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While placebo effects are well recognized within clinical medicine, "nocebo effects" have received much less attention. Nocebo effects are problems caused by negative expectations derived from information or treatment provided during a clinical interaction. In this review, we examine how nocebo effects may arise following pediatric concussion and how they may worsen symptoms or prolong recovery. We offer several suggestions to prevent, lessen, or eliminate such effects. We provide recommendations for clinicians in the following areas: terminology selection, explicit and implicit messaging to patients, evidence-based recommendations, and awareness of potential biases during clinical interactions. Clinicians should consider the empirically grounded suggestions when approaching the care of pediatric patients with concussion.
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The Nocebo Effect and Pediatric Concussion

Concussion, a brain injury at the mild end of the TBI spectrum, is caused by a direct blow to the head, face, neck, or body with an impulsive force transmitted to the brain, which results in a range of clinical signs and symptoms. Many high-quality research studies indicate that the majority of youth who sustain a concussion make an excellent clinical recovery, typically within hours to weeks. In contrast, a relatively high proportion of individuals seen in specialty clinics present with more significant symptomatology and disruption to quality of life. Injury-related variables (eg, severity of the concussion) can contribute to these postconcussive symptoms. However, many noninjury factors are known to influence the postconcussive symptom report as well, such as premorbid anxiety and somatization, maladaptive coping, parental anxiety, and symptom exaggeration/feigning. While both injury and noninjury factors account for variance relatively soon after concussion, noninjury factors account for much more variance when symptoms persist for many weeks to months.

One noninjury factor that has received scant attention in the pediatric concussion literature is the nocebo effect. Yet, negative expectations, beliefs, and attitudes about concussion that youth and their families hold are likely to affect symptom experience, recovery course, and outcomes. Multiple adult studies have found that individuals who expect to recover more slowly after a concussion are more likely to do so. Additionally, suggesting to adults with TBI that they are more likely to have cognitive challenges as a result of their injury has been found to result in greater cognitive problems on objective neuropsychological testing, fulfilling the negative expectation associated with a nocebo effect. Conversely, brief psychoeducation providing reassurance soon after injury can improve outcomes.

The amount of information available about concussion has grown exponentially in the last 20 years, both in the scientific literature and in the popular media. Discerning the scientific validity of the rapidly evolving concussion literature is challenging, in part because of the sheer volume of information now available. As a result, a potential nocebo effect may exist from biased perceptions and beliefs about concussive injuries based on information unsupported by empirically driven research. In fact, misrepresentation in the media has resulted in the public perception
of concussion as more negative than the perception within the medical community itself. Well-meaning health care providers, athletic personnel, and school personnel may inadvertently perpetuate misinformed beliefs if they are not aware of the current recommendations and evidence related to concussion. Examples of mistaken beliefs abound.

For instance, some people believe that a minor head impact can lead to a loss of autobiographical memory (eg, “Gilligan Island’s amnesia” whereby a coconut falling on the head in the 1960s television series led to characters losing their memory of who they were). Although brief memory loss for events around the time of the injury can occur with concussion, in actuality, no data support the notion that well-established knowledge and skills (eg, knowing who we are, who our family and friends are, or how to read or do math in older children) are directly affected by concussion. Another mistaken idea is that the way to treat concussion is through absolute “brain rest” (eliminating television, phone, socialization, school work, etc). No clinical trials have supported this idea and recent work suggests that too much rest is actually apt to increase (worsen), rather than decrease (improve), reported symptomatology. In another example, participation in contact sports is now thought by some to have a relatively high risk of death or to lead inexorably to dementia or suicide. In fact, death from sport-related head trauma is extremely rare, less frequent than death by lightning strike. Despite nearly universal media coverage to the contrary, the link between sports and adverse long-term cognitive and psychiatric outcomes, including the pathological entity known as Chronic Traumatic Encephalopathy, has not yet been causally established, and studies examining exposure to repeated sport-related head trauma early in life do not consistently support an effect. Furthermore, population-based studies of older adults who played high school football have not found higher rates of neurodegenerative diseases or worse neurocognitive outcomes relative to the general population.

The role of the media in the spread of misinformation is apparent in much of the sensationalistic concussion coverage that has appeared of late. Commonly available concussion information often contains inaccurate information. The role of word-of-mouth communication in the spread of misinformation is less obvious but is still one avenue likely responsible for some nocebo effects, particularly among schools, athletic clubs, and other relatively small social circles. The pain literature provides a nice illustration of how this could happen. In one study, researchers in Italy recruited 121 medical students to purportedly examine the effects of altitude. They told a single participant about the possible occurrence of severe headache at high altitude. Within a week, this information had spread from the single individual to 36 participants. Then, the researchers took all the students to high altitude, where those who had heard this information were significantly more likely to report severe headache than those who had not. In a similar manner, social circles among patients, friends, and families may influence expectations about symptoms and recovery following concussion.

Implications for Concussion Clinical Management

The importance of understanding nocebo phenomena in the clinical management of concussion cannot be overstated. Increased symptomatology, anxiety, hypervigilance, and worsened outcomes overall may occur if providers contribute to negative expectations in their clinical discussions. Most patients place great value on the opinions of their doctors. In turn, doctors can feel some pressure to know “the answers” and to do “something” when a patient or family is suffering. Quality of life for patients and families with persistent postconcussive symptoms can indeed be quite poor. However, in many cases, clear-cut medical explanations for the apparent problems are lacking, and few interventions have been established empirically to treat the problems. This results in a situation where providers may overmanage in an attempt to help, paradoxically worsening rather than improving, outcomes.

What Can Providers do to Prevent, Lessen, And Eliminate Nocebo Effects?

Choose Terms Carefully

In the experimental pain literature, the more frequently used terms, such as “hurt,” “burn,” and “sting” are used, the more discomfort a person reports. In the context of concussion, the terminology used during discussions about the injury almost certainly affects symptom experience as well.

- When discussing the term concussion, explain that it is generally considered to be a mild injury that temporarily disrupts the brain’s functioning rather than injury that results in permanent structural damage.

- Avoid the term “postconcussion syndrome.” It is scientifically controversial, poorly defined, and of questionable diagnostic value. The term “syndrome” also likely implies for many that the symptoms being experienced cluster in a well-established fashion that results directly from the concussion, which is most typically not the case. Less nocebo-laden options include a factual description such as “persistent postconcussion symptoms” or in International Classification of Diseases (ICD)-10 diagnostic language something like, “concussion without loss of consciousness, subsequent encounter.”

- Avoid the term “second impact syndrome,” which again may not be a true syndrome and has not been supported in systematic analyses. Catastrophic outcomes from cerebral trauma can happen in sports, albeit rarely. However, the vast majority of catastrophic outcomes are not caused by isolated cerebral edema from back-to-back concussions as is purported in second impact syndrome. Malignant cerebral edema is a well-documented phenomenon after minor head injury, with numerous reported cases in the pediatric neurosurgical literature. In the sports literature, McCrory and Berkovic also identified a dozen cases of unexplained cerebral swelling, although none had evidence of a second impact as the triggering event. Randolph and Kirkwood also systematically analyzed catastrophic outcomes at all levels of American football across a 10-year period. During the period, cerebral injury resulted in 38 deaths, with the vast majority occurring in high school athletes from acute subdural hematoma. Only a single death was attributed to diffuse cerebral swelling, which would translate to a risk of 1 event for every 18 million player seasons. If second impact syndrome exists at all, it is exceedingly rare, despite the influence the idea has had in clinical management and in the development of some historic sports concussion guidelines.
Be Thoughtful About Messaging, Both Explicit and Implicit

- One goal of messaging after concussion is to set the stage for a positive recovery and avoid contributing to negative expectations.
- Provide education about the typical short-lived recovery course, particularly during the acute and subacute postinjury stage, when expectations are most easily shaped. While the exact timing of individual recovery can be difficult to predict, clinicians can explain that typical recovery occurs within a few days to a few weeks for the majority of children and adolescents.8,36 Terminology referring to persistent symptoms should be reserved for those patients whose recovery extends beyond the typical timeframe.8
- In the first days to weeks after injury, explain what symptoms are commonly experienced by pediatric patients after a concussion,37 and reassure that symptoms are not uncommon.
- Describe that “postconcussive” symptoms are not specific to concussion, as they overlap with symptoms brought on by other conditions or circumstances related to the injury (eg, anxiety, stress, physical inactivity, academic concerns, poor sleep, neck pain).17,38
- In the face of symptom flare-ups, reassure that, these are not unusual and does not mean the brain has been damaged, in the absence of a structural brain injury.42 Similarly, reassure that if the transition back to typical activities is associated with some worsening of symptoms, this can be expected to be temporary and, again, will not damage the brain.
- Acknowledge that a concussion can temporarily disrupt the typical routine and daily activities for some children and adolescents,43 but highlight the importance of partnership with the medical and school teams to help facilitate recovery. Let the family know that the role of the clinician is to help until the situation returns to normal and what the plan for follow-up or referral will be.
- In the face of symptom persistence and/or significant functional disruption, empathic validation of the patient and family experience is important. Subsequent normalization (eg, “we have seen similar presentations countless times in our program”) can then be helpful to reassure the family that their experience is neither extreme nor unique and that there is reason to expect improvement. Discussion about how noninjury factors (eg, stress) often play a role in these instances is also usually indicated, along with how these factors will be addressed (eg, psychological intervention, short-lived school adjustments). Providing academic and psychological support when needed during recovery is important, as academic and mood concerns have been associated with persistent symptoms.44 Failure to treat these contributing factors may lead to continuing symptoms erroneously being attributed to the persistent pathophysiology of concussion.
- If a discussion about sport-related catastrophic outcomes is warranted, be sure to put this in proper context and clearly emphasize its rarity.
- If a discussion about the potential long-term effects of repeated concussion is warranted, place the risk in context related to what is known and not known at this stage in the research.45 Discuss studies on which current hypotheses are based, and the limitations of these studies.46 Facilitate the process of weighing the potential risks associated with concussions against the benefits of participating in sports. Discussion about the role of protective equipment and measures to reduce the risk of concussion may also be reasonable,47 although families should understand that there is no method of completely preventing concussions.

Remember That “More” is Not Always Better When it Comes to Intervention

In a largely nonevidence-based intervention environment, the risk of overmanaging problems and contributing to nocebo effects is high. Of course, the first step in concussion clinical management is to ensure patient safety, which includes ruling out medical explanations for apparent problems (eg, more severe neurologic injury) and guiding a safe return to higher risk activities such as contact sports. More generally, recommendations should avoid reinforcing negative expectations and beliefs.

- No vitamins, supplements, or medications have been shown to substantially improve concussion recovery.46-48 Melatonin is commonly suggested to improve sleep after concussion, although a recent randomized, double-blind trial did not find support for its use in a pediatric sample (Karen M).49 In children and adolescents, there are also questions about the efficacy and risk-benefit profile of commonly used prescription medications for symptoms of concussion (eg, headaches).50 With this in mind, starting with minimally invasive interventions is sensible, as these are less apt to be associated with negative physical or psychological side effects. For example, before recommending a medication to improve sleep, one could focus on sleep hygiene, psychoeducation, or psychotherapy51; before trying a prescription headache medication, one could try diet recommendations or more benign over-the-counter medications.
- Avoid nonevidence-based interventions that may help perpetuate the idea that the child is seriously unwell. Many interventions that are purported to be concussion treatments are conceptually not sensible and lack evidentiary grounding. They also often, subtly, or not so subtly, reinforce negative expectations for the youth and family. Some can externally signal to others that the youth is more severely “brain injured,” which can change social reinforcements and promote the adoption of such a persona by the youth. Examples here would include encouraging the youth to use a walking cane, prism glasses, sunglasses indoors, or noise canceling headphones.
- Avoid recommending strict or long-term rest, neither of which is empirically backed and both of which often implicitly send the message that the injury is more severe than is actually the case.17,42 For example, being told to not do something as benign as watching television or sending a text can clearly conjure up the wrong idea about injury severity by the patient and family.51 Furthermore, the incorporation of aerobic activity during concussion recovery has been increasingly promoted in recent years to aid recovery and reduce persistent symptoms.52,53
- Recommend approaches that reinforce and support the return to typical activities and functioning, such as regular exercise, that does not exacerbate symptoms, full-time school reintegration, and typical social and other recreational activities.54 Behavioral prescription for a gradual “return to life,” as well as referral to Physical Therapy when indicated, can be helpful in this regard, as they can support the patient during initial symptomatology, but simultaneously communicate the expectation that return to normalcy is expected.55-58
• Education-related recommendations are often needed to support difficulties that commonly disrupt school performance such as headaches, inattention, fogginess, and so forth. One important message to provide to families and school personnel as part of these recommendations is that these concussion supports will only need to be in place temporarily, as a full recovery is expected. In the overwhelming number of cases, long-lasting Section 504 plans and special education plans (Individualized Education Programs) are not needed for concussion-specific problems.

Stay Abreast of the Most Recent Research and Evidence-Based Recommendations

Proper health care is contingent on lifelong learning from reliable, medical sources. The nocebo effect often results from well-meaning practitioners who simply continue to make recommendations grounded in outdated knowledge or reflect reports they have heard in the lay media as opposed to the medical literature. As mentioned, there was never evidence for “absolute” or “prolonged” physical rest, 39,60 yet this recommendation is still frequently encountered in clinical settings. All health care providers caring for youth with mild TBI should practice within their areas of competence, take accredited continuing education courses within the broad field of concussion, critically read research literature on concussion outcomes and treatment, and rely heavily on evidence-based reviews and recommendations. 54

Be aware of potential biases during clinical interactions. Biases are part of human nature and can readily influence clinical judgment.61 When working with children and families after concussion, clinicians should be aware of potential biases that can occur and minimize these biases in their interactions. Below are some common biases and logical misconceptions that can influence how clinicians gather information and make decisions about concussion management, which can increase the risk for nocebo effects.

• Confirmatory/confirmation bias. Humans search for and retain information that confirms their preconceived notions and beliefs in the world and tend to avoid or disregard information that goes against what they already believe to be true. These confirmation biases often cause clinicians to test hypotheses that align with their beliefs (and fail to test hypotheses that go against their beliefs). They are readily influenced by confirmatory pieces of information (eg, anchoring their opinion based on a referral sent to them that a patient has persistent symptoms and is not improving) and often assume that 2 events occurring around the same time (eg, concussion and academic decline) are causally related even in the absence of supportive and conclusive literature.62 They then adjust decisions or opinions to fit the data that are collected instead of using the data to accept or refute a hypothesis (ie, a clustering illusion). Past work63 provides suggestions for how to reduce biases. The general approach for reducing confirmatory biases involves starting with the most valid information, listing alternatives, and seeking both confirmatory and disconfirmatory information.

• Failure to appreciate base rates. Appreciating the probability of an event occurring is essential to establishing an accurate clinical opinion and minimizing nocebo effects. When children sustain concussions, it is well known that the vast majority will recover symptomatically. For example, a large-scale, pan-Canadian, pediatric cohort study suggested that 30% of children will have persistent symptoms for more than 1 month postconcussion; however, this should also be read as 70% will not have symptoms for more than 1 month (and it also must be remembered that persistent symptoms are often related to noninjury factors). When a child sustains a concussion, 4 out of 5 times it would be correct to tell the patient “you will recover within about 1 month” without any further knowledge about the patient. Additional epidemiological research has suggested that persistent postconcussion symptoms are found in 11% of youth at 3 months and 2% of youth at 1 year (Karen Maria).64 This means that the probability of persistent postconcussion symptoms occurring long after an injury is far lower than the probability of recovery, and failure to communicate the higher probability of recovery is more likely to lead to a nocebo effect.

• Good old days bias. The idea behind the “good old days” bias is that after a negative event (eg, concussion) people remember themselves as healthier and functioning better before the event than they actually were and do not recall common problems they had experienced.65 There have been numerous studies demonstrating that retrospective recall of concussion symptoms is highly influenced by this bias, leading to a misattribution that current symptoms are due to the concussion rather than being preexisting.66-68 One study within a pediatric concussion population recruited through the emergency department found that a clinician is 5 to 7 times more likely to falsely conclude the presence of persistent postconcussion symptoms when relying on retrospective symptom ratings at a follow-up visit (compared with preinjury symptoms obtained in the emergency department around the time of injury).69 This “good old days” bias means that clinicians must use caution when trying to determine preinjury status based on self- or parent-report (or avoid this comparison all together) for the risk of a nocebo effect is substantial.

Conclusion

In summary, when managing pediatric concussion, a nocebo effect can occur in a number of areas. Much like the positive aspects of the placebo effect can be useful for a clinician to help a patient recover, the nocebo effect can result in worsening of symptoms and prolonged recovery time. Carefully choosing terminology, being thoughtful about messaging, emphasizing expected outcomes, providing appropriate support during recovery, and being aware of and reducing common human biases are all important considerations to help minimize or eliminate potential nocebo effects and facilitate patient recovery. Clinicians should consider the above empirically grounded suggestions when approaching the care of pediatric patients with concussion. Furthermore, clinicians should act knowledgeably, responsibly, and truthfully, when discussing concussion with their patients and the media.
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