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Clinical Scenario: Approximately 30% of all first-time patients with LAS develop chronic ankle instability (CAI). CAI-associated impairments are thought to contribute to aberrant gait biomechanics, which increase the risk of subsequent ankle sprains and the development of posttraumatic osteoarthritis. Alternative modalities should be considered to improve gait biomechanics as impairment-based rehabilitation does not impact gait. Taping and bracing have been shown to reduce the risk of recurrent ankle sprains; however, their effects on CAI-associated gait biomechanics remain unknown.

Clinical Question: Do ankle taping and bracing modify gait biomechanics in those with CAI?

Summary of Key Findings: Three case-control studies assessed taping and bracing applications including kinesiotape, athletic tape, a flexible brace, and a semirigid brace. Kinesiotape decreased excessive inversion in early stance, whereas athletic taping decreased excessive inversion and plantar flexion in the swing phase and limited tibial external rotation in terminal stance. The flexible and semirigid brace increased dorsiflexion range of motion, and the semirigid brace limited plantar flexion range of motion at toe-off.

Clinical Bottom Line: Taping and bracing acutely alter gait biomechanics in those with CAI. Strength of Recommendation: There is limited quality evidence (grade B) that taping and bracing can immediately alter gait biomechanics in patients with CAI.
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Focused Clinical Question

Do taping and bracing of the ankle improve frontal and sagittal plane ankle joint gait kinematics in individuals with CAI?

Summary of Search, “Best Evidence” Appraised, and Key Findings

- The literature was searched for studies of level 3 evidence or higher (case-control studies through systematic reviews) that investigated the effect of taping and bracing on level ground frontal and sagittal plane ankle joint gait kinematics in those with CAI.
- Three case-control studies5–7 met our inclusion criteria, and all had high validity (PEDro) scores of 7/10 or higher.
- All studies assessed a taping or bracing application common to clinical practice. Two studies5,7 investigated taping techniques (kinesiotape5 and athletic tape5,7) compared with a nontaped, control condition. The remaining study6 addressed a flexible and semirigid brace compared with a nonbraced, control condition.
- Kinesiotape was found to decrease excessive inversion in early stance in individuals with CAI.5,7 Athletic taping decreased excessive inversion and plantar flexion throughout the swing phase.7
- At heel strike, both a flexible and a semirigid brace increased dorsiflexion range of motion, whereas at toe-off, only a semirigid brace limited plantar flexion range of motion.5

Clinical Bottom Line

Evidence indicates that taping and bracing acutely improve frontal and sagittal plane ankle joint gait kinematics in those with CAI.
Strength of Recommendation
There is limited quality evidence (grade B) that taping and bracing can immediately improve frontal and sagittal plane ankle joint gait kinematics in patients with CAI.

Search Strategy

Terms Used to Guide Search Strategy
- Patient/Client group: chronic ankle instability or ankle instability or functional ankle instability or mechanical ankle instability or lateral ankle sprain
- Intervention: tap* or brac*
- Comparison: NA
- Outcomes: gait or walking or biomechanics or kinematics

Sources of Evidence Searched
- PubMed, MEDLINE, and CINAHL from inception to January 11, 2019. Reference lists of identified articles were also reviewed.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Inclusion Criteria
- Studies that investigated the preeffects to posteffects of taping or bracing on frontal and sagittal plane ankle joint gait kinematics in patients with CAI.
- Level 3 evidence or higher.
- Limited to English language.

Exclusion Criteria
- Studies that did not use participants with CAI.
- Studies using any intervention strategy other than taping and bracing.
- Studies that did not assess frontal and sagittal plane ankle joint gait kinematics.
- Studies where the full text was not available in English.

Results of the Search

Three studies met our inclusion criteria and are presented in Table 1.

Best Evidence

The studies selected for inclusion in this critically appraised topic support the use of taping and bracing to change ankle joint gait kinematics in individuals with CAI. Athletic tape decreased excessive plantar flexion in the swing phase, and kinesiotape decreased excessive inversion in early stance. At heel strike, the flexible brace and the semirigid brace both increased dorsiflexion range of motion compared with a nonbraced condition, and at toe-off, the semirigid brace limited plantar flexion.

All 3 studies suggest immediate positive effects of taping and bracing during a single walking session. However, there remain a number of criticisms surrounding the integrity of tape over time due to a potential loosening effect. Larson found that following 20 minutes of athletic activity, almost all gains due to a tape application were lost. However, Hubbard and Cordova noted that following a 30-minute multidirectional running protocol, athletic tape still provided mechanical support. Similarly, Delahunt et al found no changes in drop-landing kinematics after 25 minutes of continuous hopping and sport-specific drills while taped. Cumulatively, the literature suggests that while tape appears to loosen over time, benefits do remain. However, the effects of tape over a longer period remain unknown.

Although taping and bracing were observed to alter frontal and sagittal plane ankle joint kinematics in those with CAI, it remains unknown if the improvements are due to restricted range of motion or increased sensory stimulation. The results of Larson and Hubbard and Cordova suggest that there may be a mechanical mechanism; however, these results do not explain the improved gait biomechanics following application of kinesiotape. Being elastic in nature, kinesiotape does not provide a mechanical restriction, and therefore, it does not limit joint laxity or range of motion, suggesting that tape may also work, at least in part, through increased sensory stimulation. Those with CAI are known to have deficits in sensorimotor control, and therefore postural control. However, postural control improves up to 48 hours after kinesiotape application, providing additional evidence that kinesiotape may work through sensory input modulation. Future research must explore these potential mechanisms and the long-term effects (eg, accommodation) of taping and bracing on gait biomechanics.

Historically, taping and bracing have been used in the acute phase of healing to protect injured tissues. Long-term taping and bracing are anecdotally criticized for potentially hindering muscle activation and failing to promote motor learning (relative to therapeutic exercise), despite a lack of evidence to support such criticism. However, it is unlikely that an individual can be taped/braced in all situations for a variety of reasons (eg, logistical constraints, financial restrictions, skin breakdown). Therefore, individuals with CAI would be at an increased risk of recurrent ankle sprains during
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Chinn et al&lt;sup&gt;5&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Spaulding et al&lt;sup&gt;6&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Yen et al&lt;sup&gt;7&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Study design/level of evidence</td>
<td>Case-control, crossover design, level 3b</td>
<td>Case-control, crossover design, level 3b</td>
<td>Case-control, crossover design, level 3b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participants</td>
<td>15 individuals (8 men and 7 women; age 26.9 [6.8] y, height 171.7 [6.3] cm, mass 73.5 [10.7] kg, average ankle sprain events 5.3 [3.1]) with self-reported chronic ankle instability</td>
<td>10 subjects with chronic ankle instability (age 24.3 [5] y) and 10 healthy control subjects (age 27.3 [4] y)</td>
<td>20 individuals with chronic ankle instability (KT group: n = 10, 2 men and 8 women; age 22.8 [1.3] y; AT group: n = 10; age 23 [2.3] y)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>Participants walked on a treadmill with shoes in a taped (basket weave) and a nontaped condition. The order of condition was randomized</td>
<td>Participants walked on a flat surface under a nonbraced condition, followed by a flexible brace, and a semi-rigid brace condition. The order of the brace conditions was randomized for each participant</td>
<td>Participants were randomized into a KT group or an AT group. All participants walked at a self-selected speed on a treadmill under taped and nontaped conditions. The KT application consisted of 2 strips of elastic tape applied from the medial calcaneus to the lateral lower leg to create an eversion moment about the calcaneus. The AT application was a closed basket weave technique</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome measures</td>
<td>Frontal and sagittal plane ankle kinematics and sagittal plane knee kinematics throughout the gait cycle</td>
<td>Frontal plane kinematics at heel strike and toe-off</td>
<td>Frontal plane foot motion and transverse tibial plane motion in the stance phase of gait. The stance phase was segmented into 10 zones by interpolating the stance phase into 200 points and dividing the points evenly across 10 segments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main findings</td>
<td>During treadmill walking, participants demonstrated decreased plantar flexion from 64% to 69% (initial swing) of the gait cycle (mean difference = 5.738 [0.548]) and decreased inversion from 51% to 61% (preswing–initial swing) (mean difference = 4.348 [0.658]) and from 76% to 81% (midswing) (mean difference = 5.558 [0.548]) of the gait cycle when taped</td>
<td>Visual inspection of the data revealed that at heel strike, both braces created a more dorsiflexed foot position compared with the nontaped condition. At toe-off, the CAI group had decreased plantar flexion angles across all brace conditions, with the greatest limitation of plantar flexion occurring with the semirigid brace. Only graphical data were available from this article</td>
<td>Under the KT condition (5.28° [3.1°]), subjects demonstrated decreased inversion in zone 1 compared with the nontaped condition (nontaped = 6.97° [3.1°], P = .03). The AT condition produced a significant increase in tibial internal rotation compared with the nontaped condition in zones 7–10 (P ≤ .03). No significant differences were found in the frontal plane foot position between the taped and nontaped AT condition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Validity score (PEDro score)</td>
<td>8/10</td>
<td>7/10</td>
<td>7/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conclusion</td>
<td>Taping created a more neutral ankle position in the swing phase of walking but had no effect on the knee joint position</td>
<td>At heel strike, the semirigid and flexible braces increased dorsiflexion angle, whereas at toe-off, the semirigid brace greatly limited plantar flexion.</td>
<td>The KT condition increased ankle eversion during early stance, whereas AT restricts tibial external rotation in terminal stance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: AT, athletic tape; CAI, chronic ankle instability; KT, kinesiotape.
these unprotected exposures. Based on the current evidence, we recommend that taping and bracing be used early during a comprehensive rehabilitation program to help promote proper gait biomechanics and reduce ankle sprain risk in those with CAI.
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