou et al., 2018a, 2018b). Ihle and Loucks (2004) were among the first to demonstrate this, showing that bone formation was reduced at an energy availability (EA) of 30 kcal·kg LBM−1·day−1. More severe reductions in energy availability to 10 kcal·kg LBM−1·day−1 had the effect of both reducing bone formation and increasing bone resorption, likely initiating a dual negative effect on the bone. This seems like a serious problem, particularly if continued over time, given that some amenorrheic athletes have been reported to have energy availabilities of ~16 kcal·kg LBM−1·day−1 (Thong et al., 2000). Two studies from our own research group have used this level of energy availability and shown that 5 days of low EA...

### Table 1 Nutritional Strategies Claimed to Help With Skeletal Muscle Injuries in Athletes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Micronutrient</th>
<th>Rationale for supplement</th>
<th>Suggested dose</th>
<th>Key research</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin D</td>
<td>It is well established that many athletes are vitamin D deficient due to a lack of sunlight exposure. Emerging evidence suggests that vitamin D deficiencies can impair muscle regeneration following damaging exercise both in vitro and in vivo.</td>
<td>2,000–4,000 IU D3 taken daily during the winter months to ensure serum 25(OH)D is greater than 75 nmol/L with sensible sun exposure in the summer.</td>
<td>Owens et al. (2015, 2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamins C and E</td>
<td>It has been claimed that increased free radical production increases the magnitude of muscle damage following exercise and, therefore, supplements with vitamins C and E could increase recovery time. Literature, however, indicates that vitamins C and E have limited ability to attenuate muscle damage or promote recovery.</td>
<td>No need for additional supplementation.</td>
<td>Close et al. (2005); Cobley et al. (2015); Owens et al. (2019)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyphenols</td>
<td>It is claimed that polyphenols may attenuate muscle damage caused by inflammation and increase free radical production. Montmorency cherries (<em>Prunus cerasus</em>) are suggested to help improve rate of muscle function recovery after damage as well as reduce muscle soreness and inflammation, especially in athletes consuming a low polyphenol diet.</td>
<td>A diet rich in polyphenols (fruit and vegetables) may be the best strategy to augment recovery from damaging exercise rather than specific supplementation.</td>
<td>Bell et al. (2015); Peeling et al. (2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatine</td>
<td>Creatine monohydrate is one of the most widely used supplements to support gains in strength and lean mass. Supplementation has been shown to attenuate loss of upper arm muscle mass and strength during limb immobilization, as well as increase muscle hypertrophy following lower leg immobilization.</td>
<td>20 g/day for 5 days followed by 5 g/day thereafter.</td>
<td>Hespel et al. (2001); Johnston et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(15 kcal·kg LBM⁻¹·day⁻¹) decreased bone formation and increased bone resorption in women, but not in men (although some men were affected; Papageorgiou et al., 2017). In athletes, this poses the question of whether the effect of low energy availability on bone is a result of dietary restriction or high exercise energy expenditures. Recently, the effects of 3 days low energy availability (at 15 kcal·kg LBM⁻¹·day⁻¹) achieved by diet or exercise on bone turnover markers in active, eumenorrhoeic women were examined (Papageorgiou et al., 2018b). Low EA achieved through inadequate dietary energy intake resulted in decreased bone formation but no change in bone resorption, whereas low EA achieved through exercise did not significantly influence bone metabolism, highlighting the importance of adequate dietary intakes for the athlete.

Evidence of the impact of low energy availability on bone health, particularly in female athletes, comes from the many studies relating to both the Female Athlete Triad (Nattiv et al., 2007) and Relative Energy Deficiency in Sport Syndromes (Mountjoy et al., 2014), with the latter also suggesting that this might also be an issue for male athletes. A thorough review of these syndromes is beyond the scope of the current article; however, those interested are advised to make use of the existing literature base on this topic. Maintaining an energy availability of 45 kcal·kg LBM⁻¹·day⁻¹ over time is likely to be important in optimizing bone health in the athlete and, potentially, in helping to protect the bone against the development of bone injuries. That said, this is likely to be an unrealisitic target for many athlete groups, particularly the endurance athlete (e.g., road cyclist, marathon runner or triathlete), whose energy expenditure during training is likely to be high, with a training schedule that limits the amount of time available for fueling. This target may also be difficult to achieve in youth athletes who have limited time to fuel given the combined demands of school and training. In addition, a calorie deficit is often considered to drive the endurance phenotype in these athletes, meaning that work is needed to identify the threshold of energy availability above which there are little or no negative implications for the bone. However, a recent case study on an elite female endurance athlete over a 9-year period demonstrated that it is possible to train slightly over optimal race weight and maintain sufficient energy availability for most of the year, and then reduce calorie intake to achieve race weight at specific times in the year (Stellingwerff, 2018). This may be the ideal strategy to allow athletes to race at their ideal weight, train at times with low energy availability to drive the endurance phenotype, but not be in a dangerously low energy availability all year round.

Moran et al. (2012) collected dietary intake data from military recruits at the start and end of basic training using food frequency questionnaires and compared the dietary intakes of the recruits who suffered a stress fracture (n = 12) with those who did not (n = 62). The development of stress fractures was associated with preexisting dietary deficiencies, not only in vitamin D and calcium, but also in carbohydrate intake. Although a small-scale association study, these data provide some indication of potential dietary risk factors for stress fracture injury. Miller et al. (2016) also demonstrated an increased risk of stress fracture in athletes with low vitamin D status, as assessed by circulating 25(OH)D₃. Similarly, other groups have shown a link between calcium intake and both bone mineral density (Myburgh et al., 1990) and stress fracture risk (Nieves et al., 2010) in athletes. Conversely, improving vitamin D and calcium status with 800 IU/day vitamin D and 2,000 mg of calcium supplementation has been shown to reduce the risk of developing a stress fracture in military recruits (Lappe et al., 2008). Despite these initially encouraging findings, there remain relatively few prospective studies evaluating the optimal calcium and vitamin D intake in athletes relating to either (a) stress fracture prevention or (b) bone healing. For a more comprehensive review of this area, readers are directed toward a recent review by Fischer et al. (2018).

One further consideration that might need to be made with regard to the calcium intake of endurance athletes (and possibly weight classification athletes practicing dehydration strategies to make weight) is the amount of dermal calcium loss over time. Although the amount of dermal calcium lost with short-term exercise is unlikely to be that important in some endurance athletes performing prolonged exercise bouts or multiple sessions per day (e.g., triathletes), this could become an issue. Under these circumstances, athletes should consider either a high-calcium preexercise meal containing ~1,300 mg of calcium (Haakonssen et al., 2015) or a 1,000-mg calcium supplement (Barry et al., 2011), both of which have been shown to limit disturbances to calcium homeostasis and, potentially, the bone metabolic response to subsequent exercise.

Athletes are generally advised to consume more protein than the recommended daily allowance of 0.8 g·kg BM⁻¹·day⁻¹, with many athletes consuming 2–3 times this amount. Protein is a key constituent of the bone’s structure, making up a substantial proportion of its mass and volume (Zimmermann et al., 2015). As such, it would seem logical to propose that dietary protein intake is important for bone health, but the role of protein (particularly animal protein sources) in bone health has been questioned, with some suggesting that it could be detrimental because of the acidic load that it creates; termed the “acid-ash hypothesis” (Barzel & Massey, 1998). More recently, however, several reviews (Rizzoli et al., 2018) and meta-analyses (Shams-White et al., 2017, 2018) have opposed this view and have shown that there are no negative, and some beneficial, consequences of a high-protein intake for bone health, particularly when consuming adequate calcium.

Maintaining an appropriate dietary intake is important for maintaining fitness and health and/or in regaining fitness after injury in athletes. Conversely, inadequacies in dietary intake have a negative effect on physical performance, which might, in turn, contribute to an increased risk of injury. This is as likely to be the case for the bone as it is for other tissues of importance to the athlete, like muscles, tendons, and ligaments. Despite this, there is a relative dearth of information relating to the effects of dietary intake on bone health in athletes and, particularly, around the optimal diet to support recovery from bone injury. In the main, however, it is likely that the nutritional needs for bone health in the athlete are not likely to be substantially different from those of the general population, albeit with an additional need to minimize low energy availability states and consider the potentially elevated calcium, vitamin D, and protein requirements of many athletes.

**Nutrition to Prevent and Treat Tendon and Ligament Injuries**

Tendinopathy is one of the most common musculoskeletal issues in high-jerk sports. Jerk, the rate of change of acceleration, is the physical property that coaches and athletes think of as plyometric load. Where the plyometric load is high across a tendon, such as for the patellar tendon in hurdlers and in the plant leg of jumpers and throwers, tendinopathy rates are high: approximately 30% and 45% for elite athletes, respectively (Lian et al., 2005). In support of the role of jerk in the development of tendinopathy, in distance
runners, where jerk across the patellar tendon is lower, patellar
tendinopathy rates are similarly lower <15%, whereas Achilles
tendinopathy rates rise to ~55% (Knobloch et al., 2008).

Given that the volume of high-jerk movements increases in elite
athletes, interventions to prevent or treat tendinopathies would have
to result in a significant impact on elite performance. The goal of any inter-
vention to treat tendinopathy is to increase the content of directionally
oriented collagen and the density of cross-links within the protein
to increase the tensile strength of the tendon. The most common
intervention to treat tendinopathy is loading. The realization that
tendons are dynamic tissues that respond to load began when the
Kjaer laboratory demonstrated an increase in tendon collagen syn-
thesis, in the form of increased collagen propeptides in the periten-
dinous space 72 hr after exercise (Langberg et al., 1999). They
followed this up using stable isotope infusion to show that tendon
collagen synthesis doubled within the first 24 hr after exercise
(Miller et al., 2007). Therefore, loading can increase collagen
synthesis, and this may contribute to the beneficial effects of loading
to tendinopathy. Recently, combining loading with nutritional
interventions has been proposed to further improve collagen synthesis
(Shaw et al., 2017) and promote tendon and ligament healing
(Baar, 2018), and this possibility will be discussed below.

\section*{Vitamin C}

Nutrition has been recognized as being essential for collagen synthesis and tendon health for over 200 years. In the first
controlled nutritional trial ever recorded (1747 AD), the Scot
James Lind fed 12 sailors with scurvy one of six different inter-
ventions: no treatment, quart of cider, “twenty-five gulls” of vitriol,
six spoonfuls of vinegar, half a pint of seawater, or two oranges and
one lemon (Lind, 1757). The two sailors given the oranges and lemon
recovered within 6 days; however, the relationship between the
citrus fruit and scurvy continued to be debated for over 150 years. In 1959, Jerome Gross showed that guinea pigs on a
vitamin C deficient diet did not synthesize collagen at a detectable
level (Gross, 1959), making the molecular connection between vitamin C and scurvy. The requirement for vitamin C in the
synthesis of collagen comes from its role in the regulation of prolyl hydroxylase activity (Mussini et al., 1967), an enzyme required for
collagen cross-linking and export from the endoplasmic reticulum.

As vitamin C is consumed in the hydroxylation reaction, and
humans lack the l-gulono-γ-lactone oxidase enzyme required for the
last step in the synthesis of vitamin C (Drouin et al., 2011), we
need to consume 46 mg/day to maintain normal collagen synthesis.

Even though a basal level of vitamin C is required for collagen
synthesis, whether exceeding this value results in a concomitant
increase in collagen synthesis has yet to be determined. Therefore,
currently, there is no evidence that increasing vitamin C intake will
increase collagen synthesis and prevent tendon injuries.

\section*{Copper}

Similar to vitamin C, copper is also required for enzymatic cross-
linking of collagen through its role as a cofactor for the enzyme
lysyl oxidase (Kagan & Li, 2003). Like vitamin C, copper deficiency
leads to impaired mechanical function of collagen-containing tissues, such as bone (Jonas et al., 1993), leading to
an increase in fractures in people with copper deficiency (Paterson,
1988). However, the beneficial effects of copper are only seen in the
transition from deficiency to sufficiency (Opsahl et al., 1982).

There is no further increase in collagen function with increasing
doses of copper. Therefore, the goal for copper intake should approximate the RDA of ~1 mg·kg$^{-1}$·day$^{-1}$.

\section*{Glycine}

Fibrillar collagens are a repeating tripeptide of glycine-X-proline/
hydroxyproline, where X represents any amino acid other than
glycine and proline. This sequence allows collagen to form the tight
triple helix that gives the protein its mechanical strength. Because of
the importance of glycine, some researchers have hypothesized
that increasing dietary glycine would have a beneficial effect on
tendon healing. Vieira et al. (2015a) showed that 21 days after a
collagenase injury to the Achilles tendon, rats on a diet containing
5% glycine demonstrated increased collagen and glycosaminogly-
can content as well as mechanical strength. The authors repeated the
results in a follow-up study (Vieira et al., 2015b), suggesting that
glycine may aide in the recovery of tendon function after injury.
However, consuming a diet where 5% of the calories come from
glycine is not realistic in an athletic population.

\section*{Gelatin/Hydrolyzed Collagen}

Another potential source of the amino acids found in collagen is gelatin or hydrolyzed collagen. Gelatin is created by boiling
the skin, bones, tendons, and ligaments of cattle, pigs, and fish.
Boiling releases large molecular weight (>100 kDa) proteins that show limited solubility in water and form a gel after heating.
Further chemical or enzymatic hydrolysis of gelatin breaks the protein into smaller peptides that are soluble in water and no longer form a gel.

Because both gelatin and hydrolyzed collagen are derived from
collagen, they are rich in glycine, proline, hydroxylysine, and hydroxyproline (Shaw et al., 2017). As would be expected from a
dietary intervention that increases collagen synthesis, consumption of
10 g of hydrolyzed collagen in a randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled study in athletes decreased knee pain from standing
and walking (Clark et al., 2008). The decrease in knee pain could be the result of an improvement in collagen synthesis of the cartilage
within the knee since cartilage thickness, measured using gadolinium labeled magnetic resonance imaging, increases with long-term con-
sumption of 10 g of hydrolyzed collagen (McAllindon et al., 2011).
The role of gelatin consumption in collagen synthesis was directly
tested by Shaw et al. (2017). In this randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled, crossover-designed study, subjects who con-
sumed 15 g of gelatin showed twice the collagen synthesis, measured through serum propeptide levels, as either a placebo or a 5-g group.

Furthermore, when serum from subjects fed either gelatin or collagen is added to engineered ligaments, the engineered ligaments demonstrate
more than twofold greater mechanics and collagen content (Avey and Bar unpublished; Figure 1). Even though bathing the engineered ligaments in serum rich in procollagen amino acids provides a beneficial effect, this is a far cry from what would be
seen in people. However, these data suggest that consuming gelatin or hydrolyzed collagen may increase collagen synthesis and poten-
tially decrease injury rate in athletes.

\section*{Other Nutrients}

There is a myriad of other nutrients that are purported to improve
tendon/ligament function, including turmeric/curcumin, taurine,
arginine, bromelain, or boswellic acid. These and other nutraceu-
ticals have recently been reviewed by Fusini et al. (2016). Interest-
ingly, many of these nutrients are thought to decrease inflamma-
tion, and the role of inflammation in tendinopathy in
elite athletes remains controversial (Peeling et al., 2018). Therefore, future work is needed to validate these purported nutraceuticals in the prevention or treatment of tendon or ligament injuries.

Conclusions

Although injuries are going to happen in athletes, there are several nutrition solutions that can be implemented to reduce the risk and decrease recovery time. To reduce the risk of injury, it is crucial that athletes do not have chronic low energy availability, as this is a major risk factor for bone injuries. Cycling energy intake throughout the year to allow race weight to be achieved, while achieving adequate energy availability away from competitions, may be the most effective strategy. It is also crucial for bone, muscle, tendon, and ligament health to ensure that there are no dietary deficiencies, especially low protein intake or inadequate vitamin C, D, copper, n-3 PUFA, or calcium. This highlights the importance of athletes having access to qualified nutrition support to help them achieve their goals without compromising health. If an injury does occur, one of the key considerations during the injury is to ensure excessive lean muscle mass is not lost and that sufficient energy is consumed to allow repair, without significantly increasing body fat. It is crucial to understand the change in energy demands and, at the same time, ensure sufficient protein is consumed for repair, especially since the muscle could become anabolic resistant. In terms of tendon health, there is a growing interest in the role of gelatin to increase collagen synthesis. Studies are now showing that gelatin supplementation can improve cartilage thickness and decrease knee pain, and may reduce the risk of injury or accelerate recovery time. To reduce the risk of injury, it is crucial that nutrition solutions that can be implemented to reduce the risk and decrease recovery time. To reduce the risk of injury, it is crucial that nutrition solutions that can be implemented to reduce the risk and decrease recovery time.

Acknowledgments

All authors contributed equally to the manuscript, with each author writing specific sections and all authors editing the final manuscript prior to final submission. They also declare no conflicts of interest related to this manuscript.

References


IJSNEM Vol. 29, No. 2, 2019

Unauthenticated | Downloaded 06/17/22 12:30 PM UTC


