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Context: Serratus anterior tightness is associated with scapular dyskinesis and overall shoulder dysfunction, which affects the range of motion. The most effective intervention to stretch the serratus anterior is unknown. Objective: To evaluate the effect of a therapist-administered novel serratus anterior stretch (SAS) on shoulder range of motion. Method: This study recruited 30 healthy subjects of age 21.20 (1.69) years, height 1.65 (0.11) m, and weight 60.90 (10.36) kg in equal ratio of males and females who scored 1 or 2 on the shoulder mobility test of functional movement screening. A single intervention of a novel SAS was applied to the shoulder. Outcome variables before and after the SAS included the following: shoulder ROM (flexion, abduction, internal rotation, and external rotation) and functional movements of reaching up behind the back and reaching down behind the neck. Results: A paired t test was used to analyze the data. Following the acute SAS intervention, all shoulder ROM improved significantly ($P < .000$). The change in internal rotation was $6.00^\circ$ ($7.47^\circ$), external rotation was $5.66^\circ$ ($9.35^\circ$), abduction was $13.50^\circ$ ($11.82^\circ$), flexion was $20^\circ$ ($13.33^\circ$), reaching up behind the back was $5.10$ ($2.21$) cm, and reaching down behind the neck was $5.41$ ($2.89$) cm. The most marked improvement was in reaching up behind the back (24.48%) and reaching down behind the neck (22.78%). A very large effect size ($>1$) was observed across most of the variables. Conclusion: An acute SAS intervention improves shoulder mobility in healthy individuals. It is recommended for the trial on the prevention and rehabilitation of shoulder pathologies with restriction in shoulder mobility.
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The serratus anterior (SA) originates from the first to eighth ribs and their intercostal spaces. Three divisions of the muscle are identified. The first division originates from the first and second ribs and their intercostal space and inserts on the costal aspect of the superior angle of the scapula. The second division is formed by 3 digitations from the second, third, and fourth ribs and their adjoining septum and inserts on the costal aspects of the medial border of the scapula. The third division is the longest and strongest and is formed by the lower 4 or 5 digitations from the fourth to eighth ribs. It inserts on the inferior angle of the scapula. The divisions of SA are theorized to be different functionally: the upper is more active in protraction of scapula, and the lower division may assist in depression along with abduction and protraction of the scapula. The lower division is reported with maximum electromyographic activity in resisted tasks above $120^\circ$ of overhead movement in all planes. Recognition of the 3 parts and their relative role is important for exercise programs and stretching for the prevention and rehabilitation of shoulder pathologies.

The 3 divisions of the SA and the upper and lower parts of the trapezius form a force couple, which affords dynamic stability to the scapula during the movement of the arm. Movements in different planes require varying activity of the components of the SA and trapezius. An imbalance between the parts of the SA and trapezius may lead to scapular dyskinesis. Uhl et al reported 3 types of abnormal positions of the scapula corresponding to the 3 divisions of the SA and the imbalance between the SA and trapezius. The length and strength of the SA are strongly related to posterior shoulder tightness and forward scapular posture. Although, these changes are asymptomatic initially but can lead to shoulder pathology. Prospective studies have reported an increased prevalence of shoulder pain among athletes with scapular dyskinesis.

Exercise programs are recommended to manage the imbalance between the division of the trapezius and SA. Various exercises, such as shoulder press, push-up, press-up, prone abduction, and prone flexion, with high and low intensities elicit different EMG activity in the division of the trapezius and SA. Recognition of these aspects may be of clinical importance.

Overhead repeated shoulder movements, scapular dyskinesis, and postural anomalies are linked with SA flexibility and its dysfunction. A therapist-administered stretch to the lower and middle division might help in the restoration of the length and improvement of SA function, which is hypothesized to affect the shoulder range of motion. The purpose of this research was, therefore, to evaluate the effects of a novel and specific SA (SAS [serratus anterior stretch]) stretch on the range of motion of the shoulder joint in healthy subjects.

Methodology

Study Design

A single group quasi-experimental design was used to test the novel intervention of SAS. The effects were measured in terms of change from the baseline scores after submitting the subjects to intervention. A convenient sample of 30 undergraduate healthy students fulfilling the inclusion criteria were recruited. A sample size of 30 was deemed appropriate after a pilot trial on 5 healthy subjects, which produced a meaningful difference of a $15^\circ$ change in abduction and a 3-cm difference in reaching up behind the back (RUBTB), using SD estimates from previous studies. Healthy volunteers with restricted ROM were appropriate, as the novel intervention aimed to improve the shoulder ROM by improving scapular mobility primarily. Subjects with shoulder pathology may not respond to the intervention, as they may need more specific treatment. The sample was divided into two groups: intervention and control. The intervention group received the SAS, while the control group received no intervention. The outcome variables were recorded at baseline and after the intervention.
have pain and strength deficits, which may not truly measure the
effects of an SAS. The inclusion criteria were scores of grade 1 or
grade 2 on the shoulder mobility test of functional movement
screening (FMS™).11 Both grades indicate restriction in functional
movement and shoulder ROM. Subjects with any pathology of the
shoulder and with scores of grade 0 and grade 3 (no restriction in
shoulder mobility) on the same scale were excluded. Subjects with
grade 0 were not included, as the distance between the 2 bony
prominences in each hand cannot be measured. The study design
was approved by the research and ethics committee of the Helping
Hand Institute of Rehabilitation Center, and the Board of Advanced
Study of Isra University, Islamabad. An approved informed
consent form was signed by each subject. Before commencement, the
trial was registered on clinicaltrials.gov (NCT04242888), and the
current report represents one of the 5 arms of the clinical trial.

Participants
The mean (SD) for the age, weight, and height of the subjects was
21.20 (1.69) years, 60.90 (10.36) kg, and 1.65 (0.11) m,
respectively. The subjects were 15 males and 15 females, both recrea-
tionally active. Most male participants (33%) were either playing
cricket or volleyball or both. Six females were not involved in
playing overhead sports, but would regularly use their shoulders in
overhead activities during household chores.

Data Collection and Instruments
All participants were evaluated on their shoulder mobility to identify
individuals with grade 1 and 2 results. First, hand length was
measured from the distal wrist crease to the tip of the third digit.
Then, the participant was instructed to reach down behind the neck
with one hand in a fist position and reach up behind the back with
the other hand in a fist as far as possible. The distance between the 2
hands was measured. Grade 1 and 2 would indicate restricted shoulder
mobility and ROM. Functional movement screening has been
reported with good interrater and intrarater reliability and validity.12
A total of 108 subjects were screened. Seventy-eight subjects
were excluded. Five excluded subjects (4 males and 1 female) were
of grade 0, and the remaining 73 subjects were of grade 3 on the
functional movement screening shoulder mobility test.

A digital inclinometer (clinometer application) installed on a
Samsung Note 8 (Samsung Electronics, Pakistan) was used for
measuring the shoulder active ROM. The use of a digital inclinometer
was validated for measuring the internal and external rotation of
Cronbach alpha values of .95 and .94, respectively.13 It has been
recommended for the measurement of shoulder range of motion, and
the mean level of bias is reported up to 3.4° compared with a gold
standard.14 The phone was strapped to the wrist, and the reading on
the inclinometer was set to 0 at 90° abduction of the shoulder in a
forearm supination on a Bobath’s plinth for internal rotation and
external rotation. Measurements for abduction, flexion, RUBTB, and
reaching down behind the neck (RDBTN) were taken in the standing
position. Four trained undergraduate students took the measurements
before and after the intervention under the supervision of a senior
physiotherapist. The principal investigator was blind during the
selection of subjects and data collection process.

Serratus Anterior Stretch
The subject was positioned on their side, lying with the shoulder
undergoing the intervention positioned up, while the subject was
instructed to relax. The therapist positioned himself in front of the
subject and stabilized the subject’s arm in his/her axilla and placed
the thumb of the other hand horizontally on the SA under the
scapula and in contact with the costal surface. The maneuverer may
not be comfortable, and the thumb is pushed as far as the subject
can tolerate. The maneuver attempts to separate the scapula from
the ribs. Once the thumb sinks in under the scapula, the subject is
asked to take a deep breath and hold it for at least 8 to 10 seconds.
Each stretch lasts for 30 seconds. Three deep breaths are taken for a
single stretch of 30 seconds. Three stretches are administered with
an interval of 2 minutes in between. The thumb placement is shown in
Figure 1.

Two physiotherapists, male and female with 3 years of expe-
rience, received training in this maneuver and administered it to the
subjects of this trial.

Data Analyses
Descriptive statistics were computed for all variables. The mean
and SD of the pretest scores, posttest scores, and the mean change
in between each of the dependent variables was calculated. A
paired t test was used to analyze the pretest and posttest data for
each variable. An independent sample t test was used for the
analysis of the baseline characteristics between the male and female
subjects. The percentage change from the initial scores was calcu-
lated to show the amount of improvement in addition to the
significant value. The effect size was calculated for each variable,
as the sample size appeared small. Normal distribution of data
(\(P > .05\)) was assumed through the Shapiro–Wilk test.

Results
Measurements from all 30 subjects (15 males and 15 females) were
collected before and after the intervention. The differences in the
mean shoulder ROM variables before the intervention between
males and females were not significant (\(P > .05\)). The mean age
(SD) for males and females was 21.13 (1.50) and 21.27 (1.87),
respectively, with a \(P\) value of .83. The mean (SD) body mass index
of the subjects was 22.30 (3.38) kg/m². The body mass index for
males was 22.41 (3.63) and females was 22.18 (3.22), and the
difference between the two was not significant (\(P = .931\)). The

Figure 1 — Showing thumb placement for serratus anterior muscle
under the scapula.
baseline scores of flexion, adduction, internal rotation, and external rotation showed limitation in comparison with the normative scores more in males than females, but the difference was not a significant difference between the 2 genders ($P > .05$). All the scores changed significantly ($P = .00$) from the baseline after the application of the SAS. A significant and pronounced improvement was noted in RUBTB and RDBTN. Significant ($P = .00$) improvement was also noted in the rotatory motion of the shoulder, but the change was less pronounced than other ROM. The effect size was calculated by dividing the mean change through their respective SDs in all of the ranges, and a very large effect of more than 1 was found. Table 1 shows detailed statistics of the paired $t$ test.

**Discussion**

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the effectiveness of an SAS through improvement in the shoulder range of motion. The results of this study show the the novel SAS can effectively and acutely improve the shoulder range of motion in healthy subjects with restricted shoulder mobility. The most marked improvement is in the functional movements of RUBTB and RDBTN, which suggests that the stretch helps to improve mobility of the scapula by improving the flexibility of the lower and middle division of SA. Both these functional movements sum up the shoulder ROM of multiple planes. The improvement in flexibility due to the SAS conforms to the recommendation of Cools et al., who concluded that lack of flexibility and dysfunction of the SA and lower trapezius are the main concerns in the clinical management of scapular dysfunction.

The single session of SAS achieved a mean (SD) of flexion and abduction of $166.33^{\circ}$ ($18.75^{\circ}$) and $167.00^{\circ}$ ($17.10^{\circ}$), respectively. The normative values for the same are reported as $158.9^{\circ}$ ($9.09^{\circ}$) and $160.8^{\circ}$ ($13.00^{\circ}$) for the age group 20–49. The greater than normal range in these ROM was probably due to the younger age of the participants of this study. Internal rotation and external rotation improved with the SAS but failed to achieve the normative ranges since SA is not directly involved in these movements. A study on the acute effects of scapular mobilization, and with a small number of participants who had shoulder pathology, reported improvement in flexion and abduction, but it was less pronounced in comparison with the current study. Pain in these conditions might have been a confounding factor.

The sample size at the baseline was balanced with respect to gender, body mass index, and the baseline score of the ROM, as there was no significant difference and the improvement appeared to be true for the younger age group. The SAS may have a role in the prevention as well as rehabilitation of shoulder pathologies. The subjects in the current study were healthy young individuals and acute effects on shoulder ROM were measured. A trial of SAS on subjects with shoulder pathology is recommended, as shoulder pathology is not only associated with restricted range of motion, but also pain and strength deficits in multiple muscle groups.

A digital inclinometer (clinometer) installed on a smartphone is a better alternative to a conventional goniometer and inclinometer and is more sensitive to recording a change in the range of motion, as reported previously. The enumerator encountered no problem in recording all the shoulder ROM during the data collection.

The SA is more active in overhead movements beyond $120^{\circ}$, and a greater range of flexion and abduction translates into better reach of the hand down behind the neck, which is an important functional movement. The simultaneous improvement in overhead movement and RDBTN suggests increased scapular mobility and control via improved function of the SA. The greater improvement in RUBTB suggests an improvement in scapular protraction via lengthening and relaxation.

One of the major limitations of this study is the lack of a comparative group. Future studies should, therefore, compare SAS with other forms of stretches used for improving shoulder mobility.

**Conclusion and Recommendation**

An acute SAS intervention improves shoulder mobility in healthy individuals. It is recommended for the trial on the prevention and rehabilitation of shoulder pathologies with restriction in shoulder mobility.
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