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Clinical Scenario: Cryotherapy is one of the most commonly used modalities for postexercise muscle recovery despite inconsistencies in the literature validating its effectiveness. With the need to find a more effective modality, photobiomodulation therapy (PBMT) has gained popularity because of recent research demonstrating its ability to accelerate the muscle recovery process. Focused Clinical Question: Is PBMT more effective than cryotherapy at reducing recovery time and decreasing delayed onset muscle soreness after strenuous exercise? Summary of Key Findings: Three moderate- to high-quality double-blinded, randomized, placebo-controlled trials and 2 low- to moderate-quality translational studies performed on rats were included in this critically appraised topic. All 5 studies supported the use of PBMT over cryotherapy as a treatment for postexercise muscle recovery following exercise. PBMT was superior in reducing creatine kinase, inflammation markers, and blood lactate compared with cryotherapy, following strenuous/high intensity aerobic or strength muscular exercise. PBMT was also shown to improve postexercise muscle performance and function more than cryotherapy. Clinical Bottom Line: There is moderate evidence to suggest the use of PBMT over cryotherapy postexercise to enhance muscle recovery in trained and untrained athletes. Shorter recovery times and increased muscle performance can be seen 24 to 96 hours following PBMT application. Strength of Recommendation: Based on consistent findings from all 5 studies, there is grade B evidence to support the use of PBMT over cryotherapy for more effective postexercise recovery of skeletal muscle performance.
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Clinical Scenario
Photobiomodulation therapy (PBMT) is a promising modality that has gained popularity in different areas of medical practice. Previously referred to as low-level laser therapy or light-emitting diode therapy, PBMT has effectively improved muscle performance by increasing exercise times and reducing muscle fatigue limiting postexercise strength losses. After intense exercise, PBMT confines the degree of exercise-induced muscle damage, limiting the need for a large inflammatory process. It also reduces patient-reported muscle soreness, modulates growth factors and myogenic regulatory factors, and increases the formation of new red blood cells locally. These effects make PBMT a valuable treatment option for muscle recovery; however, PBMT has not become a mainstream tool for muscle recovery in clinical practice. For decades, cryotherapy has been a popular modality for postexercise muscle recovery utilized by many athletes, coaches, and sports medicine practitioners, despite recent challenges to its effectiveness. For these reasons, PBMT should be explored as a substitute to cryotherapy for postexercise muscle recovery.

Focused Clinical Question
Is PBMT more effective than cryotherapy at reducing muscle recovery time and decreasing delayed onset muscle soreness after strenuous exercise?

Summary of Search, “Best Evidence” Appraised, and Key Findings
• The literature was searched for studies of level 2 evidence or higher (based on Oxford Centre of Evidence-Based Medicine 2011, Levels of Evidence) that compared PBMT versus cryotherapy as a treatment for muscle recovery.
• Three moderate- to high-quality double-blinded, randomized, placebo-controlled trial studies and 2 low- to moderate-quality translational rat studies were included in the critical appraisal.
• All 5 studies supported the use of PBMT rather than cryotherapy as treatment for muscle performance recovery following exercise.

Clinical Bottom Line
There is moderate evidence to support the use of PBMT over cryotherapy when using this modality postexercise for muscle recovery in trained and untrained athletes. Shorter recovery times, identified by a fast return to baseline muscle torque and subjective muscle soreness values, can be seen 24 to 96 hours following PBMT application. Lower markers of muscle damage, creatine kinase (CK), which lead to less inflammation markers, were found 24 to 96 hours after PBMT treatments; however, CK levels after cryotherapy treatments followed similar patterns to placebo treatments.
Strength of Recommendation

Based on the Oxford Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine strength of recommendation, there is grade B evidence to support the use of PBMT over cryotherapy for postexercise muscle recovery. The results were consistent across all 5 studies included in this appraisal.

Search Strategy

Terms Used to Guide Search Strategy

- Patient/Population/Problem
  - Muscle recovery following strenuous exercise
- Intervention
  - Photobiomodulation
- Comparison
  - Cryotherapy
- Outcome
  - Improve recovery time, decrease muscle soreness

Search Terms Used

Searches included the keyterms “photobiomodulation,” “low-level laser therapy,” “light-emitting diode therapy,” “phototherapy,” “cryotherapy,” “cold-water immersion therapy,” “muscle recovery,” and “muscle damage.”

Sources of Evidence Searched

- MEDLINE
- SPORTDiscus
- Additional articles obtained through hand search of reference lists.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Inclusion

- Articles that investigated a direct comparison between PBMT and cryotherapy for muscle recovery after strenuous exercise
- Articles with treatment postexercise
- Limited to articles in English
- Level 2 or higher level of evidence

Exclusion

- Articles published before 2007

Results of Search

Five relevant studies met the inclusion criteria and are categorized in Table 1.

Table 1 Summary of Study Designs of Articles Retrieved

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of evidence</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Number located</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Double-blinded, randomized, placebo-controlled clinical trial</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>de Paiva et al⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Leal Junior et al⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>De Marchi et al⁸</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Translational rat studies</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Camargo et al⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>da Costa Santos et al⁷</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Best Evidence

The studies listed in Table 2 represent the best available evidence and were included in this critically appraised topic (CAT). The selection of studies was based on the following criteria: included a level of evidence rating of 2 or better, investigated a direct comparison between cryotherapy and PBMT application in relation to muscle recovery following strenuous exercise, and compared the effectiveness of the treatments postexercise in terms of muscle performance recovery.⁴⁻⁸

Implications for Practice, Education, and Future Research

All 5 studies reviewed in this CAT support the use of PBMT over cryotherapy when treating trained and nontrained individuals postaerobic and strength exercise for muscle recovery.⁴⁻⁸ There were no studies found in the literature search that supported cryotherapy over PBMT. The PBMT was more effective in preventing increases in CK levels,⁴⁻⁸ blood lactate,⁵ C-reactive protein,⁵,⁷ and inflammation⁶ after an exercise bout. In addition, PBMT was able to increase time to exhaustion⁷ and better maintain muscular strength following strenuous exercise⁴⁻⁸ compared with cryotherapy.

Training and competition in athletics can be stressful on an athlete’s muscles requiring appropriate treatment to accelerate postexercise recovery. A quick recovery can maintain muscular function when repeated performance is necessary. After completing an intense exercise, especially one that is unfamiliar, an athlete experiences physiological stress within the affected muscles. Muscle stress causes energy substrate depletion, such as glycogen and adenosine triphosphate (ATP), mechanical muscle damage, oxidative stress, inflammation, and neuromuscular fatigue.⁹⁻¹¹ Symptoms such as soreness and decreased muscle function are reported by athletes following strenuous exercise and results in muscle fatigue.¹² Fatigue alters muscle proprioception and activation, which can limit muscular performance in subsequent sport competition or practice.¹³

Many athletes, coaches, and sports medicine professionals utilize cryotherapy as the primary modality for muscle recovery, especially following an intense training session. There continues to be widespread use of cryotherapy techniques postexercise despite inconsistencies in the literature validating its effectiveness. Cryotherapy decreases the tissue metabolic rate,¹⁴ promotes superficial vasoconstriction,¹⁵ decreases vascular permeability,¹⁶ and leads to less edema formation.¹²,¹⁷ A form of cryotherapy, cold-water immersion therapy has an additional effect, due to hydrostatic pressure, at encouraging reabsorption of interstitial fluids found in the muscle after exercise.¹⁸ Cryotherapy is able to improve subjective measures of recovery after intense exercise bouts, such as self-reported muscle soreness; however, objective measures of
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Article</th>
<th>da Costa Santos et al&lt;sup&gt;7&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Camargo et al&lt;sup&gt;8&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>De Marchi et al&lt;sup&gt;8&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>de Paiva et al&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Leal Junior et al&lt;sup&gt;5&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Study design</td>
<td>Translational study</td>
<td>Translational study</td>
<td>Randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled trial</td>
<td>Randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled trial</td>
<td>Cross-over, randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled trial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participants</td>
<td>29 male Wistar rats randomized into 4 groups: control (Co, n = 6), exercised + PR (n = 6), exercised + cryotherapy (Cyto, n = 8), and exercised + LED therapy (LED, n = 9).</td>
<td>32 male Wistar rats randomized into 4 groups (n = 8): control (Co), exercised (E), exercised + CWI (CWI), and exercised + LED phototherapy (LED).</td>
<td>40 male volunteers aged between 18 and 25, randomized into 5 groups (n = 10): PG, PBMT, cryotherapy, cryotherapy + PBMT, PBMT + cryotherapy.</td>
<td>50 untrained male participants aged between 18 and 25, randomized into 5 groups (n = 10): PG, PBMT, cryotherapy, cryotherapy + PBMT, PBMT + cryotherapy.</td>
<td>6 male professional futsal players from Brazil randomized to receive either CWIT, active LEDT, or PG LEDT in a random manner after 3 exercise tests.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intervention investigated</td>
<td>PD 300 standard photodiode sensor (Ophir Optronics, Jerusalem, Israel). Parameters for LEDT: 940-nm wavelength and a spectral bandwidth of 45 nm in 4-min intervals, 4 J/cm&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; of energy intensity, 9.5-mW/cm&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; power density, 160-mW power output, 1-cm&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; irradiation area on each hind leg.</td>
<td>PD 300 standard photodiode sensor (Ophir Optronics). Parameters for LEDT: 940-nm wavelength with a spectral bandwidth of 45 nm in intervals of 7 min and 15 s to administer 4-J/cm&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; of energy intensity, 9.5-m W/cm&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; power density, 160-mW power output, 1-cm&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; irradiation area on each hind leg.</td>
<td>PBMT: 69 LED (34 red 660 nm and 35 infrared 850 nm) cluster probe (THOR® Photomedicine, London, United Kingdom), continuous frequency, output power = 10-mW red, 30-mW infrared, LED spot size = 0.2 cm&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;, total spot size = 13.8 cm&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;, power density = 0.05 W/cm&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; (red), 0.15 W/cm&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; (infrared), energy = 41.7 J, 30-s treatment time, 1 irradiation point per muscle. Cryotherapy: muscle belly of biceps. Ice bag application of 20 min.</td>
<td>PBMT: 69 LED (34 red 660 nm and 35 infrared 850 nm) cluster probe (THOR® Photomedicine, London, United Kingdom), continuous frequency, output power = 10-mW red, 30-mW infrared, LED spot size = 0.2 cm&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;, total spot size = 13.8 cm&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;, power density = 0.05 W/cm&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; (red), 0.15 W/cm&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; (infrared), energy = 41.7 J, 30-s treatment time, 1 irradiation point per muscle.</td>
<td>LEDT: cluster probe with 34 LED diodes of 660 nm (red) and 35 LED diodes of 850 nm (infrared) (THOR®), continuous frequency, optical output = 10 mW (red) and 30 mW (infrared), spot size = 0.2 cm&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;, power density = 0.05 W/cm&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; (red) and 0.15 W/cm&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; (infrared), energy = 41.7 J, 30-s treatment time, 1 irradiation point per muscle.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome measures</td>
<td>CK and CRP levels from blood samples, histology analysis (necrosis %, edema %, inflammation %, and cell count), and swimming performance (in minutes)</td>
<td>Blood samples collected immediately after exercise for blood lactate measurement. Blood samples collected at 24 h for CK and hematalogical analysis. Histological analysis of soleus muscles to determine damaged muscle fibers, inflammatory cell infiltrate, and edema.</td>
<td>Blood samples were collected at 1 min, 1 h, 24 h, 48 h, 72 h, 96 h after eccentric protocol to evaluate CK activity. A VAS of 100 mm was used to assess DOMS intensity. Maximal voluntary contractions were assessed utilizing the isokinetic dynamometer (System 4, Biodex®).</td>
<td>Blood samples were taken at 1 min, 1 h, 24 h, 48 h, 72 h, and 96 h after eccentric protocol to evaluate CK activity. A VAS of 100 mm was used to assess DOMS intensity. Maximal voluntary contractions were assessed utilizing the isokinetic dynamometer (System 4, Biodex®).</td>
<td>Blood samples were collected 3 and 20 min after exercise for blood lactate, CK, and CRP analysis. Peak power and mean power were assessed with the Wingate Cycle Test.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued)
Table 2  (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Article</th>
<th>da Costa Santos et al&lt;sup&gt;7&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Camargo et al&lt;sup&gt;6&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>De Marchi et al&lt;sup&gt;8&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>de Paiva et al&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Leal Junior et al&lt;sup&gt;5&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Main findings</strong></td>
<td>24 h after exercise, there was an increase of total leukocytes in PR and Cryo groups. CK levels were only increased significantly in the Cryo group. CRP was more pronounced in the PR group. PR group had increased areas with cell necrosis compared with control, the LED group had significantly less than the PR group. PR and Cryo groups presented more areas of edema than control, the LED group did not show any signs of edema. The control group had the lowest frequency of fields of inflammatory cells followed by LED, PR, and Cryo groups, respectively, with significant differences between each group. The Cryo group showed the highest density of inflammatory cells per field. There were no significant differences in CK levels between groups after 24 h. Performance was significantly better in LED and Cryo groups than PR. The LED group had the best performance.</td>
<td>LED group showed fewer areas of muscle damage and inflammatory cell infiltration than E and CWI groups. LED group also presented with lower levels of CK activity than the E group. CWI and LED did not reduce edema areas. No significant effect on leukocyte counts in either treatment group.</td>
<td>Significant increases in MVC capacity and decrease in DOMS in PBMT, CPG, and PCG groups compared with PG and CG (P &lt; .05). PBMT significantly increased MVC compared with PG from 24 to 96 h (P &lt; .05). PBMT + cryotherapy had similar outcomes to PBMT alone. However, cryotherapy + PBMT and cryotherapy alone were not different from PG. Significant differences occurred between PBMT and PG for DOMS at 1–96 h after exercise; PBMT + cryotherapy was only significant between 1 and 48 h compared with PG (P &lt; .05). The PBMT group did not have significant increases in CK levels compared with PG from 24 to 96 h. PBMT + cryotherapy was not as effective but still significantly better than PG. Cryotherapy as a single treatment and cryotherapy + PBMT were not different from PG.</td>
<td>PBMT significantly increased MVC compared with PG from 24 to 96 h (P &lt; .05). PBMT + cryotherapy had similar outcomes to PBMT alone. However, cryotherapy + PBMT and cryotherapy alone were not different from PG. Significant differences occurred between PBMT and PG for DOMS at 1–96 h after exercise; PBMT + cryotherapy was only significant between 1 and 48 h compared with PG (P &lt; .05). The PBMT group did not have significant increases in CK levels compared with PG from 24 to 96 h. PBMT + cryotherapy was not as effective but still significantly better than PG. Cryotherapy as a single treatment and cryotherapy + PBMT were not different from PG.</td>
<td>No significant differences in peak power or mean power among groups in the Wingate cycle test. CK activity increased after each test but there were no differences between test sessions. Active LEDT decreased CK levels significantly compared with postexercise values (P = .01). PG and CWIT did not significantly decrease CK levels. Active LEDT significantly decreased blood lactate levels from postexercise (P = .004). PG and CWIT were not significant. CRP levels did not significantly decrease after any treatment; however, a tendency to decrease from baseline values was found for active LEDT.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Level of evidence</strong></td>
<td>2b</td>
<td>2b</td>
<td>1b</td>
<td>1b</td>
<td>1b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Validity score (PEDro)</strong></td>
<td>N/A (animal study)</td>
<td>N/A (animal study)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion**: LED PBMT is more efficient at preventing muscle damage than CWI in preventing muscle damage and local inflammatory reactions after exercise. This may be due to its anti-inflammatory effects and preservation of muscle fiber cell membrane integrity. Isolated PBMT treatment is the best option to improve muscle recovery in both short term and long term. Isolated cryotherapy was unable to provide muscle recovery. Combined PBMT and cryotherapy treatments do not improve recovery effects. PBMT as a single treatment was the best for postexercise recovery and provided the greatest reduction in DOMS. 5 min of LEDT was more effective than PG to reduce levels of biochemical markers related to muscle recovery. CWIT was not significantly different from the PG.

**Abbreviations**: CG, cryotherapy group; CK, creatine kinase; CPG, cryotherapy-photobiomodulation therapy group; CRP, C-reactive protein; CWI, cold-water immersion; CWIT, cold water immersion therapy; DOMS, delayed onset muscle soreness; LEDT, light-emitting diode therapy; MVC, maximum voluntary contraction; PBMT, photobiomodulation therapy; PCG, photobiomodulation therapy-cryotherapy group; PG, placebo group; PR, passive recovery; seg, segment; VAS, visual analog scale.
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Table 3 Photobiomodulation Therapy Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Article</th>
<th>da Costa Santos et al5</th>
<th>Camargo et al6</th>
<th>De Marchi et al8</th>
<th>de Paiva et al4</th>
<th>Leal Junior et al6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wavelength, nm</td>
<td>940 (infrared)</td>
<td>940 (infrared)</td>
<td>660 (red and 850 (infrared)</td>
<td>905 (super-pulsed infrared laser), 640 (red diodes), and 875 (infrared diodes)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power output, mW</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>10 (red) and 30 (infrared)</td>
<td>1.25 (super-pulsed infrared laser), 15 (red diodes), and 17.5 (infrared diodes)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power density, mW/cm²</td>
<td>9.5 mW/cm²</td>
<td>9.5 mW/cm²</td>
<td>0.05 W/cm² (red) and 0.15 W/cm² (infrared)</td>
<td>2.84 mW/cm² (super-pulsed infrared laser), 16.67 mW/cm² (red diodes), and 19.44 mW/cm² (infrared diodes)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment time, s</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>435</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irradiation area size, cm²</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13.8 (red and infrared)</td>
<td>7.64 (super-pulsed infrared laser, red diodes, and infrared diodes)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of diodes</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>69 (34 red LEDs and 35 infrared LEDs)</td>
<td>1 super-pulsed infrared laser, 4 red LEDs, and 4 infrared LEDs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy, J</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>39.37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy density, J/cm²</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.5 (red) and 4.5 (infrared)</td>
<td>0.85 (super-pulsed infrared laser), 5 (red diodes), and 5.83 (infrared diodes)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.5 (red) and 4.5 (infrared)</td>
<td>417 J total (208.50 J each lower limb)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: LEDs, light-emitting diodes.

The ability to maintain muscle strength and function per-formance between bouts of exercise should be a factor when choosing a modality to promote postexercise muscle recovery. Oxidative stress increases after intense exercise, decreasing contractile function. PBMT during repeated high-intensity muscular exercise bouts aided in preventing a decrease in maximum voluntary
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