In 2014, the International Olympic Committee (IOC) published a consensus statement entitled “Beyond the Female Athlete Triad: Relative Energy Deficiency in Sport (RED-S)”. The syndrome of RED-S refers to: “impaired physiological functioning caused by relative energy deficiency, and includes but is not limited to impairments of metabolic rate, menstrual function, bone health, immunity, protein synthesis, and cardiovascular health.” The aetiological factor of this syndrome is low energy availability (LEA) (Mountjoy et al., 2014).

The publication of the RED-S consensus statement stimulated activity in the field of Female Athlete Triad science, including some initial controversy (De Souza, Williams, et al., 2014; Mountjoy, Sundgot-Borgen, Burke, Carter, Constantini, Lebrun, Meyer, Sherman, Steffen, Budgett, & Ljungqvist, 2015) followed by numerous scientific publications addressing:

1. The health parameters identified in the RED-S conceptual model (Figure 1) (Constantini, 2002; Mountjoy et al., 2014).
2. Relative energy deficiency in male athletes
3. The measurement of LEA
4. The performance parameters identified in the RED-S conceptual model (Figure 2) (Constantini, 2002; Mountjoy et al., 2014)

The IOC RED-S consensus authors have reconvened to provide an update summary of the interim scientific progress in the field of relative energy deficiency with the ultimate goal of stimulating advances in RED-S awareness, clinical application, and scientific research to address current gaps in knowledge.

**Low Energy Availability**

LEA, which underpins the concept of RED-S, is a mismatch between an athlete’s energy intake (diet) and the energy expended in exercise, leaving inadequate energy to support the functions required by the body to maintain optimal health and performance. Operationally, energy availability (EA) is defined as:

\[
\text{Energy Availability (EA)} = \frac{\text{Energy intake (EI)} - \text{Exercise Energy Expenditure (EEE)}}{\text{Fat-free mass (FFM)}}
\]

where exercise energy expenditure is calculated as the additional energy expended above that of daily living during the exercise bout, and the overall result is expressed relative to FFM, reflecting the body’s most metabolically active tissues (Loucks et al., 2011; Melin & Lundy, 2015). Rigorously controlled laboratory trials in women have shown that optimal EA for healthy physiological function is typically achieved at an EA of 45 kcal/kg FFM/day (188 kJ/kg FFM/day) (Loucks & Heath, 1994; Loucks & Thuma, 2003). Meanwhile, although some caveats are noted in relation to differential responses of various body systems (Burke & Deakin, 2015), many of these systems are substantially perturbed at an EA < 30 kcal/kg FFM/day (125 kJ/kg FFM/day), making it historically a targeted threshold for LEA. However, recent evidence suggests that this cutoff does not predict amenorrhea in all women (Lieberman et al., 2018; Williams et al., 2015). In addition, and notwithstanding differences across body sizes and pubertal age, it is noted that an EA of 30 kcal/kg/FFM roughly equates to the average resting metabolic rate (RMR) (Loucks et al., 2011). Because LEA has proven robust in explaining markers of sub-optimal health and function in both laboratory (Loucks & Heath, 1994; Loucks & Thuma, 2003) and field settings (Melin et al., 2014; Vanheest et al., 2014), it seems logical that an EA assessment could serve as a diagnostic tool in the prevention or management of RED-S.

**Measurement of EA**

Despite the primary importance of determining whether an athlete has adequate EA, several barriers prohibit the direct measurement of EA from being a practical and reliable option. First, there is no standardised or reference protocol for undertaking an EA assessment (e.g., the number of collection days, methodologies for assessing energy intake, exercise energy expenditure, or FFM). Furthermore, there are significant concerns over the reliability and validity of each of these metrics. The greatest challenge is to gain an accurate record of usual energy intake from self-reported sources (Burke, Melin, et al., 2018; Burke & Deakin, 2015). Other...
challenges include the measuring of exercise energy expenditure during many of the training/competition activities performed by athletes and accounting for their additional recreational/lifestyle activity (Burke & Deakin, 2015; Cialdella-Kam et al., 2014). These problems may partially explain why many field studies report considerable discrepancies between EA calculations and symptoms associated with LEA (Aparicio-Ugarriza et al., 2015; Burke, Melin, et al., 2018; Burke & Deakin, 2015; Levine, 2005; Pinheiro Volpi et al., 2011). However, other explanations for these observations include: 1) the temporal dissociation between the period of mismatched eating and exercise behaviour that created the LEA problems and the occasion on which the EA assessment was undertaken; and 2) the interaction of other dietary characteristics that often co-exist with LEA and may exacerbate its effects (e.g., high intake of fibre, stimulants and artificial sweeteners; low energy density foods; high dietary restraint, poor spread of energy within a day) (Barron et al., 2016; Gaskins et al., 2009; Heikura et al., 2017; Melin et al., 2016; Reed et al., 2014). Even if these problems could be solved, EA calculations would likely involve specialised equipment and expertise (e.g., dual energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA) measurement of body composition), good motivation and compliance of the athlete (e.g., keeping a food record or comprehensive activity diary), and considerable time and expertise to process the information. Additionally, LEA states may develop at different stages of training and competition due to varying physiological demands. An EA assessment may achieve some valuable outcomes, such as strengthening the interaction between the practitioner and athlete, which can create rapport, trust, and an appreciation of EA needs. However, the considerable effort needed to assess EA and its frailties as a stand-alone diagnostic tool prevent expert bodies from instituting it as a universally recommended measurement.

Low Energy Availability in Male Athletes

Similar to female athletes, there is growing evidence that males may experience LEA in situations where there is a mismatch between energy intake and the exercise energy expenditure of training or competition. Populations of male athletes at increased risk for LEA and resulting health consequences of RED-S include cyclists, rowers, runners, jockeys, and athletes in weight class combat sports (Barrack et al., 2017; Berkovich et al., 2016; Burke, Tenforde, et al., 2018; Fagerberg, 2017; Tenforde et al., 2016; Viner et al., 2015; Wilson et al., 2014). Factors that contribute to LEA in male athletes are varied and often unique to the sport. They include the cyclical changes in body mass and composition (“making weight”), prolonged inadequate energy intake to meet high exercise energy expenditure of endurance sport, punctuated changes in training volume/intensity, and participation in strenuous endurance events without accompanied changes in nutrition (Burke, Tenforde, et al., 2018). Inadequate food availability, including food insecurity from cultural practices or lack of financial resources may also contribute risk for LEA in some male athletes, even among high calibre athletes, as it undoubtedly also does in female athletes (Burke, Tenforde, et al., 2018).

While RED-S may occur in both sexes, there are likely differences in biological responses to LEA in male athletes compared to their female counterparts. The prevalence of LEA has been suggested to be higher in females than in males, although precise differences are unknown (Loucks, 2007). The threshold and duration of the LEA state required to induce RED-S in men is unknown. Reduction in the sex hormone testosterone is likely to be of greater health concern in male athletes (Hackney et al., 2005; Hooper et al., 2017; Tenforde et al., 2016).

Low Energy Availability in Para Athletes

The prevalence of LEA in para athletes is incompletely characterized (Blauwet et al., 2017). When extrapolating from trends noted in general populations of individuals with disability, it can be assumed that athletes who use a wheelchair for daily mobility are likely to have reduced baseline energy needs (Buchholz & Pencharz, 2004; Price, 2010). Despite this, male and female athletes with spinal cord injury (SCI) may monitor or restrict body weight for sport and are at risk for nutrient deficiencies (Krempien & Barr, 2011, 2012). Athletes with central neurologic injury, such as cerebral palsy, who demonstrate aberrant movement patterns that include dyskinesia or athetosis, may have higher energy expenditures than similar athletes without such non-purposeful movements (Crosland & Boyd, 2014). Additionally, the presence of central neurologic injury may result in alterations of the hypothalamic-pituitary axis and baseline menstrual function, regardless of energy status (Colantonio et al., 2010; Ranganathan et al., 2016; Ripley et al., 2008). Amputee athletes may have higher energy needs in the setting of prosthetic use and resultant gait asymmetry (Gonzalez et al., 1974).

Para athletes are at high risk for impaired bone health and bone-related injury secondary to many factors, including altered skeletal loading. For example, in unilateral amputees, the affected limb may exhibit reduced bone mineral density (BMD) (Sherk et al., 2008). Athletes with SCI have disuse osteopenia/osteoporosis affecting the lower extremities, however, positive adaptive changes in upper body BMD values have been reported in wheelchair basketball players compared to non-athletes (greater radial BMD, and trend towards increased lumbar BMD) (Goktepe et al., 2004). Characterizing effects of LEA on bone in para athletes requires consideration of baseline effects of each individual’s underlying disability. More work is needed in this area.

Given rising participation in para sport from grassroots to elite levels, further research is needed to investigate the impact of LEA in athletes with a disability. The para athlete population requires screening for LEA to reduce complications of RED-S, including low BMD.

Race and Low Energy Availability

Whether race plays a role in the incidence and underlying aetiology of RED-S remains speculative. Research shows a lower risk of disordered eating (DE) in African-American, but not Latino female high-school athletes compared to Caucasians (Pernick et al., 2006; Rhea, 1999). It is currently unknown whether the prevalence of menstrual disorders differs among racially diverse athletic groups. Stress fractures in African-American military recruits are lower than in Caucasian recruits (Lappe et al., 2001). Meanwhile, male Kenyan runners have been observed to have greater BMD at weight-bearing sites (e.g., proximal femur) than healthy controls, but not at the lumbar spine, where Z-scores were reported to be below -2.0 in 40% of study subjects (Tam et al., 2018). Such runners may have LEA resulting from low energy intake and high exercise energy expenditure associated with heavy training loads (Tam et al., 2018), as has been previously shown (Mukeshi & Thairu, 1993; Onyewera et al., 2004). In another study of young Kenyan female athletes, middle- and long-distance runners were found to exhibit one or more subclinical and/or clinical components
of the RED-S, including a greater risk for LEA and menstrual dysfunction than controls (Muia et al., 2016). A study of sport nutrition knowledge, behaviors, and beliefs across sex, race/ethnicity, and socioeconomic status in high school soccer players identified that general sports nutrition knowledge is lower in adolescent soccer players compared to prior reports in adolescent athletes, and that specifically females and Latinos may benefit from sport nutrition education (Manore et al., 2017). Published research is greatly lacking on RED-S in African American, Hispanic, and Asian athletes, with a few exceptions (Iwamoto et al., 2011; Quah et al., 2009). Thus, there is a need to include more diverse athlete populations in RED-S research and to integrate race/ethnicity in the prevention and treatment of RED-S.

Health Effects of Low Energy Availability

Endocrine

Effects of LEA on the endocrine system have been described predominantly in female athletes and only recently in male athletes. Findings in some female athletes in LEA states (measured EA and/or athletes with amenorrhea) include disruption of the hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal axis, alterations in thyroid function, changes in appetite-regulating hormones (e.g., decreased leptin and oxytocin, increased ghrelin, peptide YY, and adiponectin), decreases in insulin and insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-1), increased growth hormone (GH) resistance, and elevations in cortisol (Allaway et al., 2016; Igle & Loucks, 2004; Logue et al., 2018; Louck & Thuma, 2003; Misra, 2014). Many of these hormonal changes likely occur to conserve energy for more important bodily functions or to use the body’s energy reserves for vital processes (Jasienska, 2003; Wade & Jones, 2004).

Specific changes in men are not completely understood; however, reduced LH pulsatility and amplitude have been described in a case series of male marathon runners, a population at high risk for LEA (MacConnie et al., 1986). Other studies, primarily in endurance male athlete populations, have shown reductions in testosterone and inconsistent findings in differences in basal LH parameters (Hackney et al., 1988; McColl et al., 1989). Koehler et al. (2016) assessed the effects of short-term EA manipulation through diet and exercise on various hormonal parameters in 6 male habitual exercisers. Each male experienced 4 separate 4-day conditions: LEA (15 kcal/kg FFM/day with and without exercise) and adequate EA (40 kcal/kg FFM/day with and without exercise). Following both LEA conditions, regardless of exercise, leptin and insulin were reduced compared to baseline (−53% to −56% and −34% to −38%, respectively). LEA did not significantly affect ghrelin, triiodothyronine (T3), testosterone, or IGF-1 levels. Thus, the LEA state, often in combination with disruptions to endocrine function in women and possibly men, may contribute to multiple physiological disease states described by RED-S. However, the relationship is likely to be subject to a large degree of within- and between-participant variability; more research is needed, particularly in men (Papageorgiou, Dolan, et al., 2018; Papageorgiou, Elliott-Sale, et al., 2017; Williams et al., 2015).

Menstrual Function

The effects of LEA on reproductive hormones and menstrual function in female athletes have been well-described (Gordon et al., 2017; Loucks & Thuma, 2003; Nattiv et al., 2007), although the complex hormonal signaling pathways underpinning these effects are still being fully elucidated. Current evidence supports a LEA-associated disruption of Gonadotropin Releasing Hormone (GnRH) pulsatility at the hypothalamus, followed by alterations of LH and FSH release from the pituitary and decreased estradiol and progesterone levels; this is considered a form of functional hypothalamic amenorrhea (FHA) (Curry et al., 2015; Gordon et al., 2017). The duration and severity of LEA needed to create such disturbances are also unclear, reflecting both the complex nature of the problem and discrepancies associated with the different methodologies used to study it. For example, Loucks and Thuma (2003) studied previously sedentary women in a laboratory setting and identified that well-controlled interventions reducing EA below 30 kcal/kg FFM/day via the short-term (5 day) manipulation of exercise energy expenditure and energy intake were associated with a dose-response decrease in LH pulsatility. More recently, Williams, et al. (2015) reduced EA via manipulation of energy intake and exercise energy expenditure over several menstrual cycles in untrained, previously eumenorrheic subjects. The researchers found that the frequency of menstrual disturbances (including luteal phase defects, anovulation, and oligomenorrhea) was affected by the magnitude of energy deficit compared to baseline needs (Williams et al., 2015), but a specific threshold of EA below which menstrual disturbances occurred was not identified (Liebman et al., 2018).

Meanwhile, Reed et al. (2015) performed a cross-sectional analysis of EA (measured using 3-day diet logs to determine energy intake and a combination of exercise logs and heart rate monitoring to measure estimated exercise energy expenditure) in female athletes with eumenorrhea and various menstrual disturbances. These investigators reported mean EA was >30.0 kcal/kg FFM/day in all the groups (amenorrheic, oligomenorrheic, ovulatory eumenorrheic, inconsistent subclinical menstrual dysfunction eumenorrheic, and anovulatory eumenorrheic athletes) and EA did not discriminate subclinical forms of menstrual disturbance; however, EA was lower in amenorrheic athletes compared to eumenorrheic athletes (mean 30.9 vs. 36.9 kcal/kg FFM/day) (Reed et al., 2015). Thus, severe energy deficiency is known to lead to amenorrhea, but more work is needed to better understand the interplay of change in short and long-term EA and more subtle menstrual disruption.

Bone Health

It is established that LEA contributes to impaired bone health in athletes, particularly women. Cross-sectional studies of physically active female athletes with oligo-/amenorrhea or measured LEA have demonstrated decreased BMD, altered bone microarchitecture and bone turnover markers, decreased estimates of bone strength, and increased risk for bone stress injuries compared to eumenorrheic athletes and those who are energy replete (Ackerman et al., 2011, 2012; De Souza et al., 2008; Nattiv et al., 2007; Papageorgiou, Dolan, et al., 2018). Short-term LEA (via diet and exercise) has prospectively been shown to negatively affect bone turnover markers in women and some men (Igle & Loucks, 2004; Papageorgiou, Elliott-Sale, et al., 2017). Specific female and male sport populations are at increased risk for lower BMD, including jockeys, runners, swimmers, and cyclists (Andreoli & Monte Leone, 2001; Barrack et al., 2008, 2017; Fredericson et al., 2007; Hind et al., 2006; Morel et al., 2001; Nichols & Rauh, 2011; Stewart & Hannan, 2000; Tenforde et al., 2015; Viner et al., 2015; Wilson et al., 2014, 2015). Anatomical sites with less bone loading and/or greater trabecular versus cortical bone content (lumbar spine and
radius vs. total hip) are at greater risk for low BMD and impaired microarchitecture in populations susceptible to LEA (Ackerman et al., 2011, 2012; Bilanin et al., 1989; Fredericon et al., 2007; Hind et al., 2006).

Low body mass index (BMI) is an imperfect surrogate marker for LEA. However, BMI ≤ 17.5 kg/m², <85% expected body weight for adolescents or ≥ 10% weight loss in one month are proposed indicators of LEA (De Souza, Nativ, et al., 2014), and indeed both BMI and expected body weight cutoffs are associated with increased risk for low BMD in both sexes (Barrack et al., 2017; Tenforde et al., 2015; Thralls et al., 2016). LEA may be accompanied by DE/eating disorders (EDs), menstrual dysfunction, and low BMD, and the combination of factors places athletes at higher risk for bone stress injury (Ackerman et al., 2015; Barrack et al., 2014; Tenforde et al., 2017).

**Metabolic**

LEA has been correlated with decreased RMR in female endurance athletes (Melin et al., 2015). Prospectively, increasing training load while maintaining constant EI over 4 weeks in male and female elite rowers led to a significant reduction in RMR (Woods et al., 2017). In normal weight women with induced energy deficits via exercise and dietary manipulation, measured weight loss over 3 months was less than predicted (Koehler et al., 2017). Subjects who were moderately energy deficient had a significant decrease in RMR, and those who were severely energy deficient demonstrated significant decreases in leptin, T₃, IGF-1, and an increase in ghrelin (Koehler et al., 2017).

**Hematological**

Iron is essential for hematopoiesis and subsequent oxygen carrying capacity. Iron deficiency, often seen in female athletes, can contribute directly and indirectly to energy deficiency. This is due to a potential reduction in appetite, decreased metabolic fuel availability, and impaired metabolic efficiency, leading to an increase in energy expenditure during exercise and rest (Petkus et al., 2017). Iron deficiency may also interact with bone health via dysregulation of the GH/IGF-1 axis, hypoxia, and hypothyroidism, in addition to playing an important role in thyroid function, fertility, and even psychological well-being (Petkus et al., 2017). Thus, LEA may be partially induced by, and may contribute to, iron deficiency (Petkus et al., 2017). Surrogates for LEA have been correlated with hematologic dysfunction, including low ferritin and iron deficiency anemia, in adolescent and young adult female athletes (Ackerman et al., 2018).

**Growth and Development**

Linear growth retardation has been reported in various studies of male and female adolescents with severe anorexia nervosa, with studies demonstrating partial, but not always complete, catch-up growth after recovery (Lanzoni et al., 2002; Modan-Moses et al., 2003, 2012). Decreases in IGF-1, increases in GH, and increased GH resistance are consistently noted in those with anorexia nervosa (Fazeli & Klibanski, 2014). Studies in amenorrheic athletes have demonstrated disorderly GH secretory patterns, decreased GH and IGF-1 secretory response to exercise accompanied by increased interpulse GH levels, and decreased IGF-1/IGFBP-1 ratios, with more research needed to understand training and growth implications (Laughlin & Yen, 1996; Waters et al., 2001).

**Cardiovascular.** Early atherosclerosis may be associated with hypoestrogenism and FHA in young athletes (O’Donnell et al., 2011). Endothelial dysfunction and unfavorable lipid profiles have been reported in amenorrheic athletes (Rickenlund et al., 2005), with resumption of menses leading to improvements in vascular endothelial function (Hoch et al., 2007). In one study, amenorrheic athletes demonstrated lower heart rates and systolic blood pressure compared to eumenorrheic athletes, in addition to disruptions of the normal renin-angiotensin-aldosterone response to an orthostatic challenge (O’Donnell et al., 2015). In the more severe LEA state of anorexia nervosa, significant cardiovascular changes can occur, including valve abnormalities, pericardial effusion, severe bradycardia, hypotension, and arrhythmias (Spaulding-Barclay et al., 2016).

**Gastrointestinal.** In the severe LEA state of AN, negative health influences on the full gastrointestinal tract such as altered sphincter function, delayed gastric emptying, constipation, and increased intestinal transit time, have been described (Norris et al., 2016). Melin et al. (2014) measured EA and developed the Low Energy Availability among Female Athletes Questionnaire (LEAF-Q), both of which found a negative correlation with EA and gastrointestinal symptoms in elite Swedish and Danish athletes. These findings were supported in a survey of adolescent American female athletes with surrogate markers of LEA, who also reported a higher incidence of stool leakage and constipation than those considered to have adequate EA (Ackerman et al., 2018).

**Immunological.** The immune system may be altered by LEA. A study of 21 Japanese elite, collegiate runners reported more upper respiratory symptoms and lower immunoglobulin A secretion rates in the amenorrheic versus eumenorrheic athletes (Shimizu et al., 2012). Meanwhile, in observational studies of elite Australian athletes in preparation for the 2016 Rio Olympic Games, LEA, as measured by the LEAF-Q in female athletes, was associated with increased likelihood of illnesses (including those of the upper respiratory tract and gastrointestinal tract), bodily aches, and head-related symptoms in the previous month (Drew et al., 2017, 2018).

**Psychological.** Psychological problems can precede or be caused by LEA (Mountjoy et al., 2014). LEA in athletes has been shown to have negative correlates with various aspects of psychological well-being. Higher drive for thinness may be a proxy for LEA, as higher drive for thinness scores on the Eating Disorder Inventory have been associated with reduced resting energy expenditure, lower T₃ levels, and higher ghrelin levels in female athletes (De Souza et al., 2007). Athletes who scored higher on DT also scored higher in domains of ineffectiveness, cognitive restraint, and bulimic tendencies (De Souza et al., 2007). Adolescent females with FHA have been found to have a higher incidence of mild depressive traits, psychosomatic disorders, and a decreased ability to manage stress (Bomba et al., 2007; Marcus et al., 2001). A separate study found overlap in adolescents with anorexia nervosa and those with FHA: both groups demonstrated increased depression, social insecurity and introversion, and fears of weight gain compared to healthy controls (Bomba et al., 2014). More profound psychological disturbances were seen in the presumably more restricted EA (anorexia nervosa) group versus the FHA group (Bomba et al., 2014). Results from a study with male athletes indicated that dietary restraint and muscle building behaviors were associated with bulimic symptomatology (Petrie et al., 2014). Additionally, studies of male body builders indicate that a prolonged EA of approximately 20–25 kcal/kg FFM/day, as seen in
the final stage of contest diets, might be pathological and have negative psychological effects for males (Fagerberg, 2017). The restrictive diet patterns observed resulted in a reduction in muscle mass and a loss of strength, with reports of endocrine dysfunction and mood disturbances in those athletes with body composition measurements of approximately 4% total body fat (Fagerberg, 2017).

Disordered Eating and Eating Disorders

Disordered eating and eating disorders are more prevalent among female and male athletes in weight-sensitive sports in comparison to athletes representing sports in which leanness is a less important performance variable (Kong & Harris, 2015; Sundgot-Borgen, 1993; Sundgot-Borgen & Torstveit, 2004; Sykora et al., 1993; Thiemann et al., 2015). In a Norwegian study of adolescent elite male and female athletes, a higher prevalence of disordered eating in non-athletes as compared to athletes was found when using questionnaires (Martinsen et al., 2010), but when using a clinical interview, the prevalence of eating disorders was higher in athletes versus controls (Martinsen & Sundgot-Borgen, 2013). These findings suggest the need for personal interviews to diagnose eating disorders in athletes (Fairburn et al., 2008; Martinsen & Sundgot-Borgen, 2013; Sundgot-Borgen & Torstveit, 2004). It should be noted that the revised diagnostic criteria for eating disorders (Diagnostic and Statistical Manual, 5th edition) may influence the prevalence of the different diagnoses among athletes (American Psychiatric Association, 2013; Vo et al., 2017).

The pathogenesis of eating disorders is multifactorial with cultural, familial, individual, and genetic/biochemical factors playing roles (Stice et al., 2012). Weight pressure and unique eating disorder risk and trigger factors have been reported and include performance pressure, sudden increase in training volume, injury, teammate modeling of eating disorder behaviors, and team weights (Arthur-Cameselle et al., 2017; Krentz & Warschburger, 2013; Sundgot-Borgen, 1994). A desire to be leaner to enhance performance seems to predict later disordered eating (Krentz & Warschburger, 2013), and the risk of eating pathology increases when the coach-athlete relationship is characterized by high conflict and low support (Shanmugam et al., 2014). Disordered eating seems to be influenced by perfectionism, competitiveness, pain tolerance, and the perceived performance advantage of weight loss (Stirling & Kerr, 2012). These suggested risk factors need to be validated to demonstrate a causal relationship. However, these findings serve as a call to action for enhanced screening for eating disorder risk among athletes who experience weight pressure, are injured, or who have teammates with known disordered eating/eating disorders (Arthur-Cameselle et al., 2017).

Performance Consequences of Low Energy Availability

Associations between various surrogates of LEA (e.g., hormonal aberrations, oligo-/amenorrhea, leanness sport participation, and increased scores on ED/DE/LEA screening tools) and factors negatively influencing performance (e.g., illness, injury, iron deficiency, impaired cognition and mood) have been reported (Ackerman et al., 2015, 2018; Baskaran et al., 2017; Burden et al., 2015; Geesmann et al., 2017; Hagmar et al., 2013; Harber et al., 1998; Petkus et al., 2017; Rauh et al., 2010; Thein-Nissenbaum et al., 2014). Intervention studies on long-term energy restriction and sport performance are lacking (El Ghoch et al., 2016).

However, it has been postulated that persistent LEA could impair sport performance through a variety of different indirect mechanisms (e.g., impaired recovery leading to premature reduction in physical, psychological, and mental capacity and impairment of optimal muscle mass and function) (Fogelholm, 1994). Indeed, LEA could be expected to impair performance or interfere with optimal performance gains via acute impairment of key processes such as glycogen storage (Tarnopolsky et al., 2001) or protein synthesis (Areta et al., 2014), or by preventing consistent and high quality training due to the increased risk of injury and illness (Drew et al., 2017, 2018).

Despite the importance of these associations, it is only recently that studies have tried to measure the direct impact of LEA on sports performance. For example, Silva and Paiva (2016) reported that athletic performance, measured as competition ranking, negatively correlated with EA in elite rhythmic gymnasts. Furthermore, Tornberg et al. (2017) found no difference in aerobic capacity (VO₂, O₂ (mL/min/kg)) between elite eumenorrheic endurance athletes and elite endurance athletes with secondary FHA, despite lower body weight and fat mass in the athletes with FHA. However, subjects with FHA had decreased neuromuscular performance (measured as knee muscular strength and endurance) and reaction time compared with the eumenorrheic athletes (Tornberg et al., 2017). Overall, lower neuromuscular performance was associated with higher cortisol levels, and lower blood glucose, T₃, estrogen, and FFM in the tested leg (Tornberg et al., 2017). Although striving for a greater power to mass ratio is commonly regarded as important for running performance, this study suggests that achieving an idealized body weight or body composition through severe and persistent energy restriction is likely to negatively affect performance and health (Tornberg et al., 2017). This finding is supported in a study of East African runners (Mooses & Hackney, 2017). Woods et al. (2017) followed male and female national team rowers through a 4 week intensified training period, which was accompanied by a lack of increase in energy intake despite a 21% increase in training load. It was concluded that inadequate EA likely negatively affected training recovery, at least partially explaining the alterations in 5 km time trial pacing strategy and reduced performance (Woods et al., 2017).

Considering the reported high prevalence of menstrual dysfunction caused by energy deficiency (Gibbs et al., 2013), surprisingly, only one study has investigated the direct impact of LEA on sport performance. Vanheest et al. (2014) reported a 10% decline in swimming velocity over a 400 m time trial (after 12 weeks of training) among young elite swimmers with ovarian suppression secondary to energy deficiency compared to an 8% improvement in their eumenorrheic teammates. Clearly, more investigations, including robust protocols involving random allocation of athletes to intervention groups, are needed to provide further evidence and explanation of the effects of LEA on training adaptations and sport performance.

Prevention of Relative Energy Deficiency in Sport

The prevention of RED-S requires increased awareness among athletes and their entourage. Current evidence suggests that there is much work to be done. Surveys have reported that less than 50% of physicians, coaches, physiotherapists and athletic trainers could identify the Triad components (LEA with or without an eating disorder, menstrual dysfunction, and low BMD) (Brown et al.,
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2014; Curry et al., 2015; Feldmann et al., 2011; Kroshus et al., 2014; Mukherjee et al., 2016; Pantano, 2006, 2017; Troy et al., 2006), and only 19% of 370 U.S. high school nurses could identify all three Triad components (Kroshus et al., 2015). In a survey of 931 multi-specialty physicians, only 37% were aware of the Triad, and only one half of these were comfortable treating or referring a patient (Curry et al., 2015). In a group of exercising Australian women, one third believed irregular periods were “normal” for active females, and approximately half reported knowing that menstrual dysfunction was a risk factor for poor bone health (Miller et al., 2012). Educational programs typically identify their target audiences as health professionals, coaches, athletic trainers, teachers, school administrators, athletes, and parents (Torres-McGehee et al., 2012). However, a survey of International Sport Federations (IFs) identified that only 2 of 28 Olympic IFs had programmes on RED-S, indicating the need to also involve a top-down approach (Mountjoy et al., 2018). Peer-based eating disorder/body image/Triad education and cognitive-dissonance based programs have shown promise (Brown et al., 2016; Kilpela et al., 2016; Temme et al., 2013; Valliant et al., 2012), and similar RED-S peer-led programs should be developed.

Effective eating disorder prevention programs should be multi-modal, interactive, and target athletes and coaching staff (Bar et al., 2016). One successful intervention is a peer-led educational program for female athletes that resulted in improved bulimic pathology one-year post intervention (Becker et al., 2012). A Norwegian school-based controlled intervention program, including elite male and female athletes (Martinsen et al., 2014) and coaches (Martinsen et al., 2015), resulted in no new cases of eating disorders among females in the intervention schools as opposed to 8 (13%) in females at the control schools (Martinsen et al., 2014). There was only one new eating disorder case in a male at a control school and none in males from the intervention schools (Martinsen et al., 2014). These results suggest that effective disordered eating and eating disorder prevention should target individuals beyond athletes and coaches, be gender specific, involve significant others, and include changes to sport regulations, policy measures, and the health care system (de Bruin, 2017).

Screening for Relative Energy Deficiency in Sport

Early detection of athletes at risk for energy deficiency is critical to prevent long-term health sequelae (De Souza, Nativ, et al., 2014; Mountjoy et al., 2014; Nativ et al., 2007). There are several disordered eating/eating disorder screening tools intended for general population (Fairburn & Beglin, 1994; Garner et al., 1983; Garner & Garfinkel, 1979; Hill et al., 2010). Some tools have been designed to target athletes, although none are validated for DSM-5 criteria (Hinton & Kubas, 2005; McNulty et al., 2001; Steiner et al., 2003). Additionally, due to stigma associated with eating disorders, athletes may be motivated to hide their illness. An elevated Eating Disorder Inventory – drive for thinness score (Garner et al., 1983) has been reported to indicate energy deficiency in exercising women (Gibbs et al., 2011), and amenorrheic athletes seem more likely to have an elevated drive for thinness score compared to eumenorrheic athletes (Gibbs et al., 2011). In order to diagnose an eating disorder, additional in-depth personal interviews must be performed (Fairburn et al., 2008; Fairburn & Beglin, 1994; Martinsen & Sundgot-Borgen, 2013; Sundgot-Borgen & Torstveit, 2004). However, the prevalence of energy deficiency is reported to be high in some athletes even without the presence of disordered eating/eating disorders (Gibbs et al., 2013; Melin et al., 2015).

Although coaches are in an ideal situation to identify athletes with disordered eating/eating disorders, they sometimes have difficulty distinguishing between athletes whose appearance or body composition metrics meets their sport-type expectations (e.g., thin) from those with an eating disorder, especially if the athlete’s performance is good (Plateau et al., 2013). Even if disordered eating is identified, coaches may have difficulty convincing athletes to seek treatment (Plateau et al., 2017).

The Periodic Health Examination (Ljungqvist et al., 2009) and the Preparticipation Physical Evaluation (American Academy of Family Physicians et al., 2010) include relevant questions that may be helpful for early detection. Recently, the LEAF-Q was developed (Melin et al., 2014) as a brief questionnaire on physiological symptoms linked to energy deficiency, and the Low Energy Availability in Males Questionnaire (LEAM-Q) is in development. Expanded testing of these questionnaires in various athletic populations is needed. There is limited evidence for the efficacy of self-reported questionnaires, and additional individual evaluation is recommended (De Souza, Nativ, et al., 2014; Mountjoy et al., 2014). The RED-S Clinical Assessment Tool (RED-S CAT) can assist clinicians in screening for RED-S and the management of return to play decisions (Mountjoy, Sundgot-Borgen, Burke, Carter, Constantini, Lebrun, Meyer, Sherman, Steffen, Budgett, Ljungqvist, et al., 2015), although validation is needed.

Treatment of Relative Energy Deficiency in Sport

Non-pharmacologic Management

If LEA is due to unintentional undereating, then simple nutritional education may suffice. Regardless of the severity of the eating pathology, early involvement of an accredited or appropriately trained expert (e.g., sports dietitian) is recommended to enhance the athlete’s nutritional practices. Optimizing EA can improve function of the hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal axis, as well as other systems negatively affected by LEA in females (Cialdella-Kam et al., 2014; Dueck et al., 1996; Kopp-Woodroffe et al., 1999; Mallinson et al., 2013). Energy deficits should be addressed via modification of exercise and nutrition practices in both female and male athletes, and energy needs may be even higher in growing adolescents (Bhasin et al., 2010; Gordon et al., 2017). Treatment is typically based on increased food intake but may also require changes in food choices, energy spread, and other dietary characteristics; these changes must be individualized and periodized according to the athlete’s energy expenditure and exercise goals. A reduction or cessation of exercise may be necessary, depending on the severity of the energy deficit, symptoms, and compliance level.

Adequate bone-building nutrients are critical; for example, serum 25-hydroxy vitamin D levels <30 ng/mL are associated with increased incidence of bone stress injury (Moreira & Bilezikian, 2017; Ruohola et al., 2006). Vitamin D intake of 600-800 IU daily is recommended by USDA dietary guidelines (U.S. Department of Agriculture, 2011), but greater intake may be needed temporarily to reach goal serum 25-hydroxy vitamin D levels of >30 ng/mL (Golden & Carey, 2016; Holick et al., 2011; Sacheck et al., 2017). Improving 25-hydroxy vitamin D levels may also reduce healing time and facilitate earlier return to play for bone stress injury (Kim et al., 2016). Additionally, adequate consumption of calcium may
help decrease the incidence of bone stress injury (Myburgh et al., 1990; Nieves et al., 2010). The current recommendation for daily calcium intake is 1000 mg/day of calcium for men and women ages 19–50 years, and 1300 mg/day for children and adolescents ages 9–18 years (US Department of Health and Human Services, 2015).

Cognitive behavioral therapy is another non-pharmacological treatment for RED-S that has been shown to contribute to the resumption of menses in some women with FHA (Berga & Loucks, 2006; Michopoulos et al., 2013). Initial non-pharmacologic management of RED-S may restore menstrual function over months (Arends et al., 2012; Mallinson et al., 2013) while improvements in bone health take longer and may never reach optimal levels (Cialdella-Kam et al., 2014). Non-compliance with therapy may require removal of the athlete from training/competition. Examples of treatment contracts and clearance categories for return to play can be found in other publications (De Souza, Nattiv, et al., 2014; Joy et al., 2016; Mountjoy et al., 2014). Current recommendations need further validation and may lead to the eventual inclusion of other progress parameters, such as RMR and blood biomarkers.

Pharmacologic Interventions

The use of combined oral contraceptives for the intention of regaining menses or improving BMD in those with RED-S is not recommended. Data regarding the effects of combined oral contraceptives on BMD and fracture risk are inconsistent (Cobb et al., 2007; Ducher et al., 2011; Gordon et al., 2017; Lopez et al., 2014, 2015). If using combined oral contraceptives for contraception, the athlete should understand that combined oral contraceptives may mask the return of spontaneous menses and bone loss may continue if the energy deficit is not corrected. If menstrual cycles do not return after a reasonable trial of nutritional, psychological and/or modified exercise interventions, transdermal estradiol (E2) therapy with cyclic oral progesterin can be considered for short-term use (Gordon et al., 2017). Notably transdermal E2 is not a reliable form of hormonal contraception and an athlete should be counseled to avoid unintended pregnancy if she receives transdermal E2 for bone health. Transdermal estrogen does not affect IGF-1 secretion, a bone-trophic hormone that combined oral contraceptives downregulate, and has been shown to improve BMD in anorexia nervosa (Misra et al., 2011) and BMD and bone micro-architecture in oligo-menorrheic athletes (Ackerman et al., 2017). Recombinant parathyroid hormone 1-34 (rPTH) has been shown to improve BMD in AN (Fazeli et al., 2014) and rare, short-term use may be considered in adults with LEA, FHA, or RED-S in the setting of delayed fracture healing or very low BMD (Gordon et al., 2017). Transdermal estrogen or rPTH should only be prescribed in conjunction with a metabolic bone expert and it is important to note that rPTH is contraindicated in adolescents and young adults with open growth plates (Gordon et al., 2017).

Treatment Strategies for Disordered Eating/Eating Disorders

Apparent disordered eating/eating disorders should be treated with a multidisciplinary team including medical, dietary, and mental health support. Inpatient treatment should be considered for patients with severe bradycardia, hypotension, orthostasis, and/or electrolyte imbalance (De Souza, Nattiv, et al., 2014; Joy et al., 2016; Temme & Hoch, 2013). Athletes’ resistance to treatment usually increases with the severity of the problem (Thompson & Sherman, 2011). Because many patients with eating disorders see their disorders as purposeful and necessary (Claussen et al., 2013), motivation to recover is a critical factor in treatment. With sport participation as leverage for athletes, the desire to be healthy enough to return to sport most often facilitates recovery for athletes with eating disorders (Arthur-Cameselle & Quatromoni, 2014).

As higher levels of depression and anxiety are observed in athletes with eating pathology (Giel et al., 2016), there is a need to treat these pathologies in athletes with disordered eating/eating disorders. Additionally, comorbid disorders of depression, anxiety, and substance abuse complicate eating disorder treatment and require treatment modifications (de Bruin, 2017; Sansone & Sansone, 2007). Ideally, treatment should be provided by a mental health professional experienced in treating eating problems in athletes (Thompson & Sherman, 2011). For athletes meeting the diagnostic criteria for severe eating disorders (e.g., anorexia nervosa and bulimia nervosa), participation in competition is not recommended (Mountjoy et al., 2014).

Conclusions

Since the original publication of the IOC consensus statement on RED-S in 2014, there have been many scientific advances to improve our understanding of the health and performance effects of LEA in both female and male athletes. To address remaining gaps, the IOC RED-S consensus authors encourage scientific activity in the following domains:

1. Identification of athletes at risk for RED-S: It is evident that there is no practical tool for the measurement of EA, therefore, there is a recognized need to develop a methodology to screen and identify athletes at risk for RED-S that is both scientifically validated as well as relevant and applicable in clinical sport practice.

2. Prevention of RED-S: Improved awareness of RED-S is required through educational initiatives for athletes, coaches, members of the entourage, and sport organizations. The development of scientifically validated prevention interventions is encouraged.

3. Male athletes: Despite the improvement in the knowledge base of RED-S in male athletes, there remains a gap in our understanding of RED-S in specific sports with differing energy demands, performance criteria, ethnicities and cultural perspectives.

4. Health and performance consequences of RED-S: There is still much to be learned about the psychological and physiological health risks and long-term consequences of RED-S in all athletes, particularly male athletes, para athletes, and athletes of various races. To best engage the attention of athletes and coaches, it is imperative to further increase our understanding of the performance effects of RED-S.

5. Treatment and ‘return to play’; Practical guidelines for the treatment and safe return to play for athletes with RED-S need to be further developed to improve athletes’ health and performance.
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