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Context: The squat is a fundamental movement for weightlifting and sports performance. Both unilateral and bilateral squats are also used to assess transitional and dynamic lower-extremity control. Common lower-extremity conditions can have an influence on squat performance. Of interest are the effects of hip musculoskeletal conditions and associated factors, such as hip muscle pain, fatigue, and tightness, on squat performance. Currently, there has been no appraisal of the evidence regarding the association of these conditions and associated factors on squat performance. Objective: This study evaluated the current evidence regarding common hip musculoskeletal conditions and associated factors, such as hip muscle pain, fatigue, and tightness, on squat performance. Evidence Acquisition: A systematic review was conducted according to preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses guidelines. A search of PubMed, CINAHL, SPORTDiscus, ProQuest, and Google Scholar® was conducted in October, 2016 using the following keywords alone and in combination: hip, joint, arthritis, pain, range of motion (ROM), fatigue, tightness, pathology, condition, muscle, intraarticular, extraarticular, femoroacetabular impingement, single leg, bilateral, squat, performance, and technique. The grading of studies was conducted using the Physiotherapy Evidence Database scale. Evidence Synthesis: The authors identified 35 citations, 15 of which met the inclusion criteria. The qualifying studies yielded a total of 542 subjects (160 men and 382 women; mean age = 29.3 (5.9) y) and measured performance with either the barbell squat, step down, bilateral, or single-leg squat. Femoroacetabular impingement and hip arthroscopy were the only hip conditions found that affected the squat. Associated factors, such as muscle pain, fatigue, and tightness, also influenced squat performance. Conclusion: This review found that common hip conditions and associated factors and their effects on squat performance to be underinvestigated. Future research should focus on the association between common hip conditions and squat performance.
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Of interest are the effects of common hip musculoskeletal conditions on squat performance. Other factors, such as increased hip muscle pain, fatigue, and tightness, are also of interest as they often accompany hip joint conditions and can affect exercise performance. A large body of evidence exists that associates hip muscle weakness with poor bilateral and single-leg squat performance. To date, there has been no appraisal of the evidence regarding the association of hip musculoskeletal conditions or associated factors and squat performance. Such an appraisal may help guide allied health and fitness professionals when designing an exercise program for these clients. The purpose of this systematic review was to appraise the evidence regarding the effects of hip musculoskeletal conditions and associated factors, such as hip muscle pain, fatigue, and tightness, on squat performance.

Methods

Search Strategy
A systematic review was conducted according to the preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses guidelines for reporting systematic reviews. Relevant articles, through October, 2016, were identified via independent searches of electronic databases that included PubMed, CINAHL, SPORTDiscus, ProQuest, and Google Scholar®. A direct search of known journals was also conducted to identify potential publications. For this review, hip musculoskeletal conditions were defined as commonly diagnosed intraarticular and extraarticular conditions. Hip joint
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replacement and muscle weakness were not included in this analysis. The search terms used included individual or a combination of the following: hip, joint, arthritis, pain, range of motion (ROM), fatigue, tightness, pathology, condition, muscle, intraarticular, extraarticular, femoroacetabular impingement, single leg, bilateral, squat, performance, and technique.

**Study Selection**

Two reviewers independently evaluated eligible manuscripts identified through the search strategy outlined in the previous section. A third independent reviewer was available to resolve any disagreements. Studies considered for inclusion met the following criteria: (1) peer-reviewed, English-language publications and (2) controlled clinical trials that examined the relationship between hip conditions and associated factors with the squat. Excluded studies included the following: non-English publications, clinical trials that examined hip pathologies and/or associated factors but did not directly measure their effects on the squat performance, clinical trials involving total joint replacement or hip muscle weakness, case reports, case series, clinical commentary, dissertations, and conference posters or abstracts.

**Data Extraction and Synthesis**

The following data were extracted from each article: subject demographics, hip conditions, movement, outcomes, and results. The research design of each study was also identified by the reviewers. Qualifying manuscripts were assessed according to the Physiotherapy Evidence Database scale for appraising the quality of literature. Interobserver agreement was calculated using the kappa statistic. Reasons for exclusion of manuscripts are outlined in Figure 1.

**Results**

The interobserver agreement was substantial $\kappa = 0.90$ for the title selection and substantial $\kappa = 0.93$ for abstract selection. The

---

**Figure 1** — PRISMA search strategy. PRISMA indicates preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses.
reviewers identified a total of 6352 publications from electronic databases, and 15 publications were identified through direct searches for a total of 6367 manuscripts (Figure 1). Thirty-five articles qualified for the full-text search, and 20 articles were excluded (Figure 1). Fifteen articles met the inclusion criteria for the evidence synthesis and were graded with the Physiotherapy Evidence Database scale (Table 1). Three studies measured the effects of femoroacetabular impingement (FAI),15,24,25 1 study measured the effects of hip chondropathy (HC),28 and 2 studies measured the effects of hip arthroscopy surgery14,26 on squat performance (Table 2). Two studies examined the effects of muscle pain,27,29 5 studies measured the effects of muscle fatigue,30–34 and 2 studies reported the effects of muscle tightness35,36 on squat performance (Table 3). All qualifying manuscripts yielded a total of 542 subjects (160 men and 382 women; mean age = 29.3 (5.9) y) and measured performance with either the barbell squat, step down, bilateral, or single-leg squat.14,15,24–36 Several studies also included other measures of performance that are described in Tables 2 and 3.24,28,29,33,36 The following sections discuss the results of this analysis.

**Femoroacetabular Impingement**

Emerging research has demonstrated that FAI can affect squat performance due to the abnormal contact between the acetabular rim and femoral head–neck junction resulting from abnormal bone growth.12 There are 3 common types of FAI based on where the abnormal bone growth has occurred—along the acetabular rim (FAI-Pincer), at the femoral head–neck junction (FAI-CAM), or both (FAI-CAM/Pincer).8,12 Bagwell et al15 examined hip and pelvic kinematics in a group of 30 participants (mean age = 32 (7.7) y) assigned to 2 groups. Group 1 consisted of 15 subjects (6 men and 9 women) diagnosed with FAI-CAM impingement and group 2 consisted of 15 matched asymptomatic subjects (6 men and 9 women). The researchers analyzed peak hip flexion, abduction, and internal rotation ROM, and calculated mean hip extensor, adductor, and external rotator moments during a bodyweight squat. Upon completion, the researchers found that subjects with FAI had decreased hip internal rotation ROM (P = .04), decreased hip-extensor moments (P = .02), and a more anteriorly tilted pelvis (P = .02) when compared with the control group.15 Lamontagne et al25 also analyzed hip and pelvic kinematics during a bodyweight maximal deep squat movement in a group of 32 male subjects (mean age = 34.9 (9.5) y). Group 1 consisted of 15 subjects diagnosed with FAI-CAM and group 2 consisted of 11 matched healthy control subjects. The researcher analyzed hip and pelvic motion using 3-dimensional (3D) analysis. Upon completion, the researchers found that subjects with FAI had no difference in hip motion but had decreased sagittal plane pelvic ROM throughout the movement and a decreased squat depth when compared with the control group.25

Kumar et al24 also investigated hip movement pattern in a group of 15 subjects (mean age = 31.6 (9.7) y). Group 1 consisted of 7 subjects (5 men and 2 women) diagnosed with unilateral FAI-CAM hip impingement and group 2 consisted of 8 healthy control subjects (8 men). The researchers also conducted a secondary analysis using the same subjects in which they compared the male subjects with FAI-CAM and cartilage lesions (N = 6) and subjects without cartilage lesions (N = 9). The researchers analyzed the subjects’ performance during walking, squatting, and drop landing using 3D motion analysis. Upon completion, the researchers found that subjects with FAI-CAM and FAI-CAM with cartilage lesions demonstrated reduced performance on the squat movement. Subjects also demonstrated increased hip adduction and internal rotation, and decreased transverse plane ROM during the squat when compared with controls. Increased hip adduction and internal rotation were also seen in subjects with FAI-CAM (with and without cartilage lesions) during the drop landing task when compared with controls. No difference was found between groups for walking.24

### Table 1  PEDro Scores for Qualified Studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item 1</th>
<th>Item 2</th>
<th>Item 3</th>
<th>Item 4</th>
<th>Item 5</th>
<th>Item 6</th>
<th>Item 7</th>
<th>Item 8</th>
<th>Item 9</th>
<th>Item 10</th>
<th>Item 11</th>
<th>Total score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hatton et al22</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hatton et al23</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hooper et al22</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hooper et al31</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weeks et al34</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McMullen et al33</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hodges et al30</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mills et al35</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bagwell et al15</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamontagne et al25</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kumar et al24</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hatton et al28</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charlton et al14</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamontagne et al26</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chiaia et al36</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: N, no; PEDro, physiotherapy evidence database; Y, yes. Note: PEDro criteria: item 1, eligibility criteria; item 2, subjects randomly allocated; item 3, allocation concealed; item 4, intervention groups similar; item 5, subjects were blinded; item 6, therapists administering therapy blinded; item 7, all assessors blinded; item 8, at least 1 key outcome obtained from more than 85% of subjects initially allocated; item 9, all subjects received treatment or control intervention or an intention-to-treat analysis performed; item 10, between-group comparison reported for a least on variable; item 11, study provides both point measures and measures of variability for at least 1 key outcome.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Study type</th>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>Movement</th>
<th>Outcome measures</th>
<th>Results/Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bagwell et al</td>
<td>Clinical trial</td>
<td>CAM hip impingement</td>
<td>N = 30 (12 men and 18 women)</td>
<td>Bodyweight squat</td>
<td>Peak hip flexion, abduction, and internal rotation ROM, and calculated mean hip extensor, adductor, and external rotator moments</td>
<td>Subjects with FAI had decreased hip internal rotation (15.2° (9.5°) vs 9.4° (7.8°); ( P = .04 )), decreased hip-extensor moments (0.56 (0.12) Nm/kg vs 0.45 (0.15) Nm/kg; ( P = .02 )), and a more anteriorly tilted pelvis when compared with the control group (12.5° (17.1°) vs 23.0° (12.4°); ( P = .02 )) during the squat.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamontagne et al</td>
<td>Clinical trial</td>
<td>FAI-CAM impingement</td>
<td>N = 26 men Exp (FAI): n = 15</td>
<td>Bodyweight squat</td>
<td>3D analysis of hip and pelvic motion</td>
<td>Subjects with FAI had no difference in hip motion when compared with controls but had decreased sagittal plane pelvic ROM (14.7° (8.4°) vs 24.2° (6.8°)) when compared with controls. The FAI group also had decreased squat depth when compared with the control group (41.5% (12.5%) vs 32.3% (6.8%) of leg length).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kumar et al</td>
<td>Clinical trial</td>
<td>FAI-CAM impingement</td>
<td>N = 15 (13 men and 2 women)</td>
<td>Walking Squats Drop landing</td>
<td>3D video analysis of hip and pelvic motion during walking, squatting, and drop landing</td>
<td>Subjects with FAI demonstrated increased adduction (( P = .01 )), higher peak internal rotation moments (( P = .01 )), and lower transverse plane ROM during the squat when compared with controls. Increased adduction (( P = .03 )) and less internal rotation (( P = .03 )) were seen in subjects with FAI-CAM (with and without cartilage lesions) during the drop landing when compared with controls. No difference was found between groups for walking.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hatton et al</td>
<td>Clinical trial</td>
<td>Hip chondropathy</td>
<td>N = 123 women Exp (chondropathy): n = 63</td>
<td>Single-leg balance Single-leg squat</td>
<td>Hip ROM, hip muscle strength, single-leg squat (center of pressure), and single-leg balance (center of pressure)</td>
<td>Subjects with hip chondropathy had increased mediolateral (( P = .02 )) and anteroposterior (( P = .04 )) movement during the single-leg squat when compared with controls. There was no significant difference between groups with single-leg balance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charlton et al</td>
<td>Clinical trial</td>
<td>Posthip arthroscopy</td>
<td>N = 68 women FAI: n = 34 women</td>
<td>Single-leg squat</td>
<td>3D video analysis of the pelvic, hip, and knee motion</td>
<td>Postsurgical subjects had greater hip adduction (mean difference = 2.7° (0.7°)) and knee valgus (mean difference = 4.0° (1.0°)) at peak single-leg squat depth when compared with the control group. The operative limb also demonstrated greater pelvic obliquity (mean difference = 1.2° (0.1°) than the nonsurgical limb).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamontagne et al</td>
<td>Clinical trial</td>
<td>Posthip arthroscopy</td>
<td>N = 10 (7 men and 3 women)</td>
<td>Bodyweight squat</td>
<td>3D video analysis of the pelvic and hip motion preoperatively and 8–32 mo after surgery</td>
<td>Postsurgical subjects squatted to a greater mean maximal depth of leg length when compared with preoperative measures (33.2% (10.3%) vs 36.9% (12.0%); ( P = .03 )). Subjects also had a greater postoperative knee-flexion angle of the affected limb at maximal squat depth (41.5% (12.5%) vs 32.3% (6.8%); ( P = .01 )).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: 3D, 3-dimensional; Exp, experimental; FAI-CAM, femoroacetabular impingement; ROM, range of motion.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Study type</th>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>Movements</th>
<th>Outcome measures</th>
<th>Results/Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hatton et al</td>
<td>Clinical trial</td>
<td>Induced acute muscle pain by injection</td>
<td>N = 12 (8 men and 4 women)</td>
<td>Single-leg balance, single-leg squat, and forward step task</td>
<td>ROM, velocity of the center of pressure in the ML and AP directions</td>
<td>There was a significant decrease in postinjection single-leg squat ML range (−4% (13%); <em>P</em> = .03), AP range (−14% (21%); <em>P</em> = .01), and AP velocity (−6% (13%); <em>P</em> = .03) when compared with the preinjection measures. No significant deficits in balance or stepping were found after injection. Acute muscle pain alone did not alter single-leg movements in middle-aged adults. During the second set of measures, subjects demonstrated decreased performance with the SEBT (anterior reach distance; −1.2 (4.1) cm; <em>P</em> = .03) and single-leg squat (slower center of pressure velocity; −4.9 (9.4) mm; <em>P</em> = .02) but demonstrated a greater number of steps during the step test (1.5 (1.7) steps; <em>P</em> &lt; .001).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hooper et al</td>
<td>Clinical trial</td>
<td>Induced lower-extremity muscle fatigue</td>
<td>N = 25 (12 men and 13 women)</td>
<td>Barbell back squat</td>
<td>Peak joint angle of the hip and knee</td>
<td>Subjects underwent a barbell back squat fatigue protocol (55 repetitions). Over the course of testing, a significant change in knee angle occurred in both men (early: 97.4° (6.8°); late: 90.4° (5.6°)) and women (early: 103.9° (8.1°); late: 91.7° (10.0°)). Also, the hip ankle significantly changed for both men (early: 86.7° (15.9°); late: 116.8° (72.8°)) and women (early: 87.6° (9.1°); late: 101.5° (8.6°)).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hooper et al</td>
<td>Clinical trial</td>
<td>Induced lower-extremity muscle fatigue</td>
<td>N = 12 men</td>
<td>Bodyweight squat</td>
<td>Peak joint angle, total displacement, and rate of displacement were measured for trunk flexion, hip flexion, hip rotation, hip adduction, and knee flexion.</td>
<td>Subjects were tested before and after a fatigue protocol using back squat, bench press, and deadlift (75% 1 RM). After testing, there was a significant decrease in peak angle for hip flexion (pre: −109.42° (12.49°); post: −95.8° (12.30°)), hip adduction (pre: −23.32° (7.04°); post: −17.30° (8.79°)), and knee flexion (pre: 120.28° (11.93°); post: 104.46° (9.85°)). There was also a significant decrease in angular displacement for hip flexion (pre: 97.94° (10.69°); post: 90.51° (13.22°)), hip adduction (pre: 17.79° (7.36°); post: 11.89° (4.34°)), hip rotation (pre: 30.72° (12.28°); post: 20.48° (10.12°)), and knee flexion (pre: 115.56° (10.55°); post: 103.35° (10.49°)). There was also a significant reduction in displacement rate for hip flexion (pre: 1.92 (0.20); post: 1.76 (0.27)), hip adduction (pre: −0.44 (0.17); post: −0.31 (0.17)), hip rotation (pre: 0.59 (0.23); post: 0.38 (0.21)), and knee flexion (pre: 2.20 (0.20); post: 1.98 (0.20)).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weeks et al</td>
<td>Clinical trial</td>
<td>Induced lower-extremity muscle fatigue</td>
<td>N = 60 (30 men and 30 women)</td>
<td>Single-leg squat</td>
<td>Peak pelvic rotation, hip internal rotation, adduction, and total hip rotation range</td>
<td>Subjects underwent 3 trials of a single-leg squat before and after a lunge fatigue protocol. Men had less peak pelvic rotation (3.0° (4.1°) vs 7.7° (6.2°); <em>P</em> = .03), peak hip internal rotation (−1.8° (5.7°) vs 3.0° (7.3°); <em>P</em> = .02), hip adduction range (11.7° (4.8°) vs 18.3° (6.7°); <em>P</em> = .04), and hip rotation range (10.7° (3.9°) vs 13.0° (4.2°); <em>P</em> = .04) than women. Muscle fatigue was shown to increase trunk (flexion, lateral flexion, and rotation) and pelvic (rotation and tilt) motion. Increased hip flexion and adduction also occurred with muscle fatigue.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Study type</th>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>Movements</th>
<th>Outcome measures</th>
<th>Results/Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>McMullen et al33</td>
<td>Clinical trial</td>
<td>Induced gluteus medius muscle fatigue</td>
<td>N = 36 (18 men and 18 women)</td>
<td>Single-leg balance, dynamic balance SEBT, and lateral step down test</td>
<td>Area and velocity of the center of pressure in the ML and AP directions, reach distance (SEBT), and step down performance</td>
<td>Subjects were tested before and after fatigue protocol. No differences were found between men and women ($P &gt; .05$). After fatigue protocol, both groups displayed a shorter reach distances on the SEBT ($P &lt; .001$). Pooled group data showed decreased anterior ($P &lt; .001$), posteromedial ($P &lt; .001$), and posterolateral ($P &lt; .001$) SEBT reach directions. Gluteus medius fatigue negatively affected postural control and quality of movement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hodges et al30</td>
<td>Clinical trial</td>
<td>Induced lower-extremity muscle fatigue</td>
<td>N = 17 (9 men and 8 women)</td>
<td>Barbell squat</td>
<td>Vertical bilateral ground reaction force</td>
<td>Subjects performed 5 sets of 8 repetitions with 90% 8 RM while recording bilateral GRFv. The GRFv asymmetry during the first 2 (R1 and R2) and the last 2 (R7 and R8) repetitions of each set was calculated (subtracting the percent load on the right foot from that of the left foot). The mean absolute asymmetry level across all sets was 4.3% (2.5%) and 3.6% (2.3%) for R1 and R2 and R7 and R8. The researchers found that most subjects placed more load on their left foot (also their preferred nonkicking foot). Fatigue did not have any effect on asymmetries.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mills et al35</td>
<td>Clinical trial</td>
<td>Hip flexor tightness and hip-extensor performance</td>
<td>N = 40 women soccer players Exp: 20 women Control: 20 women</td>
<td>Bodyweight squat</td>
<td>Surface electromyographic activity of the gluteus maximus and biceps femoris, and internal and external extensor moments. Isometric gluteus maximus strength was also measured.</td>
<td>Female soccer players with hip flexor tightness demonstrated lower gluteus maximus ($P = .01$) and gluteus maximus: biceps femoris coactivation ($P = .004$) during the squat when compared with control subjects. There were no significant differences ($P &gt; .05$) in hip- and knee-extensor moments, isometric gluteus maximus strength, or biceps femoris activation between groups.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chiaia et al36</td>
<td>Clinical trial</td>
<td>Lower-extremity musculoskeletal characteristics in elite women soccer players</td>
<td>N = 26 women soccer players</td>
<td>Single-leg squat Step down</td>
<td>Hip ROM, femoral anteverision, hip abductor strength, flexibility of hamstrings, hip flexors, quadriceps, and gastrocnemius, inner core control using pressure biofeedback, hip abductor strength, single-leg step down, and single-leg squat performance</td>
<td>Of the 26 female soccer players, 21 demonstrated poor core control. All subjects had shortened 2-joint hip flexors with an average knee-flexion angle of 50° (11°) and increased femoral anteverision. Forty-one of 48 dominant legs and 42 of the 48 nondominant legs demonstrated deviations from neutral alignment during the single-leg squat and step down. All subjects demonstrated decreased hip external rotation passive ROM. No differences in hip abduction strength was found.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: AP, anteroposterior; Exp, experimental; GRFv, vertical ground reaction force; ML, mediolateral; RM, repetition maximum; ROM, range of motion; SEBT, star excursion balance test.
Hip Chondropathy

HC is classified as disease of the articular cartilage and is often associated with early hip osteoarthritis. Tightness of the hip flexors is common and can occur before radiographic changes appear.14,37 This condition is commonly seen in young to middle-aged individuals below the age of 60 years.38 HC can have an influence on squat performance in these individuals. Hatton et al27 examined the single-leg squat (eyes open) and single-leg balance tasks (eyes closed) in 123 women subjects (mean age = 37.5 (10.6) y). Group 1 consisted of 63 subjects diagnosed with HC and group 2 consisted of 60 control subjects. The researchers analyzed center of pressure movement in the mediolateral (ML) and anteroposterior (AP) directions, hip ROM, and muscle strength. Subjects stood on a Nintendo Wii balance board for the center of pressure measures. Upon completion, the researchers found that subjects with HC had increased ML (P = .02) and AP movement (P = .04) during the single-leg squat when compared with controls. There was no significant difference between groups with single-leg balance. The results suggest that single-leg squat performance is reduced in individuals who underwent hip chondroplasty.28

Hip Arthroscopic Surgery

Some individuals who have undergone hip arthroscopy to correct FAI may have more ROM during the squat but may have decreased motor control. Charlton et al14 examined pelvic and hip kinematics during a bodyweight maximal depth single-leg squat in a group of 68 women subjects (mean age = 34.9 (12.2) y). Group 1 consisted of 34 subjects 1–2 years post unilateral hip arthroscopy and group 2 consisted of 34 matched healthy controls. The researcher analyzed pelvic, hip, and knee motion during the squat using video analysis. Upon completion, the researchers found greater hip adduction (mean difference = 2.7°) and knee valgus (mean difference = 4.0°) at peak squat depth when compared with the control group. The operative limb also demonstrated greater pelvic obliquity than the nonsurgical limb.14 Lamontagne et al26 analyzed the presurgical and postsurgical pelvic and hip kinematics during a bodyweight maximal depth squat in 10 subjects with FAI-CAM impingement (7 men and 3 women; mean age = 24.2 (7.2) y). The researchers analyzed hip and pelvic motion during the squat using 3D analysis preoperatively and 8 to 32 months after surgery. Upon completion, the researchers found that postoperatively the subjects affected limb demonstrated greater squat depth (P = .03), and greater peak hip- and knee-flexion angles (P = .01) and ankle dorsiflexion angles (P = .048) when compared with preoperative measures.26

Hip Muscle Fatigue

Athletes and active adults with current hip conditions may experience muscle fatigue due to compensatory movements from pain or weakness.12,39 Researchers have looked at the effects of induced muscle fatigue on the squat motion. Hooper et al31 examined the effects of lower-extremity muscle fatigue on barbell back squat performance. The researchers tested 25 subjects (12 men and 13 women; mean age = 24.2 (4.2) y) using a repetitive barbell back squat fatigue protocol (55 repetitions). The researchers conducted a 2-dimensional analysis on hip and knee joint motion during the repetitive movement. Upon completion, the researchers found that at the early stages of the protocol sagittal plane hip and knee angles decreased in both sexes. This was demonstrated by a greater forward trunk lean and less knee flexion by the subjects. Thus, subjects compensated for their fatigue by leaning forward more and not squatting as low during the movement.31

Hooper et al29 conducted another study examining the effects of lower-extremity muscle fatigue on body weight squat performance. The researchers tested 12 male subjects (mean age = 24 (4.2) y) with resistance training experience using an exercise fatigue protocol (75% 1 repetition maximum) using the back squat, bench press, and deadlift. The subjects began by performing each lift (as quickly as possible) for 10 repetitions and then reduced the number consecutively until they reached 1 repetition of each movement. The researchers conducted a 3D analysis of the subjects performing 5 bodyweight squats before and after the fatigue protocol. Peak joint angle, total displacement, and rate of displacement were measured for trunk flexion, hip flexion, hip rotation, hip adduction, and knee flexion. Upon completion, the researchers found a significant decrease in peak angle for hip flexion, adduction, and knee flexion after the fatigue protocol. There was also a significant decrease in angular displacement and rate of displacement for hip flexion, adduction, rotation, and knee flexion. The results indicated that when fatigued subjects squatted with less hip AP directions when compared with the preinjection measures. No deficits in balance or stepping were found after injection.27

Hatton et al27 conducted another study examining 4 dynamic single-leg movements in a group of 34 middle-aged subjects assigned to 2 groups: experimental (11 women and 5 men; age = 50.5 (3.4) y) and control (16 women and 2 men; age = 51.6 (4.6) y). The experimental group received an injection in the gluteus medius with a hypertonic saline solution. The movements analyzed included reactive sideways stepping, star excursion balance test (SEBT), step test, and single-leg squat. Outcome measures included range of movement, velocity of the center of pressure in the ML and AP directions for the reactive sidestep step and squat. Reach distance was calculated for the SEBT and number of completed steps for the step test. The movements and measures were performed before and after injection for the experimental group or a 5-minute rest for the control group. Upon completion, the researchers found that acute muscle pain alone did not alter single-leg movements in middle-aged adults. During the second set of measures, subjects demonstrated decreased performance (irrespective of exposure to hip muscle pain) with the SEBT (anterior reach distance; P = .03) and single-leg squat (slower center of pressure velocity; P = .02) but demonstrated a greater number of steps during the step test (P < .001) when compared with baseline measures. Factors other than pain may have an influence on single-leg performance and balance in middle-aged adults.
flexion, hip adduction, and knee flexion and at a slower rate for hip flexion, hip adduction, hip rotation, and knee flexion.32

Weeks et al34 examined the effects of lower-extremity muscle fatigue and sex differences on single-leg squat performance in 60 subjects (30 men and 30 women; mean age = 25.3 (4.3) y). The researchers conducted 3 trials of the single-leg squat before and after the fatigue protocol. The fatigue protocol began with a maximal vertical jump height measurement followed by repeated lunges (3 sets 20) and retesting of the jump height. This sequence was repeated with an additional 10 lunges until jump height diminished by 20% or the participant could no longer continue to perform lunges. During the single-leg squat, the researchers measured peak pelvic rotation, hip internal rotation, hip adduction, and total hip rotation ROM using video motion analysis. Upon completion, the researchers found that males had less peak ROM in all motions ($P \leq .04$) when compared with females. Muscle fatigue was shown to significantly increase ($P \leq .05$) trunk (flexion, lateral flexion, and rotation) and pelvic obliquity (toward stance leg), and pelvic rotation (away from stance leg). Increased hip flexion and adduction also occurred with muscle fatigue.34

McMullen et al35 examined the effects of a gluteus medius fatigue protocol on dynamic single-leg movements and balance in 38 healthy subjects (18 men and 18 women; mean age = 22 (3.4) y). The fatigue protocol included repeated dominant leg sidelying hip abduction until a 15% shift in electromyographic median frequency of the gluteus medius was reached. The movements analyzed included single-leg static balance, dynamic balance, SEBT, and lateral step down test. Outcome measures included area and velocity of the center of pressure in the ML and AP directions, reach distance (SEBT), and step down performance. The movements and measures were performed before and after the fatigue protocol. Upon completion, the researchers found that gluteus medius fatigue negatively affected postural control and quality of movement ($P \leq .05$). No differences were found between men and women ($P > .05$). It is important to note that the lateral step down is a common version of the single-leg squat and produces similar lower-extremity kinematics.40

Hodges et al30 examined the presence of fatigue-induced asymmetries during the squat movement in 17 subjects (9 men and 8 women; mean age = 22.3 (2.5) y). The fatigue protocol included 5 sets of 8 repetitions at 90% 8 repetitions maximum. The researchers analyzed bilateral vertical ground reaction during the movement. Upon completion, the researchers found that subjects demonstrated consistent asymmetries (weight shift toward the right or left side) throughout the movement. Fatigue did not have any effect on asymmetries.30

**Hip Muscle Tightness**

Lower-extremity muscle tightness is a common concern among fitness professionals as it may be linked to various hip conditions and may affect performance.8 The research on the effects of hip muscle tightness on squat performance is still emerging. Mills et al35 examined the association between hip flexor muscle tightness and hip-extensor muscle performance during a squat activity. Forty female soccer players (mean age = 19.7 (1) y) participated and were placed into 2 groups: (1) tight hip flexors (confirmed via Thomas test) and (2) control group. The researcher analyzed surface electromyographic activity of the gluteus maximus and biceps femoris, and internal and external extensor moments during a bodyweight squat. Isometric gluteus maximus strength was also measured. Upon completion, the researchers found that female soccer players with hip flexor tightness demonstrated lower gluteus maximus activation ($P = .01$) and gluteus maximus–biceps femoris coactivation ($P = .004$) during the squat when compared with control subjects. There were no significant differences in hip and knee-extensor moments, isometric gluteus maximus strength, or biceps femoris activation between groups.35

Chiaia et al36 conducted a study to identify lower-extremity musculoskeletal characteristics among elite female soccer players. Twenty-six female adult professional soccer players (mean age of 25 y [range: 21–32 y]) participated in the study. The researcher analyzed bilateral hip passive ROM; femoral anteversion; flexibility of hamstrings, hip flexors, quadriceps, and gastrocnemius; inner core control using pressure biofeedback; hip abductor strength; single-leg step down; and single-leg squat.36 Upon completion, the researcher found that 21 of 26 subjects demonstrated poor core control. All subjects had shortened 2-joint hip flexors and increased femoral anteversion. Forty-one of 48 dominant legs and 42 of 48 nondominant legs demonstrated deviations from neutral alignment during the single-leg squat and step down. All subjects demonstrated decreased hip external rotation passive ROM. No differences in hip abduction strength were found.36

**Discussion**

The purpose of this systematic review was to appraise the current literature on the effects of hip musculoskeletal conditions and associated factors, such as hip muscle pain, fatigue, and tightness, on squat performance. To the author’s knowledge, a collective appraisal of the literature studying the association of these topics and squat performance has not been published as research has tended to focus on the association of hip muscle weakness and decreased bilateral16–20 and single-leg squat21–23 performance.

The study of the association between hip conditions and squat performance is still emerging. The current research is limited to intraarticular conditions, such as FAI and HC. The available research suggests that individuals with FAI-CAM type may demonstrate decreased pelvic motion, increased anterior pelvic tilt, decreased squat depth, and increased femoral adduction and internal rotation with bilateral squats.15,24,25 Therefore, allied health and fitness professionals should allow the individual to work in a pain-free ROM. This may include reducing the depth of the squat to avoid the impinging. As Lamontagne et al23 found, FAI-CAM decreased sagittal plane motion of the pelvis during the squat. Therefore, squat ROM may need to be reduced for these individuals. Additionally, including hip extensor, hip external rotator, and hip abductor activation and strengthening drills, such as glute bridges and clamshells, into the FAI-CAM program as part of a dynamic warm-up is suggested to potentially offset or reduce the risk of damage associated with the less than ideal joint motion. Individuals with HC may also demonstrate increased ML and AP movements during a single-leg squat.28 HC is often associated with early stages of osteoarthritis; therefore, pain-free ROM must be emphasized. Allied health and fitness professionals should consider that these individuals with intraarticular pathology may be symptomatic and may present with other associated factors, such as pain, fatigue, and muscle tightness.8,12

Individuals with FAI or chondropathy may undergo hip arthroscopy for surgical correction. The current research on postoperative squat performance is mixed. Individuals after hip arthroscopy were able to demonstrate greater postoperative squat depth when compared with preoperative measures.76 However, they also had decreased performance with the single-leg squat demonstrated.
by increased femoral adductor and internal rotation.14 Allied health and fitness professionals should consider that, although individuals who undergo hip arthroscopic surgery may have more ROM during a squat, they may still have motor control deficits as indicated by compensatory movement through the knee, hip, and pelvis.14 Basic information on whether or not an arthroscopic procedure has taken place should be obtained while gathering preparticipation information. This will help the professional to better identify the root cause of compensations and to design a more effective overall program.

As far as hip muscle pain, the current research suggests that hip muscle pain may cause decreased single-leg squat performance in younger individuals26 but have no effect on middle-aged adults.29 Previous research has supported the notion that pain may alter the motor cortex and negatively effective movement.41 Therefore, professionals need to consider that the younger individuals decreased single-leg squat performance may be a direct result of the pain. This pain may need to be initially evaluated by the properly licensed clinician.

The middle-aged adult may demonstrate decreased performance on the single-leg squat due to factors other than induced muscle pain.29 For hip muscle fatigue, the current literature suggests that individuals may demonstrate compensatory movements with the barbell back squat,32 bodyweight squat,31 and single-leg squat33,34 when the lower-extremity muscles are fatigued. As this review has indicated, if the professional identifies compensatory movements during the squat, such as excessive trunk flexion, it may be associated with fatigue of trunk extenders. A previously fatigued gluteus medius may also result in less postural control during single-leg activities.

For hip muscle tightness, the research is emerging with only 2 investigations suggesting that hip-extensor function is decreased during the squat in individuals with tight hip flexors.35,36 It is important to note that only female soccer players were investigated, which limits the generalizability to this population.35,36 As the researchers35,36 found, tight hip flexors inhibited the hip extensors. The hip extensors are a primary muscle for decelerating during the descent and for accelerating the ascent. If the prime move is not able to contribute to the majority of the movement, then secondary muscles will increase activity. This process, known as synergistic dominance, is a contributor to common musculoskeletal injuries, such as hamstring injury, groin injury, and low back pain.42 Much of the movement impairment caused by tight muscles and muscles with less than optimal strength can be identified in complex motions, such as a squat. For example, weak glutus maximus has been associated with increased anterior pelvic tilt,42 and weak glutus medius associated with increased knee valgus.42 Thus, the professional armed with the knowledge of tight hip flexors reducing squat performance may also be more likely to design more effective programs.

The allied health and fitness professionals should consider many factors that may affect the performance of the squat, such as FAI and HC.14,15 Associated factors, such as muscle pain, fatigue, and tightness, may accompany hip conditions and can influence squat performance.8,12

**Limitations**

Several limitations warrant discussion for this review. The main limitation is the paucity and heterogeneity of evidence surrounding the association of hip musculoskeletal conditions and associated factors and squat performance. The qualifying studies have varied methods, sample sizes, and outcome measures that prevent a direct comparison among studies. Another limitation is that the qualified studies represent only the specific search criteria that included only English-language publications and that may not have represented the available evidence in non-English peer-reviewed publications. Also, muscle strength deficits and total joint replacements were not considered for this analysis as they both have a large, existing body of literature. An analysis of these topics is scheduled for a future publication.

**Recommendations and Future Research**

The squat is a fundamental movement for athletic performance and activities of daily living. The existing literature provides some insight into intraarticular conditions and associated factors, such as muscle pain, fatigue, and tightness, and their influence on squat performance. The results of this appraisal suggest that intraarticular pathologies and the associated factors can negatively affect squat performance. Therefore, a client with an FAI may have decreased squat performance due to joint restrictions and associated factors, such as pain, fatigue, and tightness.12 Allied health and fitness professionals should consider these findings when designing and prescribing an individualized exercise program involving squats for these individuals. The research on this topic is sparse and is limited to the current findings. Allied health and fitness professionals must consider this when integrating such findings into their practice. Future research should focus on the association of other intraarticular and extraarticular conditions and squat performance.

**Conclusion**

The research examining the association between hip musculoskeletal conditions and associated factors on squat performance is still emerging. This is the first systematic review to appraise the evidence on these topics. These results provide a starting point for allied health and fitness professionals to better understand this topic and to help guide safe exercise prescription for these individuals. Future research should focus on other hip conditions and their influence on squat performance.
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