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In 1993, Ainsworth et al published the Compendium of Physical Activities (compendium: https://sites.google.com/site/compendiumofphysicalactivities) to address the issue of how to code physical activities by type and intensity in a standardized system across studies of adults.1 It was intended to help investigators collecting physical activity (PA) data by diary, recall, or direct observation describe PA on a common metric of energy expenditure. This metric could be used to characterize patterns of PA in populations, determinants of PA, or relationships between PA and health or disease. Use of such a common metric also could allow comparisons of the results of diverse intervention studies targeting multiple PAs.

Until 2008, many researchers studying children and adolescents relied on the adult compendium to translate PA behaviors into energy expenditure (EE) units. However, it is known that changes in resting metabolic rate and relative energy cost of PAs occur with growth and maturation,2,3 making the use of adult-based metabolic equivalent (MET) values inappropriate for youth. In response, Ridley et al developed the Compendium of Energy Expenditures for Youth.4 Because more than 60% of the values included in the youth compendium were adult-based values4 and because considerable additional estimates of EE for PA have been published in the past 5 to 10 years, we believed efforts to update the youth compendium would be worthwhile.

Recent Youth Compendium Efforts

The National Collaborative for Childhood Obesity Research (NCCOR; http://www.nccor.org), a partnership of 4 leading research funders whose mission is to accelerate progress in reducing childhood obesity, recognized the value of extending the existing youth compendium and convened a group of experts in April 2012. The experts discussed the potential impact an updated compendium could make for both researchers and practitioners, and considered potential difficulties associated with obtaining EE values that would be derived from data on youth. The group then began work on 3 main tasks. The first task was to decide on the EE metric that would be used and how much of an impact age-related differences would have on that metric. McMurray et al explored various metrics to minimize the influence of age, physical characteristics, and sex that are potential confounders when measuring EE in youth.5 McMurray recommended the Youth-MET as the best metric for accuracy and practicality.5 Since then, the expert group has conducted additional work to account for age-related differences in EE.

The second task was to conduct a comprehensive literature search to find newly published data on measured EE values for youth physical activities. The group is working on conducting this literature search and it is near completion.

The third task was a call for researchers to submit unpublished data on youth EE. This third task has resulted in this supplemental issue, New Data for an Updated Youth Energy Expenditure Compendium, which aims to present additional, previously unpublished data to directly inform the updated youth compendium. Together, all of these activities will contribute to the development of an updated youth energy expenditure compendium.

Highlights of This Issue

This supplemental issue was commissioned by NCCOR to solicit unpublished data on the EE of children and adolescents performing specific activities. It contains an exciting group of 17 high-quality articles from 4 countries. An important consideration for all studies included in this supplemental issue was the methodology used to assess EE. To be included, studies were required to use some form of indirect calorimetry or whole-room calorimetry to measure EE.

The articles in this supplement are unique in that they span a wide range of ages and contribute previously unavailable EE values across age groups and developmental levels. Three studies evaluate preschool children and contribute EE data for activities pertinent to this age group, such as active video games, ball toss, standing art, cleaning up, treasure hunt, bicycle riding, obstacle course, shooting baskets, and soccer (Byun6; Großeck7; Puyau8). Other studies evaluate a large age range, from 5 to 17 or 18 years old (Lau9; Ondrak10; Sasaki11; Schuna12). One article even examines developmental trajectories of EE values (Trost13).

Another important contribution of this supplement is that the included studies cover nearly 250 separate activities. One study alone includes 70 activities (Sasaki11). Others focus on specific activities, such as tennis practice and match play (Ondrak10), different types of chores (Ridley14), different types of active video games (Barkman15), locomotor courses (van Loo16), hopscotch (Innerd17), playground games (Mackintosh18), different types of active video games (Barkman19), locomotor courses (van Loo20), and hand weights (Lee21). Several studies in this issue include EE assessment of sedentary activities. These activities, which are of increasing public health interest, represent many of the activities performed by today’s youth. For example, Honas et a12 evaluate EE during normal and active classroom instruction while others consider separate individual sedentary tasks, such as playing board games (Sasaki11), watching
movies or television (Puyau\textsuperscript{8}; Schuna\textsuperscript{12}), drawing (Innerd\textsuperscript{17}), reading (Lee\textsuperscript{21}), and playing a handheld e-game (van Loo\textsuperscript{20}).

**Summary**

This supplemental issue contributes to the further development of the youth compendium and also highlights specific research needs for the future. In doing so, it points to 2 lessons. First, significant and important data are available in unpublished laboratory notebooks. Future efforts to compile physiological data could benefit by seeking out a journal that is willing to publish a supplement, and then advertising an opportunity for researchers to publish their data as an incentive. Second, despite obtaining new estimates of EE for diverse, understudied activities, further research is needed to expand and inform the youth compendium. In particular, a focus on measuring activities across several ages is important, due to the known variation in EE that occurs with age. Rigorous methodology is needed in future research to ensure comparability of data and accurate assessment, as is detailed description of the activities performed. This supplemental issue contributes to the updated youth compendium, which will be an invaluable tool for public health in terms of policy making and interventions to improve health and wellness of youth.
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