Some track-and-field athletes implement special diets aiming to improve health and/or performance. An evidence-based approach to any diet is recommended to minimize the risks associated with unnecessary dietary restriction, which may potentially do more harm than good. Four prevalent diets are reviewed in this study: (a) gluten-free; (b) low fermentable oligosaccharides, disaccharides, monosaccharides, and polyols (FODMAP); (c) vegetarian; and (d) fasting diets. Recently, gluten-free diets and low FODMAP diets have emerged as novel regimes thought to improve gastrointestinal health and reduce the risk of exercise-associated gastrointestinal symptoms. No direct beneficial outcomes have been associated with avoiding gluten for clinically healthy athletes. Indirectly, a gluten-free diet is associated with other dietary changes, particularly FODMAP reduction, which may improve adverse gastrointestinal symptoms. Vegetarian diets can optimally support athletic demands. However, attention is required to ensure adequate energy and intake of specific nutrients that are less abundant or less well absorbed from plant sources. Finally, fasting is a long-standing concept that is undertaken on a voluntary and obligatory basis. Despite limited supporting research, voluntary fasting is a popular alternative to conventional diets perceptually offering health and body composition benefits. Strict obligatory fasting guidelines likely require the implementation of tailored nutrition strategies to help athletes cope with athletic demands. Overall, a multitude of factors influence adherence to special diets. Even when adherence to a special diet is a necessity, education and advice from an accredited dietitian/nutritionist are recommended for track-and-field athletes to optimize nutrition for health and performance.
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A variety of special diets are adopted by track-and-field athletes for a multitude of reasons. Gluten-free (GFD), vegetarian, and fasting diets are among the more prevalent diets adopted for health, ethical, religious, and performance purposes. A low fermentable oligosaccharides, disaccharides, monosaccharides, and polyols (FODMAP) diet is also gaining popularity as a beneficial strategy to reduce commonly occurring exercise-associated gastrointestinal symptoms (Costa et al., 2017). Due to the risks associated with unnecessary dietary restriction, special diets or dietary restraint should be carefully evaluated (Mountjoy et al., 2018). The following review will discuss the current state of knowledge, potential implications of select special diets, and practical considerations for implementation of these for track-and-field athletes aiming to optimize nutrition for health and performance.

Food Intolerances

Track-and-field athletes with diagnosed food allergies or intolerances will require specialized dietary modifications to eliminate exposure to allergens or food that trigger symptoms. A foundational appreciation of these conditions is important to assess the necessity for adherence to a special diet and to sift through commonly reported coexisting food intolerances. Gluten intolerance has become one of the most popular self-reported reasons for a special diet, and therefore, attention will be focused on GFDs and FODMAP restriction in the following section.

Food Allergies and Intolerances

Adverse reactions to food are self-reported to occur in one fifth of the population; however, the origin of the reaction may differ (Turnbull et al., 2015). Variability in methodologies also challenges accurate evaluation of true allergy prevalence. Across the U.S. population, objective measures indicate food allergy prevalence rates to range between ~2.5% and 3% (Rona et al., 2007; Turnbull et al., 2015). Observations from work in the field with athletes suggest that food intolerance appears to be escalating among athletes, yet numerous factors such as the use of
nonvalidated food intolerance testing and self-reported incidence challenge accurate estimates of genuine intolerance (Kostic-Vucicevic et al., 2016). Types of reactions to food can be classified into four primary categories: (a) immune mediated (e.g., egg, fish, nuts), (b) nonimmune mediated (common food triggers as immune mediated with different systemic response and subsequent symptoms), (c) exposure to toxin, and (d) genetic. A food allergy is defined as an adverse immune-mediated reaction, which occurs reproducibly upon exposure to a given food and absent when the food is avoided (Schafer et al., 2001). Other types of reactions are labeled intolerances, which are nonallergic food reactions (e.g., lactose deficiency) and thus do not involve the immune system (Schafer et al., 2001; Turnbull et al., 2015). Reactions to food can also transpire from toxin exposure or excess histamine in foods (e.g., spoiled fish) or from inborn metabolic errors such as in phenylketonuria (Turnbull et al., 2015). Finally, malabsorptive problems, such as fructose malabsorption or a disease condition, and functional gastrointestinal disorders may also be linked to food-related reactions.

Common Food Allergies

Immune-mediated food reactions can range in severity from minor abdominal discomfort to hives and to the most severe, anaphylaxis. Reactions generally develop within minutes of exposure. Investigation of allergen food reactions is less reviewed in adults than in adolescents; however, the most common food allergy triggers are shellfish, peanut, tree nuts, and fish, with some geographical variance (de Silva et al., 2014; Schafer et al., 2001). Cow’s milk, egg, wheat, soy, peanut, tree nuts, fish, and shellfish allergies constitute the majority of food allergy reactions in younger populations (Rona et al., 2007). Determination of IgE-mediated reactions to food requires appropriate diagnosis beginning with a detailed medical/nutrition history to guide appropriate testing procedures (Platt & Wulu, 2017). These verified diagnostic methods include skin prick, measuring food-specific IgE antibody levels, or the gold standard of double-blind placebo-controlled food challenges (Turnbull et al., 2015). Some inherent risks, confounding factors affecting results, arduous nature, and high costs are associated with these tests (Shessell & Tversky, 2018; Tapke et al., 2018). Subsequently, several alternative food intolerance tests appeal to athletes (e.g., microbiome testing, vega testing/electrodermal, hair testing, applied kinesiology, serum-specific IgG, lymphocyte stimulation, facial thermography, gastric juice analysis, endoscopic allergen provocation, cytotoxicity assays, and the Mediator Release Test). Validation is lacking, and therefore, these alternative testing methods are not currently recommended. For track-and-field athletes, food avoidance based on dubious test results may introduce unnecessary food restriction and associated risks, which are discussed throughout this review. Established food allergy testing should be guided by a licensed medical specialist (e.g., allergist, immunologist), and indiscriminate testing for large batteries of allergens should be avoided (Turnbull et al., 2015).

Common Food Intolerances/Malabsorption

Given the ambiguous nature of food intolerances or malabsorption, there is a tendency for athletes to self-diagnose intolerances and subsequently restrict foods or food groups (Kostic-Vucicevic et al., 2016; Lis et al., 2015b). Lactose and fructose malabsorption, which result from insufficient enzyme and functional capability of transporter(s), respectively, are the most commonly reported food intolerances (Fedewa & Rao, 2014; Levitt et al., 2013; Turnbull et al., 2015). Other food intolerances are less clear. Symptoms may appear hours to days after exposure (Turnbull et al., 2015) and range from gastrointestinal (e.g., abdominal bloating, loose stool, abdominal pain) to extraintestinal symptoms including fatigue, headaches, and cognitive difficulties. Several of these symptoms overlap with those characterizing irritable bowel syndrome, functional gastrointestinal disorders, or exercise-induced gastrointestinal syndrome (Costa et al., 2017; Turnbull et al., 2015). Emerging work in this area questions the potential for repeated stress on the gut and associated physiological alterations associated with exercise-induced gastrointestinal syndrome to increase susceptibility to dietary triggers or the development of chronic gastrointestinal disease (Colbey et al., 2018; Costa et al., 2017).

Gluten-Free Diets

GFD Adherence

Adherence to a GFD has exploded in popularity among athletes. Forty-one percent of nonceliac athletes report adhering to a GFD at least half of the time with ~60% self-reporting “gluten intolerance” (Lis et al., 2015b). A strict GFD eliminates all sources of gluten, a storage protein composite, with the alcohol-soluble gliadins defined as prolamins and the alcohol-insoluble glutenins as gliadins. Gliadin is incompletely digested, excreted, and does not trigger an immunological response in individuals without celiac disease. It is well established that a GFD is essential in managing health and symptoms in individuals with clinical conditions such as celiac disease, wheat allergy, and genuine nonceliac gluten/wheat sensitivity. Nonetheless, the number of athletes reported to follow a GFD appears to be four-fold higher than those of the general population who are estimated to clinically require gluten elimination (Sapone et al., 2012). A primary reason for adherence to a GFD in athletes is the widespread conviction that gluten elicits universal gastrointestinal injury/symptoms and triggers inflammation. A GFD is further touted as overall healthier and suggested to provide an ergogenic advantage (Lis et al., 2015b). Only one study has examined the effects of a GFD in nonceliac endurance athletes (Lis et al., 2015a). In this tightly controlled randomized, crossover, double-blind study, athletes followed a short-term gluten-containing diet versus GFD. Diet and exercise were replicated in both trials, but no differences in measures of gastrointestinal injury, gastrointestinal symptoms, systemic inflammatory responses, perceptual well-being, or exercise performance were observed between the diets. Only anecdotal-type substantiation supports the efficacy of a GFD for clinically healthy athletes. Nonetheless, it is important to understand the unique stress placed on the gut in some track-and-field athletes and the likely higher incidence of exercise-induced gastrointestinal syndrome (Costa et al., 2017; van Wijck et al., 2012). Potential exists for compromised gastrointestinal integrity and function to increase the incidence or magnitude of gastrointestinal-related food symptoms or gastrointestinal disorders (Costa et al., 2017).

Gluten to FODMAPs Link

Adverse reactions, particularly gastrointestinal symptoms, are associated with consumption of gluten-containing foods in individuals without a clinical condition requiring gluten elimination (Biesiekierski & Iven, 2015). Amid subjective reports of a GFD improving gastrointestinal symptoms, and an interesting connection
exists between reduced FODMAP intake (e.g., fructans) and avoidance of gluten-containing grains (Gibson & Muir, 2013; Gibson et al., 2015; Skodje et al., 2018). A reduction in FODMAPs has been recognized as a modulating factor for symptom improvement with a GFD and not gluten itself (Skodje et al., 2018). Wheat-based food products such as breads and cereals not only contain gluten but are also rich in fructans, which are within the FODMAP family and poorly digested (Biesiekierski & Iven, 2015; Fedewa & Rao, 2014). This concept is strongly supported by a handful of clinical studies and scientific editorials detailing that the subsequent reduction in FODMAP intake is accountable for improvements in gastrointestinal symptoms and that fructans rather than gluten are associated with gastrointestinal symptom improvement in the majority of individuals with self-reported nonceliac gluten sensitivity (Biesiekierski & Iven, 2015).

**FODMAP Mechanisms**

FODMAPs are a family of fermentable short-chain carbohydrates found in a wide assortment of foods/food constituents (Staudacher et al., 2017). Select high FODMAP foods common in an athlete’s diet are categorized in Table 1 alongside low FODMAP exchanges (Lis et al., 2016b). Briefly, a low FODMAP diet is an individualized nutrition strategy with three phases (i. low FODMAP, ii. rechallenge, and iii. personalization phases) to tailor reduced intake of specific short-chain carbohydrates that can elicit symptoms. This diet has emerged as a frontline treatment for symptoms of irritable bowel syndrome with a 70% success rate (Staudacher et al., 2017). Within a healthy general population, some FODMAPs are poorly digested, but the resulting gastrointestinal symptoms are nonexistent or trivial (Ong et al., 2010). Conversely, in athletes undertaking strenuous exercise with a subsequent impairment of gastrointestinal function and integrity (i.e., transporters), undigested food molecules may increase the osmotic load in the small intestine and contribute to increased osmotic water translocation, volume, and physiological consequences such as loose stool or diarrhea (Staudacher et al., 2014; van Wijck et al., 2012). Upon transit to the lower intestine, these malabsorbed and highly fermentable carbohydrates reach the colon and are subject to bacterial fermentation (breakdown) and result in physiological consequences such as increased luminal volume and pressure (e.g., hydrogen, methane, hydrogen sulfide). In addition, FODMAP intake could augment gastrointestinal symptoms (e.g., abdominal bloating and discomfort, excessive flatulence, urge to defecate, alterations in bowel movements) initiated by the osmotic effects of high carbohydrate consumption necessary to support fueling demands (Pfeiffer et al., 2012).

FODMAP research has predominantly concentrated on lower gastrointestinal symptoms (e.g., abdominal bloating, flatulence, abdominal pain, cramping, diarrhea/loose stool). However, FODMAPs also influence upper gastrointestinal symptoms, such as feeling of fullness, as demonstrated in a clinical feeding study that administered doses of fructose and glucose via gastric infusion (Masuy et al., 2018). Costa et al. (2017) has further summarized that upper gastrointestinal symptoms may be linked to the ileal break feedback mechanism, inhibitory or not. Upper and lower gastrointestinal symptoms may be affected by FODMAPs, but these nonspecific symptoms also congruently manifest from mechanical, physiological, and other nutritional factors (Costa et al., 2017). FODMAPs may not be an exclusive gastrointestinal symptom trigger but amplify symptoms initiated by other factors.

**Low FODMAP Strategies for Track-and-Field Athletes**

Athlete-specific data support the concept of FODMAPs affecting exercise-associated gastrointestinal symptoms (Lis et al., 2016a, 2017). Many athletes already self-eliminate foods high in FODMAPs but may not distinguish that these foods are in the FODMAP family (Lis et al., 2016b). Lactose-containing foods are the most frequently eliminated, followed by fructose in excess of glucose, galacto-oligosaccharides, polyols, and fructans. Two case study reports and one published intervention study further encourage the use of a low FODMAP diet compared with habitual diet (typically high in FODMAPs) to reduce the severity gastrointestinal symptoms during and also outside of exercise (Gaskell & Costa, 2018; Lis et al., 2016a, 2017). Importantly, gastrointestinal symptoms may transpire after a strenuous training session/race potentially affecting refueling for subsequent events. This is of concern in some track-and-field events where competition is carried over multiple days and/or multiple times per day. Assessment of FODMAP intake may be required before, during, and after exercise to optimize gastrointestinal contentment and refueling.

**Nutritional Considerations for GFD and Low FODMAP Diet**

Any dietary treatment should be guided by appropriate diagnosis and dietary/medical management to minimize the risks associated with overlooking the underlying cause(s) and the risks associated

### Table 1 High FODMAP Foods Commonly Consumed in an Athlete’s Diet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FODMAP categories</th>
<th>High FODMAP foods</th>
<th>Low FODMAP food exchanges</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High lactose</td>
<td>Yogurt, cow’s milk</td>
<td>Lactose-free milk, and soy milk (from soy protein)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess fructose</td>
<td>Apples, figs, watermelon, cherries, agave, honey, many fruit juices (e.g., apple, orange), and beetroot</td>
<td>Oranges, berries, banana, grapes, kiwi fruit, cantaloupe, strawberry, blueberries, raspberries, fruit juices* made from the above low FODMAP fruits, blended vegetable juice with tomato base, and canned or pickled beets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High fructans/GOS</td>
<td>Dates, dried apricots, cashews/pistachio nuts, breads/bagels, onions, wheat-based energy bars, and ripe banana</td>
<td>Gluten-free, spelt, special sourdough spelt breads, rice cakes, corn tortillas, wheat and/or gluten-free energy bars, and unripe banana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High polyols</td>
<td>Dried apricots, protein bars and powders, some electrolyte tablets, and sugar-free gum/candies</td>
<td>Protein bars with alternative sweeteners, limit intake of sugar-free gum/candies, or choose sugar-containing brands</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note. Check cereals, bars, sports foods, mixed beverages, and mixed meals for high FODMAP ingredients. FODMAP = fermentable oligosaccharides, disaccharides, monosaccharides, and polyols; GOS, galacto-oligosaccharides.

*Depending on the types and blend, fruit juices can be high in excess fructose and therefore problematic for some athletes (especially when ingested in high amounts).
Vegetarian and Vegan Eating

Track-and-field athletes adopt vegetarian and vegan diets for health, ethical, environmental, religious/spiritual, and aesthetic reasons (Table 2). Although interest in plant-based diets among athletes is not new, their popularity, particularly for vegan diets and semivegetarian or flexitarian diets, seems to be increasing with considerable variability by country, sport, and current public trends (Meyer & Reguant-Closa, 2017). Prevalence rates of veganism among athletes are not well established but are assumed to be similar to that of the general population. Recent statistics suggest that ~22% of the world population is vegetarian (Leahy et al., 2010). The only published study in elite athletes indicated that 8% of international athletes followed a vegetarian diet—1% being vegan (Pelly & Burkhart, 2014).

Although concern exists about the adequacy of vegetarian diets for track-and-field athletes, it is well established that vegetarian diets can be nutritionally adequate to support training demands provided a variety of plant foods and source of vitamin B12 (Agnoli et al., 2017) are sufficiently consumed. Hence, appropriate nutrition education is encouraged for track-and-field athletes.

Potential Benefits of Vegetarian Diets

Plant-based diets may offer health advantages over the typical Western diet. Vegetarian and vegan diets are associated with a reduced risk for chronic diseases in nonathletic populations (Melina et al., 2016). Less is known about the ability of these diets to enhance athletic performance (Cialdella-Kam et al., 2016). A recent review of observational and short-term intervention studies found no benefit or detriment to strength/power, aerobic or anaerobic performance parameters as a result of consuming a vegetarian rather than a nonvegetarian diet for up to 12 weeks (Craddock et al., 2016). Due to the naturally high carbohydrate content, a vegetarian diet may be advantageous for fueling (Craddock et al., 2016). In addition, antioxidant and phytochemicals (Trapp et al., 2010) and the possibility of slight serum alkalinity may be advantageous; however, this has yet to be shown to augment athletic performance (Applegate et al., 2017; Trapp et al., 2010).

Vegetarian Nutrient Considerations and Recommendations

To ensure optimal health and performance, track-and-field athletes following vegetarian diets should select a wide variety of minimally processed vegetables, fruits, grains, nuts, seeds, legumes, and soy products and ensure adequate energy intake (Melina et al., 2016). Adopting a vegetarian diet may result in a reduction of energy intake or of specific nutrients including omega-3 fatty acids, iron, zinc, calcium, vitamin D, iodine, and vitamin B12. These nutrients are less abundant in plant foods or are less well absorbed from plant compared with animal sources.

Energy in Vegetarian Track-and-Field Athletes

Meeting but not exceeding energy needs is a foundation of sports nutrition. Difficulty in meeting energy requirements on a vegetarian diet may be due to food choices that are excessively high in fiber or of low energy density some track-and-field athletes are poorly prepared to make healthy vegetarian choices at work, school, training, and when traveling. This can result in undereating or the selection of less nutrient-dense food. Aiming for five to eight meals/snacks per day and adequate planning may help athletes meet energy needs. As appropriate, track-and-field athletes can increase energy intake by selecting energy-dense foods and by reducing fiber-rich foods. It is also important to note that a vegetarian diet may be used by some track-and-field athletes to mask restrictive eating or an eating disorder (Cialdella-Kam et al., 2016).

Macronutrients: Protein, Carbohydrate, and Fat

A common misconception is that vegetarian track-and-field athletes will not consume adequate protein. Meeting even the higher protein requirements of athletes and additional need for adolescent athletes is not typically a concern provided adequate energy and a variety of high-protein foods are consumed (Castell et al., 2018; Melina et al., 2016) (Table 3). As previously believed, it is unnecessary to combine plant foods in the same meal but instead eat a variety of protein-containing foods throughout the day (Marsh et al., 2013). Protein requirements in a diet consisting exclusively

Table 2 Types of Vegetarian Diets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diet Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vegan (strict vegetarian)</td>
<td>Excludes all animal products including dairy and eggs and honey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetarian</td>
<td>Avoids all flesh foods; may or may not consume eggs or dairy products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lacto-vegetarian</td>
<td>Includes milk or other dairy products but not eggs or other animal foods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ovo-vegetarian</td>
<td>Includes eggs but not dairy products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lacto-ovo-vegetarian</td>
<td>Includes eggs and dairy products</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Athletes who periodically eat small amounts of fish (pesco-vegetarian) and chicken (pollo-vegetarian), who typically eat a meatless diet but occasionally include meat or fish (flexitarian), or who try to limit red and other meats (semivegetarian) are often included in vegetarian classifications.
Table 3 Vegetarian Sources of Key Nutrients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nutrient</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>Beans, peas, lentils, soy products, nuts and nut butters, dairy products, and most soy/plant-based “milks”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy fats</td>
<td>Nuts, seeds, nut butters, avocado, and olive oil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omega-3 fatty acids</td>
<td>Walnuts, flax, chia, camellina, and hemp seeds and oils</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron</td>
<td>Beans, peas, lentils, edamame, nuts, seeds, many grain products, fortified bread, and breakfast cereals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>Beans, peas, lentils, edamame, nuts, and seeds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium</td>
<td>Excellent bioavailability (&gt;50%): Chinese cabbages, kale, texturized vegetable proteins; average bioavailability (~30%): dairy products and calcium-set tofu; lower bioavailability: fortified soymilk, most nuts, seeds, and beans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin D</td>
<td>Fatty fish, vitamin D-fortified cereals, margarine, and plant-based “milks”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iodine</td>
<td>Iodized salt, seaweed, dairy products, and eggs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin B12</td>
<td>Nutritional yeast, soymilk and plant-based “milks,” fortified meat analogs, and Shiitake mushrooms</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Absorption enhanced by organic acids, including vitamin C (see Melina et al., 2016 and Otten et al., 2006, for additional food sources).

Fasting Diets

Fasting is characterized by the absence of energy intake for sustained period of time ranging from several hours to days of less well-digested plant sources, such as whole legumes and unprocessed grains versus well-digested sources including soy, dairy, or egg protein, may be slightly elevated to account for the lower amino acid digestibility (Otten et al., 2006). It is suggested that resting creatine and carnitine concentrations, which are predominately present in meat, may be lower in vegetarians and supplementation may be warranted (Larsen-Meyer, 2018).

Carbohydrates are an important fuel source and should make up the bulk of most athletes diets. However, the challenge for many track-and-field athletes, vegetarian or not, is obtaining carbohydrates from high-quality whole food sources, such as whole grains, quinoa, and starchy vegetables, rather than from overly processed carbohydrates and simple sugars.

Fat is also an integral component to the athlete’s diet. It is specifically important to ensure adequate intake of plant-based sources of omega-3 fatty acids through selection of omega-3-rich foods (Table 3) and replacing omega-6-rich oils (Jeromson et al., 2015). Omega-3 fatty acids may aid in the inflammatory modulation, whereas maintaining a low ratio of omega-6 (e.g., nuts, seed oils soy, sunflower, safflower) to omega-3 fatty acids allows for better elongation of plant-based omega-3 fatty acids (eicosapentaenoic acid and docosahexaenoic acid). In additionally, docosahexaenoic acid–rich microalgae supplements may be of interest to vegetarian athletes (Melina et al., 2016).

Micronutrients

A well-balanced vegetarian diet provides an abundance of nutrients including vitamins A, C, E, and K; folate; potassium; and magnesium (Melina et al., 2016). Depending on food choices, however, meeting daily requirements for iron, zinc, calcium, and vitamin B12 may be challenging. Iron and zinc are important for optimal performance and growth. Compromised iron status has been found in semivegetarian athletes and lacto-ovo vegetarian athletes compared with omnivorous controls (Castell et al., 2018). This is a particular concern for distance and endurance athlete (marathon and race walking) who may be more vulnerable. Although vegetarian track-and-field athletes can achieve adequate iron and zinc status by selecting foods rich in these nutrients (Table 3), knowledge about iron- and zinc-rich food inclusion and the factors that enhance/impair absorption is essential (Gilani et al., 2005; Melina et al., 2016). High-dose supplementation of both minerals can impair immune function and the absorption of other minerals, and therefore, high-dose supplementation is not recommended unless deficiency is present (Maughan et al., 2018).

Calcium, integral for bone health, is a concern for vegan track-and-field athletes and vegetarians who consume little to no dairy or vegetarian milks not fortified in dietary calcium. Opting for non-dairy, well-absorbed calcium-containing foods (listed in Table 3) is preferred over calcium supplements (Melina et al., 2016). The calcium bioavailability of most of these plant foods is as equivalent to cow’s milk. Calcium from spinach, chard, beet greens, and rhubarb, however, is of low bioavailability (<5%) due to high oxalate content. Fortified foods, calcium-rich mineral water, or calcium supplements if necessary are also options. Vitamin D, which aids in calcium absorption, may also be deficient due to reduced intake of fatty fish, vitamin-D-fortified dairy products, and limited sun exposure (particularly during indoor training seasons). Requirements can be met by spending 5–30 min (depending on fair or dark skin) outside, at close to solar noon several times per week during the summer (Table 3; Owens et al., 2018). Vitamin D3, derived from lichen, or D2, produced from irradiation of ergosterol from yeast, are vegan sources, but vitamin D3 may be more effective at increasing vitamin D status.

Iodine is a red flag mineral for many vegans and vegetarians who avoid table salt (typically fortified with iodine), limit cow’s milk consumption, or consume plant foods grown in iodine-poor soil (e.g., parts of the United Kingdom, United States, and New Zealand; Krajcovicova-Kudlackova et al., 2003). Although many plant foods such as cruciferous vegetables, sweet potatoes, and soybeans naturally contain “goitrogens,” which impair the synthesis of thyroid hormone, their consumption does not decrease thyroid sufficiency unless iodine status is also compromised (Messina & Redmond, 2006). Finally, vitamin B12 is a concern for vegan and semivegan athletes because it is found exclusively in animal products. Vegan track-and-field athletes should consume vitamin B12-fortified foods daily or take a vitamin B12-containing supplement or multivitamin. Vegetarian track-and-field athletes should consider taking a supplemental source if their intake of dairy products and/or eggs is limited. Athletes should also be aware that some dietary supplements may come from animal sources including gelatin, collagen, omega-3 fatty acids, and vitamin D3.

Overall, vegetarian and vegan diets that contain a variety of whole grains, vegetables, fruits, legumes, nuts, and seeds can provide the protein, carbohydrate, fat, vitamins, and minerals required for track-and-field athletes. Depending on dietary choice, emphasis of foods high in protein, iron, zinc, calcium, and vitamin B12 (e.g., yeast extract products) will ensure adequate nutrient status. Although research strongly suggests that a plant-based diet may offer some health benefits, there is little evidence that vegetarian diets are superior to omnivorous diets for improving athletic training, health, or performance.
Recently, fasting has received an upsurge of attention. Some forms of fasting are promoted as a strategy to offer health and performance benefits. This has prompted an increase in contemporary fasting publications and renewed academic focus, which has provided some insight into the possible effects of fasting on athletic performance. In particular, investigation of the use of fasting to enhance substrate utilization and mitochondrial adaptation to enhance exercise metabolism and performance (Aird et al., 2018). Any improvements in lipid profile, inflammatory markers, glucose metabolism, or cardiovascular function are short-lived and are not clinically significant (Barkia et al., 2011; Memari et al., 2011; Stockman et al., 2018). These findings prevent using non-obligatory fasting practices within standard practice evidence-based recommendations.

Types of Fasting Practices

Several types of fasting exist. Intermittent fasting (IF) and caloric restriction are the most predominate. IF is conducted intermittently with fast and refeed periods each 24-hour day. Caloric restriction is a chronic reduction in calories for a prolonged period of time where ad libitum water is permitted. Most applicable literature has focused on IF, and therefore, the following discussion will focus on this type of fasting. IF is subdivided into three categories: alternate day fasting, whole-day or periodic fasting, and time-restricted fasting (TRF). In all cases, a primary concern for track-and-field athletes is the loss of lean mass during a period of fasting or caloric restriction (Heilbronn et al., 2005).

Time-restricted fasting has received the most attention within the fasting literature. This form of fasting theoretically offers metabolic and body composition benefits for athletes (Morton et al., 2018). It involves 16–20 hr of fasting with a 4- to 8-hr feeding window. Several commercial variations of this diet have been developed. To date, only one study in recreationally active and resistance-trained athletes has been conducted. Findings indicate TRF does not support favorable changes in lean and fat mass compared with a normal diet (Tinsley et al., 2017). These observations may be attributed to unmatched macronutrient intake, specifically protein, between the normal diet group (1.4 g/kg) compared with the TRF group (1.0 g/kg). A follow-up study matching protein intakes (1.9 g/kg in both groups) demonstrated that TRF may be more effective in reducing fat mass while maintaining lean mass compared with normal diet controls (Moro et al., 2016). However, these results could be influenced by the more favorable feeding period around the training period for the TRF group.

Ramadan IF

Ramadan is a holy month during which Muslims refrain from eating and drinking between sunrise and sunset for 30 days. It is the most widely studied form of TRF and probably the most relevant for many elite athletes. Depending on the seasonal and geographical conditions, Ramadan falls on different dates every year and fasting varies from 11 to 18 hours daily (Azizi, 2002); patterns that can indirectly affect performance and alertness (Roky et al., 2004). Ramadan is also associated with more rapid onset of fatigue, lethargy, and noncontact athlete injury (Chtourou et al., 2011; Tian et al., 2011). Therefore, much research has focused on the factors negatively affecting performance and tools to mitigate these potential detriments (Tables 4 and 5).

Nutrition and Ramadan

Daily eating routines are characterized by two meals: one before sunrise (Sahur) and one after sunset (Iftar). Variation in Ramadan food volume and eating patterns as well as fundamental nutrition...
challenges have been previously characterized (Reilly & Waterhouse, 2007). The two main challenges are that foods offered tend to be higher in fat and sugar compared with habitual diets and that meal quality is less under an athlete’s full control due to the social nature of the eating occasion. It is commonly believed that Ramadan fasting results in a significant calorie deficit. However, studies have demonstrated that energy intake is similar to nonfasting periods but that eating times are later/earlier and within a limited time frame (Maughan et al., 2010). Amid eating challenges, the primary goal for track-and-field athletes should be to maintain body composition as well as minimize decrements in performance. Due to the variances in food availability, there is potential to overeat at fewer meal times, and it can be difficult for some athletes to maintain a stable body composition. Conversely, for some athletes, food choices during Ramadan may become better planned, and subsequently, the quality of food is improved compared with intake during the rest of the nonfasting year. Demonstrating this, unexpected improvements in lean mass and fat mass have even been measured, which may be attributed to improved food choices during Ramadan (Fahrial Syam et al., 2016; Norouzy et al., 2013). Particularly, during the first week of fasting, body composition measures may be inadvertently skewed by increased total body water loss, decreased gastrointestinal volume, and glycogen stores. These factors should be considered with athlete monitoring during this fasting period.

Unique Sport Nutrition Challenges

Ramadan is an opportunity to offer education opportunities to track-and-field athletes during a period when an athlete’s receptiveness may be enhanced with a renewed interest in well-being or to maintain physical capacity throughout fasting. For the sports nutrition practitioner, several well-documented challenges before, during, and after exercise exist. Rehydration, posttraining recovery, fatigue management, portion control, and maintaining optimal body composition are the most common nutrition challenges (Table 4; Norouzy et al., 2013). These challenges are magnified when Ramadan occurs across major sporting events (e.g., the Olympics, the FIFA World Cup). Competing in a non-Muslim country and requirements of weight-making sports present further complications (Aloui et al., 2016). Muslim track-and-field athletes undertaking obligatory fasting are also at a potential disadvantage compared with voluntary fasting as they are less able to modify their feeding periods around training. Some athletes will obtain special permission to observe their fast after an important event. In addition, valuable nutrition strategies such as carbohydrate mouth rinsing may be not permitted due to the interpretation of fasting law by Islamic scholars. Overall, many challenges are associated with Ramadan fasting, and individualized strategies are required for successful management to avoid a possible detraining effect (Table 5; Aloui et al., 2016; Maughan et al., 2012).

Knowledge and Beliefs

A solid understanding of the knowledge and beliefs pertaining to Ramadan is important for practitioners counseling Muslim track-and-field athletes as much contradictory evidence exists on the effects of Ramadan fasting on health. Personalized knowledge and beliefs can often translate into attitudes and practices. Long-standing experiences established in early years, advice from peers, knowledge level, and how well the environment is controlled appear to be significant factors of Ramadan impacting performance (Chamari et al., 2016). It is commonly trusted that Ramadan fasting negatively affects physical performance (Chamari et al., 2016). However, evidence continues to advocate that only a few aspects of physical fitness are negatively affected by Ramadan (Alkandari et al., 2012; Chamari et al., 2016). Cognitive performance (e.g., psychomotor function, vigilance, executive function) and decision making are negatively impacted, which may moderately compromise skill execution and reaction time in some sports (Kirkendall et al., 2012; Meckel et al., 2008). Overall, maintenance of daily energy and macronutrient intakes, body composition, training load, and sleep duration similar to the rest of the year will minimize impairments of physical performance during Ramadan.

Evidence is lacking to support any benefit of fasting compared with conventional techniques for improving body composition or metabolic parameters in track-and-field athletes. Nutrition strategies should be planned in advance to avoid possible performance decrements during obligatory fasting.

Conclusions

Special diets may be appropriate for some track-and-field athletes, but each of these should be carefully evaluated as well as the rationale for choosing a diet. Ad hoc adherence to a special diet is associated with several risks, which should be carefully evaluated and monitored. Even in cases where a special diet is necessary, proper education is essential as dietary restriction may do more harm than good. To optimize nutrition for elite performance guidance from an accredited dietitian/nutritionist, together with advice from appropriate medical and sport science personnel, should be sought before adherence to a special diet.
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