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Clinical Scenario: Pathologies of the long head of the biceps brachii (LHB) tendon are a source of shoulder pain in many people. It is important to have a reliable assessment of the LHB tendon to make an accurate diagnosis and provide the correct treatment or referral if necessary. Shoulder ultrasound is very accurate in the diagnosis of rotator cuff tears. However, its ability to detect pathologies of the LHB tendon is still unclear. Clinical Question: In patients with shoulder pain, can musculoskeletal ultrasound accurately diagnose LHB tendon pathologies? Summary of Key Findings: Four high-quality cohort studies met inclusion criteria and were included in the critical appraisal. The STrengthening the Reporting of OBservational studies in Epidemiology checklist was used to score the articles on methodology and consistency. Three studies evaluated accuracy in diagnosis of full-thickness tears and found high sensitivity (SN) and specificity (SP). Three studies evaluated accuracy in diagnosis of partial-thickness tears and found low SN and negative predictive value, but high SP and positive predictive value. Two studies evaluated tendon subluxation/dislocation and found high SN and high SP. Clinical Bottom Line: There is moderate to strong evidence to support the use of musculoskeletal ultrasound in diagnosis of LHB tendon pathology. Keywords: diagnostic ultrasound, clinical imaging, shoulder pathology
Search Strategy

Terms Used to Guide Search Strategy
- Patient/Client group: Individuals with shoulder pain
- Intervention (or Assessment): Musculoskeletal ultrasound
- Comparison: None
- Outcome(s): Diagnosis of LHB tendon pathology (sensitivity, specificity, predictive value)

Sources of Evidence Searched (Databases)
- CINAHL
- PubMed
- SPORTDiscus
- Google Scholar
- Additional resources obtained via review of references lists

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Inclusion Criteria
- Studies that investigated individuals with shoulder pain
- Studies that used MSK US to make a diagnosis
- Studies that diagnosed individuals with pathology of the LHB tendon
- Studies that provided diagnostic accuracy statistics, including sensitivity, SP, and predictive values
- Limited to the English language
- Level 2 evidence or higher

Exclusion Criteria
- Studies that did not report diagnostic accuracy statistics such as sensitivity, SP, and predictive values
- Studies that did not use MSK US as the diagnostic instrument
- Participants who were not diagnosed with LHB tendon pathology

Results of Search

Four relevant studies were located and categorized as shown in Table 1.

Summary of Best Evidence

Characteristics of studies identified as the best evidence are shown in Table 2.

Table 1 Summary of Study Designs of Articles Retrieved

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of evidence</th>
<th>Study design/methodology of articles retrieved</th>
<th>Number located</th>
<th>Author</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2b</td>
<td>Prospective cohort study</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Armstrong et al^{14}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2b</td>
<td>Retrospective cohort study</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Huang and Wang^{11}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Read and Perko^{6}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Skendzel et al^{3}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Characteristics of Studies Identified as the Best Evidence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Armstrong et al^{14}</th>
<th>Huang and Wang^{11}</th>
<th>Read and Perko^{6}</th>
<th>Skendzel et al^{3}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Study design</td>
<td>Prospective cohort</td>
<td>Prospective cohort</td>
<td>Retrospective cohort</td>
<td>Retrospective cohort</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participants</td>
<td>71 patients with acute or chronic shoulder pain (41 males and 30 females, age range 34–80 y [mean 59])</td>
<td>336 patients with shoulder pain and an initial diagnosis of biceps tendinitis (136 males and 200 females, age 52.8 [13.4] y)</td>
<td>42 patients with acute or chronic shoulder who underwent arthroscopic surgery who had preoperative MSK US examination findings (age range 19–70 y [mean 44])</td>
<td>66 patients who underwent arthroscopic surgery who had preoperative MSK US examination findings (41 males and 25 females, age range 21–79 y [mean 55])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intervention investigated</td>
<td>MSK US (longitudinal and transverse views)</td>
<td>MSK US (longitudinal and transverse views)</td>
<td>MSK US (longitudinal and transverse views)</td>
<td>MSK US (longitudinal and transverse views)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome measure(s)</td>
<td>SP, SN, PPV, and NPV were calculated for FTT and PTT, and subluxation</td>
<td>SP and SN were calculated for biceps tendinitis. PPV and NPV were calculated from raw data provided in the article</td>
<td>SP, SN, PPV, and NPV were calculated for FTT and PTT, dislocation, and tendinitis</td>
<td>SN, SP, PPV, and NPV were calculated for FTT and PTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main findings</td>
<td>FTT: SN: 91% SP: 100% PPV: 64% NPV: 100%</td>
<td>Tendinitis (longitudinal): SN: 81% SP: 73% PPV: 67% NPV: 85%</td>
<td>FTT: SN: 100% SP: 97% PPV: 91% NPV: 100%</td>
<td>FTT: SN: 88% SP: 98% PPV: 87% NPV: 98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PTT: SP: 100% SN: 50% PPV: 100% NPV: 71%</td>
<td>Tendinitis (transverse): SN: 68% SP: 90% PPV: 82% NPV: 81%</td>
<td>PTT: SN: 46% SP: 97% PPV: 86% NPV: 80%</td>
<td>PTT: SN: 27% SP: 100% PPV: 100% NPV: 88%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued)
Table 2 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Armstrong et al\textsuperscript{14}</th>
<th>Huang and Wang\textsuperscript{11}</th>
<th>Read and Perko\textsuperscript{6}</th>
<th>Skendzel et al\textsuperscript{3}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subluxation: SN: 100% SP: 96% PPV: 67% NPV: 100%</td>
<td>Tendinitis: SN: 80% SP: 100% PPV: 100% NPV: 94%</td>
<td>Dislocation: SN: 100% SP: 100% PPV: 100% NPV: 100%</td>
<td>MSK US is accurate at ruling in and ruling out FTT. It is accurate at ruling in PTT, but not ruling out PTT. It is accurate at ruling in and ruling out subluxation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Level of evidence: 2b
Validity score (STROBE): 16/22
Conclusion: MSK US is accurate at ruling in and ruling out FTT. It is accurate at ruling in PTT, but not ruling out PTT. It is accurate at ruling in and ruling out subluxation.

MSK US is moderately accurate at ruling in and ruling out tendinitis. Transverse view is more accurate at ruling out tendinitis. Longitudinal view is more accurate at ruling in tendinitis.

MSK US is accurate at ruling in and ruling out FTT. It is accurate at ruling in PTT, but not ruling out PTT. It is accurate at ruling in and ruling out dislocation.

MSK US is accurate at ruling in and ruling out FTT. It is accurate at ruling in PTT, but not ruling out PTT.

Abbreviations: FTT, full-thickness tears; MSK US, musculoskeletal ultrasound; NPV, negative predictive value; PPV, positive predictive value; PTT, partial-thickness tears; SN, sensitivity; SP, specificity; STROBE, STrengthening the Reporting of OBservational studies in Epidemiology.

Implications for Practice, Education, and Future Research

Currently, the gold standard for confirming a diagnosis of LHB tendon pathologies is arthroscopic examination. The MRI is commonly ordered for diagnosis; however, MRI has only moderate sensitivity and SP.\textsuperscript{2,5} The results of this review indicates that MSK US is a fast, safe, inexpensive, and noninvasive alternative to MRI imaging or arthroscopy in the diagnosis of LHB tendon pathology. When used in combination with clinical special tests, MSK US drastically increases the diagnostic accuracy of the clinical examination.\textsuperscript{7}

Ultrasonography is, however, a difficult skill to master, but a quantitative ultrasound diagnostic method is more accurate than physical examination in both sensitivity and SP.\textsuperscript{2} When used correctly by an experienced sonographer, MSK US is an excellent tool that can help improve patient outcomes.\textsuperscript{9,10} Therefore, it is essential to educate health care providers on how to appropriately use MSK US as a diagnostic aid. In sports medicine and other prehospital settings, MSK US can be used to make diagnoses of complete LHB tendon ruptures, subluxations, or dislocations. However, it should be used with caution when evaluating for PTT and tendinopathies. Future research should focus on evaluating the diagnostic criteria and different techniques of identifying PTT and FTT. Having definitive criteria for the diagnosis of both PTT and FTT will help improve the sensitivity and SP of MSK US, as well as reproducibility of the results.\textsuperscript{2,3} Research should also look for ways of distinguishing between lesions, tendonitis, and other degenerative issues of the biceps tendon.

In conclusion, MSK US is a versatile diagnostic tool that can be used to accurately diagnose a variety of LHB tendon pathologies, and therefore is an asset that should be used in the sports medicine setting to facilitate diagnosis and treatment of patients with shoulder pain due to suspected biceps tendon pathologies. Increased education and training on how to use MSK US will further improve its sensitivity, SP, and overall accuracy as a diagnostic tool.
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