Kinesio Taping Improves Perceptions of Pain and Function of Patients With Knee Osteoarthritis: A Randomized, Controlled Trial
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Context: Although increasingly used for therapeutic treatment, only limited evidence exists regarding the effects of kinesio taping on patients with knee osteoarthritis (OA). Objective: To determine the effects of kinesio taping on pain, function, gait, and neuromuscular control concerning patients with knee OA. Design: Randomized sham-controlled trial. Setting: University laboratory. Participants: A total of 141 patients (65.1 [7.0] y) with a clinical and radiographic diagnosis of knee OA. Intervention: Kinesio tape, sham tape, or no tape for 3 consecutive days. Main Outcome Measures: Self-reported pain, stiffness, and function were measured by the Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index (WOMAC). Further tests included the Balance Error Scoring System, 10-m walk test, the maximum voluntary isometric contraction force of the quadriceps femoris, and knee active range of motion. Results: At baseline, there were no differences in all outcomes between groups except for knee flexion. Significant effects were found for WOMAC pain (tape vs sham, \( P = 0.05 \); tape vs control, \( P = 0.047 \)), stiffness (tape vs sham, \( P = 0.01 \); tape vs control, \( P \leq 0.001 \)), and physical function (tape vs sham, \( P = 0.03 \); tape vs control \( P = 0.004 \)). No interactions were found for balance, muscle strength, walking speed, or active range of motion. Conclusion: Wearing kinesio tape for 3 consecutive days had beneficial effects regarding self-reported clinical outcomes of pain, joint stiffness, and function. This emphasizes that kinesio taping might be an adequate conservative treatment for the symptoms of knee OA.
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Osteoarthritis is one of the most frequent musculoskeletal disorders in older adults.\(^2\) The current global prevalence of knee osteoarthritis (OA) is 3.8%, and women are affected more often (4.8%) than men.\(^3\) In the past, OA was generally known as degenerative cartilage decrease. Today, OA is defined as a disease that involves the whole joint including cartilage, menisci, sub-chondral bone, synovium, capsule, ligaments, and muscles.\(^1\) The primary focus of nonsurgical treatment is on the reduction of main symptoms of pain and stiffness\(^1\) as well as on improvement of functional capacities. A conservative therapeutic approach for the treatment of musculoskeletal disorders that increasingly gained attention of clinicians and physiotherapists in the last years is kinesio taping. However, due to the lack of research studies, little is known regarding the influence on clinically relevant symptoms or underlying physiological changes responsible for potential effects. Consequently, the use and benefits of kinesio taping are still controversially discussed.\(^4\)

The results of the few existing studies on the effects of kinesio taping in general are mostly inconsistent\(^5\) and produce uncertainty regarding the clinical value. Significant effects were found for pain perception and joint range of motion (ROM) in patients with acute whiplash\(^6\) and shoulder impingement\(^7\). Other studies reported tendencies for an improved proprioception\(^8\),\(^9\) and lymph flow\(^10\),\(^11\) as well as changes in muscles tone.\(^12\),\(^13\),\(^14\) Regarding knee OA, 2 recently published studies indicate beneficial effects of kinesio tape on reducing pain, improving isokinetic quadriceps torque,\(^15\) pain-free ROM, and proprioception.\(^16\) However, both studies had a small sample size, and patients underwent posttest measurements immediately after kinesio tape application. Therefore, the short-term effectiveness of the therapeutic use of kinesio taping in OA patients is still unclear.

The objective of this study was to determine the effects of kinesio tape on perceptions of pain and function as well as on ROM, strength, balance, and walking abilities. The results of this study will help to provide evidence-based recommendations regarding the use of kinesio tape for treating OA-related functional limitations.

Methods

Design

A randomized sham-controlled trial was conducted between January 2015 and October 2015 (Figure 1). Patients were randomly allocated into an intervention group, sham group, or control group. Randomization was carried out using numbered labels in concealed envelopes. The subjects had to pull an envelope from a nontransparent vessel, after the baseline measurement. Thus, the concealed allocation could be ensured. Patients in the intervention and sham groups were blinded regarding the treatment. Both groups were told to receive an effective kinesio tape for the knee joint, only with a difference in the application area. The control group was not blinded. All measurements were accomplished at the university laboratory of the Department of Exercise Physiology. The data collection was conducted by the same examiner. Due to the applied tape in the follow-up measure, it was not possible to blind the examiner regarding the study group allocation. All performed procedures were conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki (1975) and were ethically approved by the local ethics committee at Friedrich Schiller University Jena.
Participants

Patients were recruited through advertisement in newspapers and direct mailings in cooperation with local clinicians, resulting in an assessment for eligibility of 230 subjects. Patients with a clinical and radiographic diagnosis of knee OA, certified by an orthopedic surgeon, and an age of 50 years or older were considered for inclusion. There is an increasing risk for elderly people beyond 50 to get OA.3 As the clinical symptoms do not always agree with the X-ray,27 all degrees of severity of knee OA were included in this study. Exclusion criteria were acute inflammation or pain with edema, a recent or simultaneous pharmacological treatment, a surgical intervention in the past 6 months, skin diseases or irritations or another previous total or partial joint endoprosthesis. All participants received written information of the study and signed the consent after being informed.

Procedures

On the basis of previous knee OA studies,28–30 primary outcomes of pain and physical function were measured by the Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index (WOMAC). The questionnaire included 24 questions, divided in 3 subscales: pain, stiffness, and physical function. It was answered by a validated 10 points rating scale.29 All items were answered retrospectively for the last 2 days.

Balance, walking speed, isometric quadriceps torque, and ROM were secondary outcomes. Static balance was measured by the Balance Error Scoring System (BESS).31,32 Patients stood with hands on hips and eyes closed as still as possible for 20 seconds during 6 conditions of increasing challenge: double-leg, tandem, and single-leg stance on (1) firm and (2) foam surface. In each condition, the number of the following errors was counted: lifting hands off iliac crests, opening eyes, stepping, stumbling, falling, moving the hip more than 30°, lifting the forefoot or heel, and remaining out of the testing position for more than 5 seconds.33

The maximum walking speed was measured by the timed 10-m walk test.34 To determine the maximum speed, the patients started 2 m before the starting line and stopped 2 m after the finish line. The time was only measured 10 m between start and finish lines.

Maximum isometric knee extension strength was assessed using an isokinetic dynamometer (Isomed 2000; D&R Ferstl, Hemau, Germany). The subjects sat in an upright position with 90° flexion in the hip joint on the chair. The pelvic and the torso were secured with straps to prevent body movements. The lateral femur condyle was used as anatomical orientation, for a precise axis of rotation of the knee joint and the dynamometer. The dynamometer lever arm was fixed 2 cm above the lateral malleolus. To ensure a 90° flexion during the testing situation, the knee joint was

---

**Figure 1** — CONSORT flow diagram of the study process including numbers of participants.
fixed at 95° to control the deformation of the shin pad. \cite{35} Gravity correction was made in a relaxed extension using integrated function of the software. Before starting the measurement, the subjects performed 2 submaximal exertions with a 2-minute break in between, in order to become familiar with the dynamometer. Each test included 3 maximum contractions (3 s for each contraction) with a rest interval of 3 minutes. The peak torque of the 3-second trial was used to determine the maximum voluntary isometric contraction (MVIC). Subsequently, the best of the 3 trials (highest contraction force) was used for data analysis. Every testing situation was supervised, and verbal encouragement was given by the same investigator.

The active ROM of knee flexion and extension was tested using a 360° double-armed goniometer by the same instructor. \cite{36} Measurements were performed in supine position with the goniometer fulcrum placed on the lateral epicondyle of the femur. Knee-flexion ROM was defined as the maximum deviation from the neutral zero position. \cite{37} Subjects performed the active knee flexion independently.

All tests were conducted in a fixed order with strength test at last to avoid exhaustion while testing.

Patients who were allocated into the intervention group received a kinesio tape on the knee following the current standard of “Kinesiological Taping” by Kase et al. \cite{38} A medial and a lateral “I”-strap as well as 1 “I”-strap over the patella were applied (Figure 2A). “I”-strap means the tape is not cut into different parts; it is applied in the full width and mainly used to limit edema and pain. \cite{39} The strap across the patella was applied in individual maximum knee flexion. The base of the tape was applied at the tibial tuberosity and pulled in maximum tension over the patella, ending at the lower third of the quadriceps femoris muscle. The medial and lateral straps were applied in 45° knee flexion along the collateral medial and lateral ligaments. Patients in the sham group received a tape distal to the knee without tension and without affecting the knee joint (Figure 2B). The tape was applied with straight legs, lying prostrate on a bench. The kinesio tape and sham tape were applied immediately after baseline measurements by a physiotherapist with certificates and long-term experience in elastic taping. Tapes were worn for 3 consecutive days and were removed after the last measurement at posttest. The effect was examined with still applied tape to capture the original condition during a tape period. Patients in the control group had no intervention but were given the opportunity to receive the kinesio tape after study participation.

Statistical Analyses

The sample size calculation indicated that 98 participants were needed to get a clinical relevant effect for the WOMAC Questionnaire ($f=0.39$) \cite{39} with a statistical power of 90% at an alpha level equal to .05.

One-way analysis of variance was used to detect baseline differences between groups at baseline in demographics and primary and secondary outcomes. Intervention effects were determined using the analysis of covariance (95% confidence interval) including the mean difference from baseline to follow-up. Dependent variables were WOMAC pain, stiffness, and function as well as the BESS, MVIC, 10-m walk test, and ROM. Group allocation was defined as independent factor, and the age was included as covariate to recognize a possible age-related impairment of the measurements. This analysis was made because age has been shown to correlate with the diagnosis OA. The post hoc comparison of the 3 groups was made using a Bonferroni correction. All calculations were based on an intention-to-treat analysis. The statistical analysis was performed using the Statistical Package for Social Science software (version 22.0; IBM Corp, Armonk, NY).

Results

Of the 230 patients with a knee OA among whom underwent the eligibility screening, 141 joined the study and 131 completed posttests (Figure 1). The dropout rate was 7% of all participants ($n=3$ in the intervention group, $n=4$ in the sham group, and $n=3$ in controls) and appeared because of sickness, pain in the knee, or loss of interest in participating. As all reasons of dropouts were registered, it can be considered that none of the dropouts were due to the intervention.

At baseline, no differences were found between groups in demographics and anthropometric variables (Table 1). In primary and secondary outcomes, significant baseline differences between groups were found for active knee flexion ($P=.04$) (Table 1).

Baseline to posttest changes as well as statistics are presented in Table 2. Significant intervention effects were found for the 3 WOMAC subscales (pain: $F_{2,135}=3.82$, $P=.02$; stiffness: $F_{2,135}=7.27$, $P=.001$; function: $F_{2,135}=6.08$, $P=.003$). In secondary outcomes, no significant intervention effects were found, although the greatest improvements were observed in the kinesio tape group (Table 2). Age had no significant influence on the primary outcomes (Table 2).

Post hoc analyses revealed significant group differences in the WOMAC between the tape and sham groups (pain: $P=.05$, stiffness: $P=.01$, function: $P=.03$) as well as the tape and the control groups (pain: $P=.047$, stiffness: $P=.001$, function: $P=.004$). No significant differences were found between the sham and control groups (Table 3).

Discussion

The main finding of this study is that wearing a kinesio tape over 3 consecutive days is effective to improve the self-reported perception of pain, joint stiffness, and physical function in patients with OA compared with a sham tape or no intervention. Although this emphasizes the benefits of kinesio tape for the treatment for major symptoms of OA, the underlying mechanism responsible for these effects are still speculative.

![Figure 2](image-url) — (A) Kinesio tape of the intervention group and (B) Sham tape.
Another frequently discussed influencing factor is the stimulation of cutaneous mechanoreceptors.40 This may change the interactions between cutaneous mechanoreceptors and proprioception and could be responsible for the decreased perception of stiffness41 in the kinesio tape group observed in our study. As knee pain considerably limits perceptions of joint function,42 the present study conclude that the improved perception of pain and stiffness may be responsible for the increased function in knee pain considerably limits perceptions of joint function,42 the present study conclude that the improved perception of pain and stiffness may be responsible for the increased function in knee pain considerably limits perceptions of joint function,42 the present study conclude that the improved perception of pain and stiffness may be responsible for the increased function in knee pain considerably limits perceptions of joint function,42 the present study conclude that the improved perception of pain and stiffness may be responsible for the increased function in knee pain considerably limits perceptions of joint function,42 the present study conclude that the improved perception of pain and stiffness may be responsible for the increased function in knee pain considerably limits perceptions of joint function,42 the present study conclude that the improved perception of pain and stiffness may be responsible for the increased function in

Table 1 Baseline Demographic Data of the Tape, Sham, and Control Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Tape (n = 47)</th>
<th>Sham (n = 47)</th>
<th>Control (n = 47)</th>
<th>F_{2,140}</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex, male/female</td>
<td>23/24</td>
<td>21/26</td>
<td>23/24</td>
<td></td>
<td>.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affected side, left/right</td>
<td>20/27</td>
<td>17/30</td>
<td>22/25</td>
<td></td>
<td>.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>64.7 (7.3)</td>
<td>65.3 (6.0)</td>
<td>65.4 (7.6)</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height, cm</td>
<td>172.2 (8.3)</td>
<td>169.6 (8.8)</td>
<td>170.8 (8.8)</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight, kg</td>
<td>85.0 (18.4)</td>
<td>80.0 (15.7)</td>
<td>78.3 (13.4)</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WOMAC pain, points</td>
<td>3.33 (1.35)</td>
<td>3.67 (1.34)</td>
<td>3.58 (1.27)</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WOMAC stiffness, points</td>
<td>4.06 (1.88)</td>
<td>4.30 (2.03)</td>
<td>4.11 (2.02)</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WOMAC function, points</td>
<td>3.44 (1.51)</td>
<td>3.76 (1.78)</td>
<td>3.50 (1.30)</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BESS, error points</td>
<td>21.96 (7.85)</td>
<td>22.79 (10.12)</td>
<td>20.64 (7.50)</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIVC, N·m</td>
<td>4.91 (19.00)</td>
<td>2.71 (12.55)</td>
<td>1.13 (3.81)</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-m walk test, s</td>
<td>6.07 (1.25)</td>
<td>6.07 (1.78)</td>
<td>5.81 (1.31)</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active flexion, deg</td>
<td>126.81 (8.56)</td>
<td>126.06 (9.11)</td>
<td>130.0 (6.17)</td>
<td>3.22</td>
<td>.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active extension, deg</td>
<td>6.0 (5.77)</td>
<td>6.32 (6.07)</td>
<td>5.36 (5.43)</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>.72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: BESS, Balance Error Scoring System; MVIC, maximum voluntary isometric contraction; WOMAC, Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index. Note: Data are presented as mean (SD). The analysis was made with an α of .05.

Table 2 ANCOVA Analysis of the Mean Difference From Baseline To Follow-Up After 3 Days

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Tape group, mean (SD)</th>
<th>Sham group, mean (SD)</th>
<th>Control group, mean (SD)</th>
<th>F_{df}</th>
<th>Group (P value)</th>
<th>F_{df}</th>
<th>Age (P value)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WOMAC pain, points</td>
<td>−0.70 (1.2)</td>
<td>−0.13 (1.20)</td>
<td>−0.12 (0.87)</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>3.09</td>
<td>.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WOMAC stiffness, points</td>
<td>−1.12 (1.50)</td>
<td>−0.30 (1.54)</td>
<td>−0.07 (1.57)</td>
<td>7.27</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WOMAC function, points</td>
<td>−0.80 (1.00)</td>
<td>−0.29 (1.11)</td>
<td>−0.14 (0.70)</td>
<td>6.08</td>
<td>.003</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BESS, error points</td>
<td>−2.00 (3.75)</td>
<td>−1.57 (5.59)</td>
<td>−1.13 (3.81)</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>.59</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIVC, N·m</td>
<td>4.91 (19.00)</td>
<td>2.71 (12.55)</td>
<td>−0.86 (19.72)</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>.25</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-m walk test, s</td>
<td>−0.29 (0.45)</td>
<td>−0.28 (0.53)</td>
<td>−0.17 (0.62)</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>.44</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active flexion, deg</td>
<td>0.60 (4.47)</td>
<td>−0.96 (5.36)</td>
<td>0.13 (4.63)</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>.28</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active extension, deg</td>
<td>0.85 (4.21)</td>
<td>1.09 (3.87)</td>
<td>0.06 (3.66)</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>.37</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: ANCOVA, analysis of covariance; BESS, Balance Error Scoring System; MVIC, maximum voluntary isometric contraction; WOMAC, Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index. The analysis was made with an α of .05.

Table 3 Post Hoc Groupwise Comparison of Absolute Differences Between the Tape, Sham, and Control Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Tape vs sham</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Tape vs control</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Sham vs control</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WOMAC pain, points</td>
<td>−1.14 to −0.03</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>−1.17 to −0.01</td>
<td>.047</td>
<td>−0.62 to 0.55</td>
<td>&gt;.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WOMAC stiffness, points</td>
<td>−1.74 to −0.13</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>−1.97 to −0.39</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>−1.04 to 0.55</td>
<td>&gt;.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WOMAC function, points</td>
<td>−1.00 to −0.01</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>−1.16 to −0.19</td>
<td>.004</td>
<td>−0.66 to 0.32</td>
<td>&gt;.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BESS, error points</td>
<td>−2.99 to 1.77</td>
<td>&gt;.99</td>
<td>−3.20 to 1.47</td>
<td>&gt;.99</td>
<td>−2.59 to 2.08</td>
<td>&gt;.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIVC, N·m</td>
<td>−6.73 to 12.07</td>
<td>&gt;.99</td>
<td>−2.90 to 15.76</td>
<td>.29</td>
<td>−5.61 to 13.13</td>
<td>.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-m walk test, s</td>
<td>−0.30 to 0.27</td>
<td>&gt;.99</td>
<td>−0.42 to 0.14</td>
<td>.67</td>
<td>−0.41 to 0.15</td>
<td>.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active flexion, deg</td>
<td>−0.90 to 4.22</td>
<td>.35</td>
<td>−2.02 to 3.02</td>
<td>&gt;.99</td>
<td>−3.70 to 1.38</td>
<td>.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active extension, deg</td>
<td>−2.21 to 1.66</td>
<td>&gt;.99</td>
<td>−1.09 to 2.73</td>
<td>.90</td>
<td>−0.82 to 3.02</td>
<td>.51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: BESS, Balance Error Scoring System; CI, confidence interval; MVIC, maximum voluntary isometric contraction; WOMAC, Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index. Note: The analysis was made with an α of .05.

It is hypothesized that the elasticity of the tape and its application under tension leads to a mobilization of the skin during movements39 and improves blood and lymph circulation. This mechanism is believed to influence directly pain perception.11 Another frequently discussed influencing factor is the stimulation of cutaneous mechanoreceptors.40 This may change the interactions between cutaneous mechanoreceptors and proprioception and could be responsible for the decreased perception of stiffness41 in the kinesio tape group observed in our study. As knee pain considerably limits perceptions of joint function,42 the present study conclude that the improved perception of pain and stiffness may be responsible for the increased function in knee pain considerably limits perceptions of joint function,42 the present study conclude that the improved perception of pain and stiffness may be responsible for the increased function in knee pain considerably limits perceptions of joint function,42 the present study conclude that the improved perception of pain and stiffness may be responsible for the increased function in
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participants of the tape group. However, although these circumstances may be plausible explanations for the observed findings, to date, no evidence exists regarding the physiological effects of kinesio tape. Further research should therefore focus on the true underlying mechanism for the beneficial taping effects.

Furthermore, the clinical relevance of WOMAC changes needs to be critically discussed. According to Escobar et al., a minimum of clinical important difference in the WOMAC score must be located at 1.5 points. However, Angst et al. indicated that the difference should be more than 12% of baseline measurement or 6% of the maximum value to be clinically relevant. In this case, the WOMAC changes in our study can be considered clinical relevant. Nevertheless, it should be taken into account that for other clinical endpoints, no evidence exists regarding the physiological effects of kinesio tape. Anandkumar et al. may be explained by the different use of the kinesio tape over 3 consecutive days can improve self-reported symptoms of knee OA. Beneficial but no significant effects of the kinesio tape were also found for standing balance skills. This indicates the positioning of the sham–tape application. By the proximity to the knee joint, the influence of the tape is not excluded. However, a remote tape application from the knee joint makes the intervention not authentic.

Regarding secondary outcomes, beneficial but not significant kinesio tape effects were found for standing balance skills. This means, the tape group was more able to improve the BESS score from baseline to follow-up compared with the sham and control groups. The BESS score in our study ranges higher than the BESS score in other studies with participants in a comparable age. This suggests that, beside the increased age, potential limitations or instabilities of the knee OA negatively influenced the balance. Other studies that have dealt with the influence of kinesio tape on balance mainly focused on ankle instability in healthy young adults and used different assessments.

No changes were found for other secondary outcomes. This is partially contrary to the previously reported findings. Based on the study of Staehli et al., the MVIC of the knee extensors was determined as the parameter for possible muscular changes for patients with knee OA. The authors found high relative reliability scores (intraclass correlation coefficient: .95) which led to a recommendation to use this technique in the present study. This measurement is in contrast to the previous studies, where the authors preferred isokinetic measurements to detect differences in muscle strength after kinesio tape application. Although isometric measurement was not statistically significant in our study, the kinesio tape group showed the greatest increase of MVIC compared with the other groups. Contrary to our findings, Anandkumar et al. reported a significantly increased isokinetic knee-extensor torque 30 minutes after the application of the tape in patients with knee OA, whereas Fu et al. observed no significant changes. The contradictory findings between our study and the study of Anandkumar et al. may be explained by the different use of the kinesio tape and the use of isokinetic measurement instead of isometric measurement. Although the study of Anandkumar et al. used a tape application for the entire quadriceps femoris muscle, we included only the muscle structures close to the knee joint.

For walking speed, no significant effects were observed, although mean values improved in all groups. This implies that the significantly improved perceptions of pain, joint stiffness, and physical function had no influence on the functional walking performance. In this context, it may also be speculated whether the 10-m walk test is suitable to detect changes in walking abilities in patients with OA. It seems also plausible that the shown WOMAC changes have been too low to induce clinically relevant changes in parameters of physical function.

Compared with the already previously mentioned optimal knee-flexion ROM with 150° and extension with 0°, the baseline measurement showed a deficit of the ROM in all groups in both directions. This indicates the typical functional impairment of OA. However, no significant effects of the kinesio tape were found for ROM of the knee. This is contrary to the study of Cho et al. who found significant changes in the pain-free active ROM in patients with knee OA.

The strength of the study comprised an acceptable sample size in the 3 groups and a solid methodology. Due to the follow-up measurements with applied tape, the realistic tape situation could be examined. For this study, it was important to prove the tape when it is still in function and not to observe the effectiveness after removal.

However, there were limitations due to the lack of blinding of the investigators. The visible tape at the knee joint made a concealed data collection impossible. Furthermore, due to the painful progress of OA, the use of MVIC test is questionable. Patients are often unable to develop their full strength. Beside the application technique, this could indicate the lack of significant results in this study. The use of submaximal force test might be useful in future studies. In addition, difficult is the positioning of the sham–tape application. By the proximity to the knee joint, the influence of the tape is not excluded. However, a remote tape application from the knee joint makes the intervention not authentic.

Conclusion

The results of this study showed significant kinesio tape effects in the WOMAC subscales: pain, stiffness, and physical function compared with sham and control groups. This indicates that the use of kinesio tape over 3 consecutive days can improve self-reported symptoms of knee OA. Beneficial but no significant effects of the kinesio tape were also found for standing balance control while there were no changes in knee-extensor strength, walking speed, and knee ROM. The benefits of wearing an elastic tape demonstrated in this study applicable only for patients with the age of 50 years and older. As the clinical relevance of the observed effects is questionable, future studies should focus on kinesio tape in comparison with other conservative treatment measures.
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