Incidence of Shoulder Injury in Elite Wheelchair Athletes Differ Between Sports: A Critically Appraised Topic
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Clinical Scenario: Until recently, injury epidemiology data on elite Paralympic athletes were limited. Current data suggest high rates of shoulder injury in wheelchair athletes. Differences in shoulder injury rates between sports have not been reported in this population. Clinical Question: Is the incidence of shoulder injury in elite wheelchair athletes different between sports? Summary of Key Findings: Shoulder injury rates are high in elite wheelchair athletes, particularly in sports such as field events and fencing that require a stable base (eg, trunk, core control) from which to perform. Wheelchair racing requires repetitive motions that contribute to shoulder injuries, but rates are lower than field sports and fencing. Wheelchair curling and sledge hockey have low shoulder injury risk. Clinical Bottom Line: Shoulder injury rates vary based on sport in elite wheelchair athletes. In addition to incorporating shoulder complex specific rehabilitation for overuse shoulder injuries, clinicians should focus on core and trunk stabilization in elite wheelchair athletes competing in sports, such as field events and fencing. Strength of Recommendation: Grade C evidence exists that reports shoulder injury rates among elite wheelchair athletes differ based on sport participation.
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Clinical Scenario

The inaugural Paralympic games were held in 1960, yet it was not until 1976 when injuries during the games were first documented. There are limited epidemiological data on disabled athletes due to inconsistent documentation, lack of definitions of injuries, reliance on self-reporting, and lack of web-based injury surveillance systems. Ferrara and Peterson conducted the first longitudinal study on 5 major elite paraevents using standardized procedures and equipment and reported injury rates in elite disabled athletes were comparable to elite able-bodied athletes. Their research also advocated for the development of standardized injury definitions, reporting more specific injury information (eg, wheelchair athletes by class and impairment), and data collection systems to enable higher quality epidemiological research of disabled athletes. Continued efforts by several organizations to address identified barriers led to standardized injury definitions and injury rate calculations, and the implementation of an online web-based injury and illness surveillance system at the 2012 London Paralympic Games. Establishing the infrastructure to support injury surveillance of elite disabled athletes facilitates consistent data collection and tracking methods across a variety of sports, body regions, and injuries. Information gained from injury surveillance enables researchers and clinicians to better understand injuries and the unique needs of this population, so more appropriate care can be provided. For example, wheelchair athletes present with unique demands and needs of their upper-extremity in daily life and sport, which may impact injury rates. It is important to know whether, how, and if sport type impacts shoulder injury rates, so appropriate steps can be implemented to restore upper-extremity function.

Clinical Question

Is the incidence of shoulder injury in elite wheelchair athletes different between sports?

Summary of Search, Best Evidence Appraised, and Key Findings

- The literature was searched for studies that reported on shoulder injuries among elite wheelchair athletes who participate in parasports.
- The literature search returned 8 possible studies (4 prospective cohort, 3 retrospective cohort, and 1 systematic review study) related to the clinical question; 2 studies met the inclusion criteria and were included.
  - While performing a hand search of references of included articles, 1 study met the inclusion criteria and was included.
- The studies revealed that reports of shoulder injuries for elite wheelchair athletes had various incidence rates among 5 different parasports.
  - The shoulder is reported as the most common site of injury compared with other body regions among wheelchair athletes in 2 of the studies.
  - Seated throwing field athletes had higher shoulder injury incident rate compared with wheelchair racing.
  - The role that trunk control has on the risk of shoulder injury among wheelchair fencers may be an important consideration to mitigating injury.
  - Sledge hockey and wheelchair curling reported a higher risk of upper-extremity injury compared with other winter parasports (eg, alpine skiing, Nordic skiing, biathlon).
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Clinical Bottom Line

Evidence supports the high prevalence of overuse shoulder injuries in elite wheelchair athletes, while incidence rate of shoulder injuries is varied and dependent on sport. Seated field events were found to have the highest incidence rate of shoulder injury followed by wheelchair fencing. The required mechanics of seated field events and wheelchair fencing and its relation to the disruption in the kinetic chain due to poor core/trunk control may be a contributing factor to the overuse injury rate. Clinicians should develop injury prevention and treatment programs that restore core/trunk control, thus promote optimal energy transfer through the kinetic chain, creating a more stable base from which fencing and throwing upper limb movements are generated. Additional research is needed to further investigate the biomechanics of individual sports and the upper-extremity compensations needed to participate in wheelchair athletes.

Strength of Recommendation

Grade C evidence exists that reports shoulder injury rates among elite wheelchair athletes differ based on sport participation.

Search Strategy

We performed a computerized search in April 2017 and again in October 2017. The following search terms in combination were put into the databases at once.

Terms Used to Guide Search Strategy

- Patient/Client Group: (Wheelchair OR adaptive OR Paraly* OR para-ath* OR disable*) AND (athlete)
- Intervention (or Assessment): not applicable
- Comparison: (track OR field OR basketball OR tennis OR skiing OR archery OR swimming OR rugby OR weight* OR sport OR compet*)
- Outcome(s): (prevalence OR epidemiology OR rate OR incidence) AND (injury OR pain OR chronic OR dysfunction OR impairment OR disabilities) AND (shoulder OR upper extremity OR upper limb OR glenohumeral)

Sources of Evidence Searched

- The Cochrane Library
- PubMed
- CINAHL
- SPORTDiscus
- Additional resources obtained via review of reference lists and hand search

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Inclusion Criteria

- Level 3 evidence or higher
- Studies that reported shoulder injury rates in elite wheelchair athletes. For the purposes of this study, “elite” was defined as individuals competing at a national or international level.

Exclusion Criteria

- Studies that investigated shoulder injury rates and/or pain among elite wheelchair athletes by sport.
- Studies that included able-bodied and wheelchair data but reported shoulder injury rates for each group separately
- Limited to English language
- Limited to the past 10 years (2007–2017)

Results of Search

Three relevant studies were located and categorized as shown in Table 1 (based on levels of evidence, Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine, 2011).

Best Evidence

The studies in Table 2 were identified as the best evidence and selected for inclusion in this critically appraised topic (CAT). These studies were selected because they were considered level 3 evidence or higher and reported shoulder injury rates by sport in elite wheelchair athletes.

Implications for Practice, Education, and Future Research

All 3 studies reviewed in this CAT addressed the incidence rate of shoulder injuries among elite wheelchair athletes, providing evidence to answer our clinical question of whether rates differ by sport. Wheelchair fencing and seated field events were at the highest risk for an overuse shoulder injury. While the same injury rate and risk values were reported differently in the studies, wheelchair fencing in athletes with poor trunk control had a shoulder injury rate of 13.6 (3.4, 17.8), and wheelchair seated field events reported a rate of 15.8%. Wheelchair racing reported comparatively lower shoulder complex injury rates at 3.5%. Wheelchair curling reported the lowest rate of shoulder complex injuries between sports at 0.11%. Sledge hockey reported only one traumatic shoulder injury, which was a glenohumeral dislocation. This is important information to consider when advising individuals on participation in the various wheelchair sports.
investigated

Participants 10 elite AFs: age = 27.0 (5.5) y
14 WF: age = 28.6 (6.8) y; n = 7 category A (good trunk control), n = 7 category B (no active trunk control)
Inclusion criteria: members of Hong Kong National Squad, available for monthly interviews over a 3-y time span
No exclusion criteria were reported.

Intervention investigated
Three physiotherapists conducted the monthly interviews between November 2006 and October 2009.
A standardized injury recording form was used.
AF and WF responded to questions on training duration, match duration, and self-reported injury characteristics.
If an injury was self-reported, it was examined and diagnosed by a registered physiotherapist and an orthopedic specialist.

Outcome measure(s)
(1) Number of injuries between AF and WF
(2) Number of injuries between WF category A and category B
(3) RR between AF and WF in relation to the nature, location, and severity
(4) RR between WF category A and category B in relation to the nature, location, and severity

Main findings
62 injuries reported among AFs, 95 injuries reported among WFs.
Competition reported higher injuries compared with training for both AF and WF. These injuries were considered acute and traumatic.
LE injuries (43) were reported more common in AFs, whereas UE injuries (70) were more common in WFs.
WFs are more at risk for minor injuries (RR = 2.4; 95% CI, 1.6–3.6), muscle strain (RR = 2.2; 95% CI, 1.3–3.6), shoulder injuries (RR = 13.6; 95% CI, 3.4–17.8), and elbow injuries (RR = 5.9; 95% CI, 2.5–17.2).
WF category B reported more injuries than WF category A (55 injuries and 40 injuries, respectively).
WF category A had higher risk of muscle strain (RR = 1.83; 95% CI, 1.1–3.3) and shoulder injury (RR = 4.97; 95% CI, 1.8–16.9) compared with WF category B.
Extended absence of training/competition for AF was due to various LE orthopedic conditions while WF, especially category B, shoulder injuries were responsible for time loss.

Table 2 Characteristics of Included Studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Intervention Investigated</th>
<th>Outcome measure(s)</th>
<th>Main Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chung et al⁵</td>
<td>Prospective cohort</td>
<td>10 elite AFs: age = 27.0 (5.5) y</td>
<td>(1) Number of injuries between AF and WF</td>
<td>62 injuries reported among AFs, 95 injuries reported among WFs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blauwet et al⁴</td>
<td>Retrospective cohort⁶</td>
<td>977 elite paraathletes (males and females) from 138 countries</td>
<td>(1) IR by impairment, event discipline, sex, and age</td>
<td>216 injuries were reported: 95 injuries/497 athletes in track and 121 injuries/480 athletes in field. Overall IR = 22.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Webborn et al⁶</td>
<td>Retrospective cohort⁶</td>
<td>118 elite sledge hockey athletes; 51 elite wheelchair curling athletes</td>
<td>(1) IR</td>
<td>Ice sledge hockey:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Until recently, it has been difficult to compare results of previous parasports epidemiological studies due to the overall lack of research and the inconsistency of injury reports. However, previous studies on wheelchair sports have consistently reported high rates of overuse upper-extremity injuries, specifically to the shoulder. Although the most recent epidemiological study using data collected at the Rio Paralympics did not meet our study inclusion criteria, it reiterates findings that the shoulder is the most susceptible body part to overuse injury. Overuse shoulder injuries in wheelchair athletes are commonly reported as soft-tissue injuries due to repetitive nature. This mechanism typically refers to the repetitive motions associated with wheelchair propulsion as seen in racing sports. Although repetitive wheelchair propulsion may contribute to overuse shoulder injuries, more recent research suggests this mechanism may not be the leading cause of overuse shoulder injuries in this population.

Current research suggests that overuse shoulder injuries occur due to a deficit in the kinetic chain. It is speculated that the combination of performing the sport seated and the lack of power generated by the lower-extremity creates an unstable base, further challenging the body to produce a powerful upper-extremity movement. Deficits along the kinetic chain lead to greater compensations at the upper-extremity and over time results in an overuse injury. This concept is further supported by the fact that the wheelchair group with decreased trunk control displayed a higher prevalence of overuse shoulder injuries compared with the wheelchair group with trunk control. Wheelchair fencing reported the second highest injury incident rate out of 22 sports at the Rio Paralympics. Athletes who utilize a wheelchair while competing in sports (e.g., wheelchair rugby, wheelchair basketball, wheelchair tennis) were among the top 8 sports with the highest injury rate. This further supports the concept of an unstable base contributing to injury. Determining the injury to be caused by the repetitive deficits in kinetic chain rather than a single repetitive motion is a unique aspect and finding. It is important for clinicians to consider this as another common mechanism of overuse shoulder injuries when working with paraathletes who compete seated.

The findings of this CAT demonstrate the need for clinicians to have a greater understanding of risk factors that can and cannot be modified specific to the elite wheelchair athletes. Clinicians should be aware of the type of injury not only if covering a parasporting event but also as wheelchair athletes seek medical treatment in the clinic. The utilization of injury incidence rates among the different sports and anatomical regions in conjunction with the risk factors is crucial in the implementation of prevention and rehabilitation programs.

Future research should include investigation of injury rates among and within the various disability classification groups used in parasports to provide more specific information on injuries as they relate to specific disabilities. Research should also include a more detailed description of the mechanism of injury to help establish injury patterns, which can be utilized to develop prevention programs and preparticipation evaluations. In addition, there is a need for further research on this topic that involves injuries reported throughout training and not just at single events. This will provide a more extensive understanding of overuse injury incidence rates and its effect among wheelchair athletes. This CAT should be reviewed in 2 years or when additional best evidence becomes available to determine whether additional best evidence has been published that may change the clinical bottom line for the research question posed in this review.
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